

Prevalence of the Types of Oral Lichen Planus in Patients of a Private Dental Institute

Amit Singh, Vivek Narayan*

Department of Oral Medicine and Radiology, Saveetha University, Chennai, India.

ABSTRACT

Lichen planus is a chronic inflammatory disease that affects the skin and the mucus membrane. Oral lichen planus (OLP), the mucosal counterpart of cutaneous lichen planus, presents frequently in the fourth decade of life and affects women more than men in a ratio of 1.4:1. Different types of oral lichen planus are present and the present study is performed to find the prevalence of different types of oral lichen planus. This is a retrospective study and the data is acquired from the patient archives of the department of Oral Medicine and Radiology. A total of 218 case histories were reviewed. Cross verification of data was done by photographic verification. Internal and external validity were verified. The required patient details were entered in the excel sheet. The data is transferred to SPSS for statistical analysis. Chi square test was used to find out association between different variables. The reticular type of oral lichen planus was found to be more prevalent in females (31.19 %) and in the 41 - 60 age group (25.23 %). This was followed by the erosive type of oral lichen planus which was 22.94 % in males and 14.22 % in females. It was found that the reticular type of oral lichen planus was the most common type and understanding the pathogenesis of oral lichen planus is paramount and also attempt should be made to understand the reason why these types of oral lichen planus are common in a particular gender and age group.

KEYWORDS

Oral lichen planus, Types novel study, Reticular, Erosive, Prevalence

Corresponding Author:

Vivek Narayan, Department of Oral Medicine and Radiology, Saveetha University, Chennai, India.

Email: viveknarayan@saveetha.com

How to Cite This Article:

Narayan V, Singh A. Prevalence of the Types of Oral Lichen Planus in Patients of a Private Dental Institute. *J Evid Based Med Healthc* 2022;9(05):4.

Received: 08-Mar-2022;
Manuscript No: JEBMH-21-50864;
Editor assigned: 11-Mar-2022;
PreQC No. JEBMH-21-50864(PQ);
Reviewed: 25-Mar-2022;
QC No. JEBMH-21-50864;
Revised: 30-Mar-2022;
Manuscript No. JEBMH-21-50864;
Published: 05-April-2022;
DOI: 10.18410/jebmh/2022/9.5.4

Copyright © 2022 Narayan V, et al. This is an open access article distributed under Creative Commons Attribution License [Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0)]

INTRODUCTION

The mouth is a mirror of health or disease, a sentinel or early warning system.¹ The mouth might rather be thought of as a window to the body because oral manifestations accompany many systemic diseases.^{2,3} In many cases, oral involvement leads to the appearance of other symptoms or lesions at other locations.⁴ Most of the oral mucosa is derived embryologically from an invagination of the ectoderm and as expected like other similar orifices, may become involved in disorders that are primarily associated with the skin.⁵

Lichen planus (LP) is a chronic mucocutaneous disorder of the stratified squamous epithelium that affects oral and genital mucous membranes, skin. Oral lichen planus (OLP) is the mucosal counterpart of cutaneous LP. The Lichen planus word was derived from the Greek word "leichen" which means tree moss and the Latin word "planus" means flat.^{6,7}

The designation and description of the pathology were first presented by the English physician Erasmus Wilson in 1866.⁸ He considered this to be the identical disease as "lichen ruber," previously represented by Hebra and indicated the disease as "an eruption of pimples remarkable for their colour, their figure, their structure, their habits of isolated and aggregated development. Kaposi described the first clinical variant of the disease in 1892 as lichen ruber pemphigoid. In 1895, Wickham noted the characteristic reticulate white lines on the surface of LP papules, today recognized as Wickham striae. Darier is assigned with the first formal description of the histopathological changes associated with LP.

The exact aetiology of OLP is not fully confirmed, although recent research suggests a key role of immunological mechanisms that may be involved. LP is an autoimmune disease, transmitted by T CD 8+ cells, macrophages, and Langerhans cells. Immune mechanisms trigger apoptosis resulting in cell breakdown and lead to change in the appearance of characteristic histological changes. The cause of lichen planus is not completely understood, but genetics and immunity may be a factor which causes a change in the body. Findings suggest that the body is reacting to an antigen within the surface of the skin or mucosa. Many authors think that lichen planus is an autoimmune disorder in which the skin cells lining the mouth are attacked by the white blood cells, but it is not confirmed yet more study is needed. Some authors

classify lichen planus as a cell-mediated immune response and believe that since it does not have any specific antigen that has not been identified and does not classify as an autoimmune disorder.

In the oral cavity and on the skin there was the clinical difference and is characterized by lesions consisting of radiating white, grey, velvety, thread-like appearance arrangement papules in a linear, annular and retiform forming typical lacy, reticular patches, rings and streaks. A small white elevated dot is present at the intersection of white lines known as striae of Wickham as compared to Wickham striae in the skin. The lesions are asymptomatic, bilaterally / symmetrical anywhere in the oral cavity, but the common site was buccal mucosa, tongue, lips, gingiva, the floor of mouth, palate and may appear weeks or months before the appearance of cutaneous lesions.

Our team has extensive knowledge and research experience that has translated into high-quality publications. This study aims to assess the prevalence of different types of oral lichen planus.⁹⁻²⁸

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Design

This is a retrospective study conducted in a private dental institution. The patient case records were reviewed for the necessary information by a trained examiner. The advantage of conducting the study in an institutional set up provides a population with similar ethnicity. Among patients who have visited the dental clinic of the institution, the case records of 218 patients were reviewed. The institutional ethical committee provided approval for the study.

Inclusion criteria

1. Patients who have been diagnosed with oral lichen planus
2. Patients with all types of oral lichen planus

Exclusion criteria

1. Incomplete patient data
2. Duplicate patient data
3. Patients having oral lichen planus coexisting with other mucosal lesions
4. Patients less than 18 years of age

Sampling

A total of 218 case records of patients with oral lichen planus were reviewed to find out the prevalence of the different types of oral lichen planus. Convenient sampling method was used to select the patients for the study. The data obtained from the case records were cross verified with photographs.

Data collection

All the data after thorough checking for duplicates, incomplete entries and cross verification with photographs were entered in Microsoft excel spreadsheet in order to organise the data. The variables obtained from the data included age, gender, different types of oral lichen planus and the presence of oral lichen planus.

Statistics


The statistical analysis of the obtained data was performed by the SPSS software version 23.0. The data from the excel spreadsheet was transferred to SPSS software for analysis. Chi square tests were employed in order to find the association between different variables. The final results are presented in the form of graphs for further interpretation and discussion. The p value $< 5\%$ was considered to be statistically significant.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The total sample size of the study is 218. Among the 218 individuals, 138 had oral lichen planus. The prevalence of oral lichen planus was found to be 63.3%. This value appears to be significant which can be attributed to the sample size taken and larger samples would have yielded a different value. Pakfetrat et al.²⁹ found the prevalence of oral lichen planus to be 18.2%. This marked difference in the values can be explained due to a higher sample size taken by Pakfetrat et al. In their study, 2025 patients were included and about 420 patients were diagnosed with oral lichen planus.

The occurrence of oral lichen planus was studied among the different genders (Figure 1). In the present study it was found that there was a female predilection for oral lichen planus with 37.16% of females affected by oral lichen planus and 26.15% males were affected by the disease. The association between the gender and oral lichen planus was found to be statistically significant ($p < 0.05$). Munde et al.³⁰ in their study found a male predilection (61.7%) for oral lichen planus and

females were found to be 38.2% in their study. This difference in the values could be due to the sample selection criteria adopted by the author.



Among the different age groups, oral lichen planus seems to have a predilection for the 41 – 60 (34.4%) years group (Figure 2). This was followed by 20 – 40 years (21.1%) and 61 – 75 years (7.8%). The association between the age and oral lichen planus was found to be statistically not significant ($p > 0.05$). Oberoi et al.³¹ in their study found that the age group 40 – 44 years (5.9%) had a greater number of oral lichen planus. This observation is quite similar to the current study and this also conveys that oral lichen planus is more common from the 4th decade of life. In contrast to the current study, Munde et al. found that the 15 and 2–24 age groups had a higher prevalence of oral lichen planus and this could be due to the sample characteristics of their study.




Figure 2: Bar chart shows the age range of the study population where the age range is represented on the x-axis and the percentage of the study population is represented on the y-axis. About 34.86% of the study population were 20-40 years, 53.67% were 41-60 years and 11.47% were 61-75 years suggesting an age range of 41-60 years predominance. The association between the age and oral lichen planes was found to be statistically not significant ($p = 0.522$; $p > 0.05$).

The different types of oral lichen planus were studied among males and females (Figure 3). It was found that reticular oral lichen planus was the most prevalent type irrespective of the gender. Females had 31.19 % and males had 18.35 % of reticular oral lichen planus. This was followed by the erosive oral lichen planus (22.94 % in males and 14.22 % in females). Other types of oral lichen planus such as pigmented, ulcerative, annular and bullous were present in lesser numbers in both the sexes. A few cases of lichenoid reaction were also found in both the sexes. The association between the gender and different types of oral lichen planus were found to be statistically not significant ($p > 0.05$). In the study done by Oberoi et al.³¹⁻³⁴ it was found that reticular oral lichen planus (2.6 %) was the most common type and this is in accordance with the findings of the current study. The same observation was also seen in the study by Munde et al. These findings prove that the reticular type is the most common type.




Figure 3. Clustered bar graph showing the association of gender with types of OLP. The X-axis represents the gender and Y-axis represents the types of OLP, where blue represents reticular, green colour denotes pigmented, grey colour denotes lichenoid reaction, purple colour denotes ulcerative, yellow colour denotes erosive, red colour denotes bullous, green colour denotes annular. There was no significant association between gender and types of OLP of the study population. ($p = 0.3$; $p > 0.05$).

Among the different age groups, the reticular type (25.23 %) of oral lichen planus was more prevalent in the 41 – 60 and the 20 – 40 years age groups. In the 61 – 75 age groups, erosive oral lichen planus was found to be more prevalent (Figure 4). This could be due to certain systemic diseases such as diabetes mellitus occurring commonly in older age groups and also due to the weakened immune system. Oral lichen planus occurring more commonly in diabetic patients is a popular observation made by several researchers.³⁵⁻³⁷ The association between the age and different types of oral lichen planus were found to be statistically not significant ($p > 0.05$).




Figure 4. Clustered bar graph showing the association of Age range with types of OLP. The X-axis represents the Age range and Y-axis represents the types of OLP, where blue represents reticular, green colour denotes pigmented, grey colour denotes lichenoid reaction, purple colour denotes ulcerative, yellow colour denotes erosive, red colour denotes bullous, green colour denotes annular. There was no significant association between the age range and types of OLP of the study population. ($p = 0.3$; $p > 0.05$).

CONCLUSION

The current study elucidated that the reticular type of oral lichen planus was the most prevalent type. The same was also found to be more prevalent in females and in the 41 - 60 age groups. This study had a smaller sample size which might be the reason for the current results. It is paramount to understand the pathogenesis of oral lichen planus in order to formulate an effective treatment strategy. It would also be useful to perform studies to find out the link between occurrence of these types of lichen planus in a particular sex and age group of patients.

REFERENCES

1. Cawson RA, Binnie WH, Eveson JW. *Color Atlas of Oral Disease: Clinical and Pathologic Correlations*. Mosby Incorporated 1994;372.
2. Sivakumar A, Nalabothu P, Thanh HN, et al. A Comparison of Craniofacial Characteristics between Two Different Adult Populations with Class II Malocclusion-A Cross-Sectional Retrospective Study. *Biology* 2021; 10(5).
3. Warnakulasuriya S, Greenspan JS. *Textbook of Oral Cancer: Prevention, Diagnosis and Management*. Springer Nature 2020;452.
4. Maheswari TNU, Nivedhitha MS, Ramani P. Expression profile of salivary micro RNA-21 and 31 in oral potentially malignant disorders. *Braz Oral Res* 2020;34.
5. Eisen D, Carrozzo M, Bagan Sebastian J-V, et al. Number V Oral lichen planus: clinical features and management. *Oral Dis* 2005;11(6):338–49.
6. Bajaj DR, Khoso NA, Devrajani BR, Matlani BL, Lohana P. Oral lichen planus: a clinical study. *J Coll Physicians Surg Pak* 2010;20(3):154–7.
7. Avinash CKA, Tejasvi MLA, Maragathavalli G, et al. Impact of ERCC1 gene polymorphisms on response to cisplatin based therapy in oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC) patients. *Indian J Pathol Microbiol* 2020;63:538.
8. Kaposi M. *Pathology and Treatment of Diseases of the Skin: For Practitioners and Students*. Sagwan Press 2018;702.
9. Jayasree R, Kumar PS, Saravanan A, et al. Sequestration of toxic Pb(II) ions using ultrasonic modified agro waste: Adsorption mechanism and modelling study. *Chemosphere* 2021;14(285):13150 2.
10. Sivakumar A, Nalabothu P, Thanh HN, et al. A Comparison of Craniofacial Characteristics between Two Different Adult Populations with Class II Malocclusion-A Cross-Sectional Retrospective Study. *Biology* 2021;10(5).
11. Uma Maheswari TN, Nivedhitha MS, Ramani P. Expression profile of salivary micro RNA-21 and 31 in oral potentially malignant disorders. *Braz Oral Res* 2020;34:e002
12. Avinash CKA, Tejasvi MLA, Maragathavalli G, et al. Impact of ERCC1 gene polymorphisms on response to cisplatin based therapy in oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC) patients. *Indian J Pathol Microbiol* 2020;63:538.
13. Chaitanya NC, Muthukrishnan A, Rao KP, et al. Oral Mucositis Severity Assessment by Supplementation of High Dose Ascorbic Acid During Chemo and/or Radiotherapy of Oro-Pharyngeal Cancers--A Pilot Project. *Indian J Pathol Microbiol* 2018;52(3):532–539.
14. Gudipaneni RK, Alam MK, Patil SR, et al. Measurement of the Maximum Occlusal Bite Force and its Relation to the Caries Spectrum of First Permanent Molars in Early Permanent Dentition. *J Clin Pediatr Dent* 2020;44(6):423–8.
15. Chaturvedula BB, Muthukrishnan A, Bhuvanaghan A, et al. Dens invaginatus: a review and orthodontic implications. *Br Dent J* 2021;230(6):345–50.
16. Patil SR, Maragathavalli G, Ramesh DNS, et al. Assessment of Maximum Bite Force in Pre-Treatment and Post Treatment Patients of Oral Submucous Fibrosis: A Prospective Clinical Study. *J Hard Tissue Biol* 2021;30:211–6.
17. Ezhilarasan D, Apoorva VS, Ashok Vardhan N. Syzygium cumini extract induced reactive oxygen species-mediated apoptosis in human oral squamous carcinoma cells. *J Oral Pathol Med* 2019;48(2):115–21.
18. Sharma P, Mehta M, Dhanjal DS, et al. Emerging trends in the novel drug delivery approaches for the treatment of lung cancer. *Chem Biol Interact* 2019;309:108720.
19. Perumalsamy H, Sankarapandian K, Veerappan K, et al. In silico and in vitro analysis of coumarin

derivative induced anticancer effects by undergoing intrinsic pathway mediated apoptosis in human stomach cancer. *Phytomedicine* 2018;46:119–30.

20. Rajeshkumar S, Menon S, Venkat Kumar S, et al. Antibacterial and antioxidant potential of biosynthesized copper nanoparticles mediated through *Cissus arnotiana* plant extract. *J Photochem Photobiol B* 2019;197:111531.

21. Mehta M, Dhanjal DS, Paudel KR, et al. Cellular signalling pathways mediating the pathogenesis of chronic inflammatory respiratory diseases: an update. *Inflammopharmacology* 2020;28(4):795–817.

22. Rajakumari R, Volova T, Oluwafemi OS, et al. Nano formulated proanthocyanidins as an effective wound healing component. *Mater Sci Eng C Mater Biol Appl* 2020;106:110056.

23. PradeepKumar AR, Shemesh H, Nivedhitha MS, et al. Diagnosis of Vertical Root Fractures by Cone-beam Computed Tomography in Root-filled Teeth with Confirmation by Direct Visualization: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *J Endod* 2021;47(8):1198–214.

24. R H, Ramani P, Tilakaratne WM, Sukumaran G, et al. Critical appraisal of different triggering pathways for the pathobiology of pemphigus vulgaris-A review. *Oral Dis* 2021.

25. Ezhilarasan D, Lakshmi T, Subha M, et al. The ambiguous role of sirtuins in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. *Oral Dis* 2021.

26. Sarode SC, Gondivkar S, Sarode GS, et al. Hybrid oral potentially malignant disorder: A neglected fact in oral submucous fibrosis. *Oral Oncol* 2021;105390.

27. Kavarthapu A, Gurumoorthy K. Linking chronic periodontitis and oral cancer: A review. *Oral Oncol* 2021;105375.

28. Preethi KA, Lakshmanan G, Sekar D. Antagomir technology in the treatment of different types of cancer. *Epigenomics* 2021;13(7):481–4.

29. Pakfetrat A, Javadzadeh-Bolouri A, Basir-Shabestari S, et al. Oral Lichen Planus: a retrospective study of 420 Iranian patients. *Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal* 2009;14(7):E315–8.

30. Munde AD, Karle RR, Wankhede PK, et al. Demographic and clinical profile of oral lichen

planus: A retrospective study. *Contemp Clin Dent*. 2013;4(2):181.

31. Prevalence of Oral Lichen Planus in Patients with Diabetes Mellitus: Study. *J Contemp Dent* 2021. [Crossref]

32. Ismail SB, Kumar SKS, Zain RB. Oral lichen planus and lichenoid reactions: etiopathogenesis, diagnosis, management and malignant transformation. *J Oral Sci* 2007;49(2):89–106.

33. The Malignant Transformation of Oral Lichen Planus and Oral Lichenoid Lesions, a Case Report and Review of the Literature. *jc* 2019.

34. Lee K-E. Oral Lichen Planus and Oral Lichenoid Lesion: Diagnosis and Assessment of Direct Immunofluorescence. *J Oral Med Pain*. 2016;41(3):91. [Crossref][Googlescholar][Indexed]

35. Sonia Gupta MKJ. Oral Lichen Planus: An Update on Etiology, Pathogenesis, Clinical Presentation, Diagnosis and Management. *Indian J Dermatol* 2015;60(3):222.

36. Kateeb E. Gender-specific oral health attitudes and behaviour among dental students in Palestine. *East Mediterr Health J* 2010;16(3):329–33.

37. Locker D, Miller Y. Subjectively reported oral health status in an adult population. *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol*. 1994;22(6):425–30.