WEIGHTBEARING IMMEDIATELY AFTER ANKLE FRACTURE SURGICAL FIXATION

Gompa Parameswara Rao¹, Shiv Sandeep S. V²

¹Assistant Professor, Department of Orthopaedics, Anil Neerukonda Hospital, Sangivalasa, Visakhapatnam. ²Assistant Professor, Department of Orthopaedics, Anil Neerukonda Hospital, Sangivalasa, Visakhapatnam.

ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND

Postoperative patients of ankle fracture patients can be made weightbearing as tolerated immediately following surgery. Immediate Weightbearing As Tolerated (IWBAT) allows patients to return to ambulation and activities of daily living faster and may facilitate rehabilitation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Retrospectively, patients were identified who have ORIF after unstable ankle injuries had treated by the senior author. Patients were excluded if they were not IWBAT based on specific criteria or if they did meet followup requirement.

RESULTS

After the study, it was seen that only 1/26 patients was noted to have loss of fixation. This was found at the 6-week followup and was attributed to a missed syndesmotic injury. At 2-weeks follow up, 2 patients had peri-incisional erythema that resolved with a short course of oral antibiotics. At 6-week follow up, 20 patients were wearing normal shoes and 6 patients continued to wear the Cam Boot for comfort.

CONCLUSION

It was seen that IWBAT in a certain subset of patients with stable osteosynthesis following an ankle fracture were at a safe alternative to a period of protected weightbearing.

KEYWORDS

Ankle, Surgery, Weight.

HOW TO CITE THIS ARTICLE: Rao GP, Shiv Sandeep SV, et al. Weightbearing immediately after ankle fracture surgical fixation. J. Evid. Based Med. Healthc. 2017; 4(77), 4533-4537. DOI: 10.18410/jebmh/2017/904

BACKGROUND

In the trauma wards, ankle fractures are among the most common injuries treated by orthopaedic surgeons. 1,2 Open anatomic reduction and internal fixation are routinely advocated for displaced, unstable ankle fractures. 3,4,5 Numerous authors have shown an association between postoperative radiographs and clinical outcome. 6,7,8 Recently, emphasis has been placed on functional outcome and recovery. Faster return of function and return to work are related to rehabilitation strategy. Following operative treatment of ankle fractures, most physicians advocate a period of non-weightbearing followed by partial progressive weightbearing.

The primary goals of fracture surgery and postoperative regimen are to minimise disability from injury. A secondary goal is to minimise the period of convalescence and thus maximise function as expediently as possible given the usual considerations to risk and benefit. We believe that a certain

Financial or Other, Competing Interest: None. Submission 12-08-2017, Peer Review 26-08-2017, Acceptance 11-09-2017, Published 22-09-2017. Corresponding Author: Dr. Shiv Sandeep S. V, Assistant Professor, Department of Orthopaedics, Anil Neerukonda Hospital, Sangivalasa, Vishakapatnam-62.

E-mail: sandeep20002003@yahoo.com DOI: 10.18410/jebmh/2017/904



subset of patients with unstable ankle fractures treated with open reduction and internal fixation can be made weightbearing as tolerated immediately without jeopardising the operative fixation or clinical outcome. We assume that earlier weightbearing will allow patients to return to their activities of daily living quicker with an overall easier time during convalescence.

Aims and Objectives

Study was conducted to check whether immediate weightbearing in case of postop ankle fractures.

Retrospectively, patients were identified who had ORIF after unstable ankle injuries treated at our hospital. Immediate Weightbearing As Tolerated (IWBAT) allows patients to return to ambulation and activities of daily living faster and may facilitate rehabilitation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was done at Anil Neerukonda Hospital. A prospectively gathered orthopaedic trauma database at our trauma center was reviewed retrospectively to identify patients who had sustained unstable ankle injuries treated by the senior author between October 2013 and July 2017. A total of 124 skeletally mature patients underwent ankle surgery, 31 of which were allowed Immediate Weightbearing As Tolerated (IWBAT) in the acute postoperative period.

Inclusion Criteria

Patients were included in case of fractures like medial malleolus, lateral malleolus, posterior malleolus, bimalleolar and trimalleolar fractures. Another criteria was good soft tissue coverage and minimal skin damage were included.

Exclusion Criteria

Patients were not made to weightbear as tolerated for the following reasons- syndesmotic fixation, polytraumatic patients with injuries otherwise precluding weightbearing, frank fracture dislocation requiring manipulative reduction under sedation, plafond or talar osteochondral defect, soft tissue concerns and bone loss (requiring bone graft and/or additional fixation) and combination of two or more of the above.

Study- Five out of the 31 patients did not follow up past the two-week point, five patients received follow up out of state and two patients did not return to two-week clinic appointment. As a result, 26 patients were included for assessment in this study.

Patients had a complete medical history and physical exam performed either in the emergency department or in clinic by an orthopaedic surgeon. Fractures were classified according to the AO/OTA classification system.⁹ Bimalleolar, trimalleolar, fracture dislocation and fibular fractures with more than 4 mm medial clear space widening on stress radiographs or positive gravity stress views were deemed unstable.^{10,11,12}

Operative protocol included open anatomic reduction and internal fixation of the fibula. In cases in which the medial malleolus was fractured, screws or small fragment plates were used for fixation. Posterior malleolus fractures were fixed on a case-by-case basis. Although, no clear indications exist for fixation of small posterior malleolus fractures, many of the small fractures and all of the larger fractures were treated operatively. The syndesmosis was reduced and held in place with two 3.5 mm screws if stress testing displayed widening after the malleoli were fixed.

Postoperative protocols were similar to all patients. Patients who were allowed IWBAT were protected in a Controlled Ankle Motion (CAM) Walker boot. The boot was kept on at all times for the first two weeks. Patients were instructed to keep the wound dry until seen at the two-week clinic followup. At two weeks, the dressings were removed and the wound assessed. The sutures were removed. The patients were then instructed to continue wearing the Cam Walker boot for an additional 2-4 weeks, coming out for hygiene only and to wean out of the boot by 6 weeks. Three view radiographs (mortise, anteroposterior and lateral views) of the ankle were obtained at the 6th, 12th, 24th, and 52nd week time points. At 6-week postoperation, the boot was discontinued if the patient had not already converted over to a shoe. Patients were offered a removable ankle stirrup to aid in weaning.

At the scheduled followup, patients had wound assessment, radiographic analysis of fracture reduction maintenance and healing, clinical fracture healing evaluation

and complications requiring further surgery. Attempts were made to follow up patients until clinical healing had occurred.

RESULTS

Of the 26 patients who had at least 6 weeks of followup, 20 (77%) were males and six (23%) were females and their average age was 41 years (range 20-74 years). The mechanism of injury included 16 low-energy falls, three motor vehicle accidents, three pedestrians struck by motor vehicles, two twisting injuries while playing sports, one fall off bicycle and one assault. According to AO/OTA fracture classification, there were four of type-44A (4%), 21 of type-44B (81%), and one of type-44C1, which did not require syndesmotic reduction and fixation (4%). Fifteen patients (58%) were cigarette smokers and two patients (8%) had non-insulin-dependent diabetes with no peripheral neuropathy.

Lateral malleolus fixation included 20 1/3rd tubular plates (77%), 4 precontoured posterolateral plates (15%) and one intramedullary nail (4%). Medial malleolus fixation was required in 11 patients (42%); screws were used in 10 cases (91%) and a plate in 1 case (9%). Posterior malleolus required fixation in 5 cases (19%). Twenty-five patients had intraoperative postfixation radiographs that displayed symmetric joint space around the talus. One patient had 1.7 mm increased lateral joint space compared to medial and superior clear space.

Average followup time was 140 days. Clinical evaluation at two weeks was noted for two patients having perincisional erythema that resolved with a short course of oral antibiotics (8%). At 6 weeks, no wound issues were noted. Twenty patients were wearing normal shoes and six patients continued to wear Cam Boot for comfort by the 6-week point. At the last clinic visit, three patients had persistent ankle stiffness, one patient had symptoms consistent with peroneal subluxation, which resolved with physical therapy, and one patient required removal of medial malleolar fixation secondary to symptomatic hardware.

Radiographic evaluation at 6 weeks displayed no loss of reduction in 25 patients (96%) and one loss of reduction (4%). This was the same patient that was noted to have 1.7 mm of increased lateral joint space compared to medial and superior clear space. At the 6-week interval, the lateral joint space was 4.8 greater than the medial and superior clear space (Figure 1). Intraoperative fluoroscopy images were reviewed and it was noted that the patient had a missed syndesmotic injury (Figure 2).



Figure 1. Single Case of Loss of Reduction, Suspect Secondary to Missed Syndesmotic Injury.

- (a) Preoperative Mortise and Lateral Radiographs. (b) Immediate Postoperative Mortise and Lateral Radiographs.
- (c) 6 Weeks of Followup Mortise and Lateral Radiographs



Figure 2. Intraoperative Fluoroscopic Images of Failure Case.

(a) Pre-External Rotation Stress Mortise View. (b) External Rotation Stress Mortise View. Medial Clear Space Widening Suggestive of Missed Syndesmotic Injury

DISCUSSION

This study demonstrates that IWBAT in a certain subset of patients with stable osteosynthesis following an ankle fracture is a safe alternative to a period of protected weightbearing. Earlier weightbearing is associated with earlier return to full weightbearing without a reduction in functional outcome scores. 13,14,15

Early weightbearing is routinely used to treat stable ankle fractures. It facilitates rehabilitation and allows the patient to have better mobility. 16,17,18,29 Furthermore, studies have shown reduced calf atrophy and decreased osteoporotic changes with earlier weightbearing. 20,21 Simanski et al's work displayed a positive trend with earlier weightbearing of ankle fractures and return to work and reduction in hospital stay. 13

This study was designed to analyse whether immediate weightbearing after stabilisation of unstable ankle fractures would result in early loss of fixation. To our knowledge, only one other group has published a series on immediate weightbearing as tolerated after ankle fixation without a cast. Egol evaluated two groups of patients with ankle fractures with the main outcome measure being time to return to work.²² One group was treated in a below knee cast and the other group via a functional brace after fixation. Both groups were non-weightbearing on the affected side. Mean time from surgery to return to work was substantially shorter in the functional brace early movement group (7.6 versus 15.2 weeks). Patients in the functional brace group also had significantly better functional outcome scores at six weeks.

Ahl et al prospectively compared immediate and late weightbearing after ankle fixation in a below knee cast. 16,19 Radiographic and clinical analysis at three and six months did not display a difference between the two groups. Time to return to work was not assessed. More recently, Starkweather et al retrospectively reviewed 126 patients who bore weight in a short leg cast within 15 days after surgical repair of acute unilateral closed ankle fractures. Ninety-nine percent of the radiographs showed no loss of reduction on final followup examination.²³ Simanski et al performed a prospective study comparing functional early weightbearing (3 weeks) to 6 weeks without weightbearing in a below knee cast.¹³ The early weightbearing group was allowed partial weightbearing (10-15 kg) in an Aircast Air-Stirrup brace immediately after surgery. The patients were then allowed full weightbearing at 3 weeks if no problems were identified. Early weightbearing patients were able to obtain full weightbearing in advance of the delayed group (7.7 versus 13.5 weeks,). No disadvantage was noted in regard to the early weightbearing group both clinically and radiographically.

Arif et al's study was the only study that we found that allowed immediate weightbearing without a below-knee cast. 14 This was a retrospective study with one group of patients that were allowed weightbearing as tolerated postoperatively without a cast and the other group of patients were placed in a cast and made non-weightbearing for 6 weeks. Olerud and Molander scores were not

statistically significant between the groups. Return to work was 55 days for the early weightbearing group versus 91 days for the delayed weightbearing group, which was statistically significant.

The above-stated studies all suggest that earlier weightbearing and motion would allow patients earlier return to function without any compelling disadvantage. Our findings show that patients can fully weightbear as tolerated during the immediate postoperative period similar to patients with stable ankle fractures. Our patient group had one case of loss of reduction and fixation failure. This occurred as a result of a missed syndesmotic injury. This reaffirms the importance of identifying syndesmotic disruptions.

This study has a number of limitations inherent in any retrospective case series. The major limitation being that only a subset of patients with unstable ankle fractures was allowed immediate full weightbearing. This discretion was set by the senior authors practice guideline, which does not allow IWBAT in polytrauma patients, cases of syndesmotic disruption and concerns for soft tissue compromise. Additionally, while we did not exclude diabetic patients (no insulin-dependent diabetic patients met inclusion criteria), one should consider not allowing patients with poorlycontrolled diabetes and/or peripheral neuropathy to bear weight immediately due to soft tissue healing concerns. Patients with poor bone quality and comminution should potentially also be excluded. While, we did not exclude patients for these two factors, they can theoretically result in early failures in patients that are allowed to bear weight immediately. Another limitation of this study is that we did not have a control group and seven patients did not have appropriate followup and therefore excluded. If all seven of these patients had loss of reduction, then the failure rate would be unacceptable at 24%. Lastly, no specific radiograph parameters were utilised to specify the degree of dislocation that required reduction. Although, this study does support immediate weightbearing postoperatively for a certain subset of patients with ankle fractures, we feel that a controlled, prospective trial is warranted to look further at the influence of delayed versus immediate weightbearing after ankle fixation surgery.

CONCLUSION

Patient can be asked to weightbear immediately if there is a stable osteosynthesis following an ankle fracture is a safe alternative to a period of protected weightbearing. Earlier weightbearing has been associated with better mobility, shorter hospital stay and earlier return to work and daily activities of life.

Potential candidates for IWBAT are patients with-

- a. Closed ankle fractures.
- b. Without syndesmotic disruption.
- c. No involvement of the tibial plafond.
- d. Stable fixation has been achieved.

REFERENCES

- [1] Michelson JD. Ankle fractures resulting from rotational injuries. J Am Acad Orthop Surg 2003;11(6):403-412.
- [2] Praemer A, Furner S, Rice DP. Musculoskeletal Conditions in the United States. USA: The American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons 1992.
- [3] Bauer M, Bergstrom B, Hemborg A, et al. Malleolar fractures: nonoperative versus operative treatment. A controlled study. Clin Orthop Relat Res 1985;199:17-27.
- [4] Bauer M, Jonsson K, Nilsson B. Thirty-year follow-up of ankle fractures. Acta Orthop Scand 1985;56(2):103-106.
- [5] Mast JW, Teipner WA. A reproducible approach to the internal fixation of adult ankle fractures: rationale, technique, and early results. Orthopedic Clinics of North America 1980;11(3):661-679.
- [6] Joy G, Patzakis MJ, Harvey JP. Precise evaluation of the reduction of severe ankle fractures. Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery Series Am 1974;56(5):979-993.
- [7] Mont MA, Sedlin ED, Weiner LS, et al. Postoperative radiographs as predictors of clinical outcome in unstable ankle fractures. J Orthop Trauma 1992;6(3):352-357.
- [8] Pettrone FA, Gail M, Pee D, et al. Quantitative criteria for prediction of the results after displaced fracture of the ankle. J Bone Joint Surg Am 1983;65(5):667-677.
- [9] Marsh JL, Slongo TF, Agel J, et al. Fracture and dislocation classification compendium-2007: orthopaedic trauma association classification, database and outcomes committee. J Orthop Trauma 2007;21(10 Suppl):S1-S133.
- [10] Egol KA, Amirtharajah M, Tejwani NC, et al. Ankle stress test for predicting the need for surgical fixation of isolated fibular fractures. J Bone Joint Surg Am 2004;86-A(11):2393-2398.
- [11] Schock HJ, Pinzur M, Manion L, et al. The use of gravity or manual-stress radiographs in the assessment of supination-external rotation fractures of the ankle. J Bone Joint Surg Br 2007;89(8):1055-1059.
- [12] Phillips WA, Schwartz HS, Keller CS, et al. A prospective, randomized study of the management of severe ankle fractures. J Bone Joint Surg Am 1985;67(1):67-78.
- [13] Simanski CJ, Maegele MG, Lefering R, et al. Functional treatment and early weightbearing after an ankle fracture: a prospective study. J Orthop Trauma 2006;20(2):108-114.
- [14] Gul A, Batra S, Mehmood S, et al. Immediate unprotected weightbearing of operatively treated ankle fractures. Acta Orthopaedica Belgica 2007;73(3):360-365.
- [15] Honigmann P, Goldhahn S, Rosenkranz J, et al. After treatment of malleolar fractures following ORIFfunctional compared to protected functional in a vacuum-stabilized orthesis: a randomized controlled trial. Arch Orthop Trauma Surg 2007:127(3):195-203.

- [16] Ahl T, Dalen N, Holmberg S, et al. Early weightbearing of displaced ankle fractures. Acta Orthopaedica Scandinavica 1987;58(5):535-538.
- [17] Shaffer MA, Okereke E, Esterhai JL, et al. Effects of immobilization on plantar-flexion torque, fatigue resistance, and functional ability following an ankle fracture. Phys Ther 2000;80(8):769-780.
- [18] Sondenaa K, Hoigaard U, Smith D, et al. Immobilization of operated ankle fractures. Acta Orthopaedica Scandinavica 1986;57(1):59-61.
- [19] Ahl T, Dalen N, Lundberg A, et al. Early mobilization of operated on ankle fractures. Prospective, controlled study of 40 bimalleolar cases. Acta Orthop Scand 1993;64(1):95-99.

- [20] Järvinen M, Kannus P. Injury of an extremity as a risk factor for the development of osteoporosis. J Bone Joint Surg Am 1997;79(2):263-276.
- [21] Stöckle U, König B, Tempka A, et al. Cast immobilization versus vacuum stabilizing system. Early functional results after osteosynthesis of ankle joint fractures. Der Unfallchirurg 2000;103(3):215-219.
- [22] Egol KA, Dolan R, Koval KJ. Functional outcome of surgery for fractures of the ankle. A prospective, randomised comparison of management in a cast or a functional brace. J Bone Joint Surg Br 2000;82(2):246-249.
- [23] Starkweather MP, Collman DR, Schuberth JM. Early protected weightbearing after open reduction internal fixation of ankle fractures. J Foot Ankle Surg 2012;51(5):575-578.