Usage of Systemic Therapies in Oral Lichen Planus

Rithanya P, Jayanth Kumar Vadivelu*

Department of Oral Medicine, Saveetha University, Chennai, India.

ABSTRACT

INTRODUCTION

Oral lichen planus is a relatively common chronic inflammatory immunological reaction in which the epidermal or the epithelial basal cell layer damage produces mucocutaneous lesions of varying types. It varies in appearance from keratotic (reticular or plaque like) to erythematous or the ulcerative type. Even though the etiology for oral lichen planus is unknown, the immune system has a primary role in the development of the disease. The principal aim of treating oral lichen planus would be resolving the painful symptoms of the oral lesions and the long term follow-up to counter the chances of transformation in the course of the disease.

AIM OF THE STUDY

The aim of the present study was to analyse the incidence rate in the usage of systemic therapies in oral lichen planus. Thereby providing better treatment options for a better outcome.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Retrospective analysis of all the cases (Oral lichen Planus under systemic therapies) was retrieved among the overall data of patients visiting Saveetha Dental College. The data was entered in Excel Spreadsheets. And the collected data was analysed using SPSS software version 19. Chi square test was used to statistically evaluate the results.

RESULTS

In the present study carried out, it was found that corticosteroids was used in about (113.9 %) along with combinations of other medications such as histamine, steroid sparing and other supplement agents. And anti-histamine was used in (176.4 %) along with a combination of supplements. And only supplements were administered in about (70 %) of the patients. The results obtained were statistically significant (p < 0.005).

CONCLUSION

From the present study, it was found that anti histamines were the most commonly used drugs in combinations, followed by corticosteroid and other supplement therapy.

KEYWORDS

Systemic therapies, Oral Lichen Planus, corticosteroids, anti-histamines, supplement therapies.

Corresponding Author:

Vivek Narayan, Department of Oral Medicine, Saveetha University, Chennai, India. E-mail:doctorjayanth@gmail.co m

How to Cite This Article:

Rithanya P, Vadivelu JK . Usage of Systemic Therapies in Oral Lichen Planus. J Evid Based Med Healthc 2022;9(06):28.

Received date: 08-March-2022; Manuscript No: JEBMH-21-50913; Editor assigned date: 11-March-2022; PreQC No. JEBMH-21-50913(PQ); Reviewed date: 25-March-2022; QC No. JEBMH-21-50913; Revised date: 30-March-2022; Manuscript No. JEBMH-21-50913; Published date: 05-April-2022; DOI: 10.18410/ jebmh/2022/09.06.28

Copyright © 2022 Rithanya P, et al. This is an open access article distributed under Creative Commons Attribution License [Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0)]

INTRODUCTION

Oral Lichen Planus is a chronic inflammatory disorder that can cause local irritation and discomfort on the site of infection. Although a wide range of therapeutics is available in the market currently, data on the long term efficacy of treatment of this chronic inflammatory disease is limited. Oral Lichen Planus primarily affects the mucosal sites. The posterior buckle mucosa is the most frequently involved site, followed by the tongue, gingiva and the vermillion border of the lip. Oral Lichen Planus can also occur in non-oral epithelial surfaces such as the skin, genitalia, nails and the hair follicles. The oral form of the disease is the relatively commonest form and affects 1 % to 4 % of the population. The onset of the disease usually occurs in the fifth or the sixth decade of life, though all the age groups may also be affected. There is controversy still as to whether is most commonly occurs among the female population or the male population.¹⁻⁶

Oral Lichen Planus is believed to be an autoimmune disorder mediated by the T-lymphocytes leading to inflammation and keratinocytes cell death. Exogenous factors may also contribute to the pathogenesis of the disease, for example patients with hepatitis infections have a higher prevalence of Oral Lichen Planus than the uninfected individuals. In the recent studies up to date other exogenous factors, such as contact allergens, particularly those used in dentistry (gold and mercury amalgam) have been implicated as a cause of the disease.⁷⁻¹²

Oral Lichen Planus occurs in several forms including atrophic, bullous, erosive, popular, pigmented, plaque like and the reticular forms. Reticular lesions are the most common, but the patients with the reticular lesions are generally found to be asymptomatic. Atrophic, bullous and the erosive from can cause discomfort ranging from mild to severe pain. Although Oral Lichen Planus can spontaneously regress, lesions eventually require treatment. The most commonly used agents are corticosteroids. Topical and Systemic medications include immunosuppressant such as cyclosporine and tacrolimus and topical or systemic retinoid. Despite the wide range of the apeutics used in the treatment of Oral Lichen Planus, data on the efficacy are limited. Previous study articles include that there was weak evidence for the effectiveness of our therapeutics classes (corticosteroids, cyclosporine, retinoid or phototherapy) when these agents were used individually. Our team has extensive knowledge and research experience that has translate into high quality publications.¹³⁻²⁰

The aim of this study is to identify and analyse the systemic medications in Oral Lichen Planus, the current study was carried out for treatment and better follow up of the patients.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present study is a retrospective study carried out in a hospital setting under a specific population predominantly South Indian population. It is a single centred study with a small sample size. It was carried out with the approval of the Institutional Review Board approval. In this study, data of the patients were collected by complete analysis of the data of 86000 patients between June 2020 to March 2021 from patient management software (DIAS). Data including patients name, age, gender, drugs used in patients with Oral Lichen Planus were been collected. And for further analysis the collected data was cross verified by another examiner. The collected data was tabulated using Excel Spread sheets and the data was analysed using SPSS software version 19. The statistical study used in the study was Chi square test with p value less than 0.005 and confidence interval of 95 %.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In the present study, it was found that (34.7 %) male population and (65.3 %) female population were involved in the study. Age groups of (10 - 30)years were found to be about (11.1 %), age group (30 - 50) years were found to be about (47.2 %) and age groups (50 - 80) years were found to be about (41.7 %). It was found that the corticosteroids were used in (9.7 %) of the along individuals. corticosteroids with antihistamines were in (13.9 used %), corticosteroids along with antihistamines were used (6.9 %) and corticosteroids along with in antihistamines and supplements were used in (19.4 %). An overall of (49.9 %) of corticosteroids were being used in the study groups. Antihistamines were used in about (37.5 %) and anti-histamines and supplements were being used in about (1.4 %). An overall of (38.9 %) of antihistamines were used. And an overall of (11.1 %) of supplements were found to be administered in the study groups.

In the correlation between gender and the systemic therapies, it was observed that antihistamines were more commonly used among the female population than the male population, followed bv corticosteroids and supplements. Whereas, the corticosteroids along with combination therapy (corticosteroid + anti-histamines + supplements) has higher usage rate followed by anti-histamines and supplements. In the correlation between the age and the systemic therapies used in Oral Lichen Planus it was observed that antihistamines were the most commonly used among the age groups (30 -50) years and also (50 - 80) years followed by the corticosteroids and the supplements. Whereas, corticosteroids along with the combination therapies had a higher usage prevalence among the age groups (10 - 30) years of age (Figures 1 - 4).









Despite the chronicity of Oral Lichen Planus, clinical trials to assess the new therapies have been reported after the follow up intervals and thereby providing incomplete information on the efficacy of the therapies. The long term follow ups allows observing the pattern of response and exacerbation of Oral Lichen Planus. Patterns of response and relapse has been a characteristic feature which in most patients could be controlled but not eradicated in most of the cases. The acquisition and maintenance of the responses in the oral lesions were the result of application of graded approach to drug administration, in which the intensity of the individual therapy is varied to achieve lesion regression and at the same time limit the oral and systemic toxicity.²¹⁻²⁸

The ladder approach to selecting the treatment has another dimension, patient soften continued to respond to the topical treatments, over the patients treated with systemic therapies. Gradually as the systemic agents took hold, topical agents were being tapered by the patients to control the expense and increase the convenience of usage. However, topical therapies were always encouraged to reduce the dosage of the systemic therapies being used.

In the previous studies, it was observed that about 65 % of the patients had complete responses treated either with the topical and the systemic medications. Systemic corticosteroids were avoided as a long term treatment option because of the toxic effects in patients revering the drug therapies. Few other studies showed that topical medication showed complete response in a long term follow up basis.

CONCLUSION

It can be concluded from the present small sampled retrospective study, corticosteroids were the most commonly used drugs in the systemic therapies among the patients with Oral Lichen Planus. Out of which, anti-histamines were the most commonly used class of drugs followed by other drugs in combination therapies.

REFERENCES

- 1. Avinash CKA, Tejasvi MLA, Maragathavalli G, et al. Impact of ERCC1 gene polymorphisms on response to cisplatin based therapy in oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC) patients. Indian J Pathol Microbiol 2020;63(4):538p
- Bouquot JE. Common oral lesions found during a mass screening examination. J Am Dent Assoc 1986;112(1):50–57.
- Bouquot JE, Gundlach KK. Oral exophytic lesions in 23,616 white Americans over 35 years of age. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol 1986;62(3):284–291.
- Cawson RA, Binnie WH, Eveson JW. Color Atlas of Oral Disease: Clinical and Pathologic Correlations. Mosby Incorporated 1994.
- Chaitanya NC, Muthukrishnan A, Rao KP, et al. Oral Mucositis Severity Assessment by Supplementation of High Dose Ascorbic Acid During Chemo and/or Radiotherapy of Oro-Pharyngeal Cancers--A Pilot Project. Indian J Pharm Educ Res 2018;52(3):532–539.
- Chaturvedula BB, Muthukrishnan A, Bhuvaraghan A, et al. Dens invaginatus: a review and orthodontic implications. Br Dent J 2021;230(6):345–350.
- Das A, Das J, Majumdar G, et al. No association between seropositivity for hepatitis C virus and lichen planus: a case control study. Indian J Dermatol Venereol Leprol 2006;72(3):198–200.
- Eisen D, Carrozzo M, Bagan S,et al. Number V Oral lichen planus: clinical features and management. Oral Diseases 2005;11(6):338–349.
- Ezhilarasan D, Apoorva VS, Ashok 9. Syzygium cumini extract Vardhan N. induced reactive oxygen speciesmediated apoptosis in human oral squamous carcinoma J Pathol cells. Oral Med 2019;48(2):115-121.
- 10. Ezhilarasan D, Lakshmi T, Subha M, et al. The ambiguous role of sirtuins in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. Oral Diseases 2021.
- 11. Ferrisse TM, de Oliveira AB, Palaçon MP, et al. Immunohistochemical evaluation of Langerhans cells in oral lichen planus and oral lichenoid lesions. Arch Oral Biol 2021;124:105027.
- 12. Gudipaneni RK, Alam MK, Patil SR, et al. Measurement of the Maximum Occlusal Bite Force and its Relation to the Caries Spectrum of First

Permanent Molars in Early Permanent Dentition. Int J Clin. Pediatr Dent 2020;44(6): 423–428.

- 13. Jayasree R, Kumar PS, Saravanan A, et al. Sequestration of toxic Pb(II) ions using ultrasonic modified agro waste: Adsorption mechanism and modelling study. Chemosphere 2021;285: 131502.
- 14. Kavarthapu A, Gurumoorthy K. Linking chronic periodontitis and oral cancer: A review. Oral Oncol 2021;105375.
- 15. Mehta M, Dhanjal DS, Paudel KR, et al. Cellular signalling pathways mediating the pathogenesis of chronic inflammatory respiratory diseases: an update. Inflammopharmacology 2020; 28(4):795-827.
- 16. Mitran MI, Tampa M, Nicolae I,et al. New markers of oxidative stress in lichen planus and the influence of hepatitis C virus infection - a pilot study. Rom J Intern Med 2021.
- 17. Neville BW, Damm DD, Allen CM, et al. Oral and Maxillofacial Pathology 2009.
- 18. Patil SR, Maragathavalli G, Ramesh DNS, et al. Assessment of Maximum Bite Force in Pre-Treatment and Post Treatment Patients of Oral Submucous Fibrosis: A Prospective Clinical Study. J Hard Tissue Biol 2021;30(2):211-216.
- 19. Perumalsamy H, Sankarapandian K, Veerappan K,et al. (2018). In silico and in vitro analysis of coumarin derivative induced anticancer effects by undergoing intrinsic pathway mediated apoptosis in human stomach cancer. Phytomedicine 46:119–130.
- PradeepKumar AR, Shemesh 20. Н, Nivedhitha MS, et al. Diagnosis of Vertical Root Fractures by Cone-beam Computed Tomography Root-filled in Confirmation Teeth with by Direct Visualization: А Systematic Review Meta-Analysis. Endod and 1 2021;47(8):1198-1214.
- 21. Preethi KA, Lakshmanan G, Sekar D. Antagomir technology in the treatment of different types of cancer. Epigenomics 2021;13(7): 481–484.
- 22. Rajakumari R, Volova T, Oluwafemi OS, et al. Nano formulated proanthocyanidins as an effective w ound healing component Mater Sci Eng C 2020;106:110056.
- 23. Rajeshkumar S, Menon S, Venkat Kumar S, et al. Antibacterial and antioxidant potential of biosynthesized copper mediated through nanoparticles Cissus arnotiana extract. J Photochem plant Photobiol 2019;197: 111531.
- 24. Ramani P, Tilakaratne WM, Sukumaran G,et al. Critical appraisal of different triggering pathways for the

pathobiology of pemphigus vulgaris-A review. Oral Diseases 2021.

- Sarode SC, Gondivkar S, Sarode GS, et al. Hybrid oral potentially malignant disorder: A neglected fact in oral submucous fibrosis. Oral Oncol 2021;105390.
- 26. Sharma P, Mehta M, Dhanjal DS, et al. Emerging trends in the novel drug delivery approaches for the treatment of lung cancer. Chem Biol Interact 2019;309:108720.
- 27. Sivakumar A, Nalabothu P, Thanh

HN, et al. A Comparison of Craniofacial Characteristics between Two Different Adult Populations with Class II Malocclusion-A Cross-Sectional Retrospective Study. Biology 2021;10(5).

 Uma Maheswari TN, Nivedhitha MS, Ramani P. Expression profile of salivary micro RNA-21 and 31 in oral potentially malignant disorders. Braz Oral Res 2020;34;e002.