NON-DESCENT VAGINAL HYSTERECTOMY FOR BENIGN GYNAECOLOGICAL DISEASE – A PROSPECTIVE STUDY

Chandana C¹, Shreedhar Venkatesh², Tasneem Nishah Shah³

HOW TO CITE THIS ARTICLE:

Chandana C, Shreedhar Venkatesh, Tasneem Nishah Shah. "Non-Descent Vaginal Hysterectomy for Benign Gynecological Disease – A Prospective Study". Journal of Evidence Based Medicine and Healthcare; Volume 1, Issue 8, October 15, 2014; Page: 827-833.

ABSTRACT: OBJECTIVE: To assess safety and feasibility of non-descent vaginal hysterectomy for benign gynecological disease. METHODS: A prospective study was conducted at the department of obstetrics and gynecology of Vydehi Medical College and research centre from January 2012 to December 2013. An effort was made to perform hysterectomies vaginally in women with benign or premalignant conditions in the absence of prolapse. A suspected adnexal pathology, Endometriosis, immobility of uterus, uterus size more than 16 weeks was excluded from the study. Vaginal hysterectomy was done in usual manner. In bigger size Uterus morcellation techniques like bisection, debulking, decoring, myomectomy, or combination of these were used to remove the uterus. Data regarding age, parity, uterine size, estimated blood loss, length of operation, intra-operative and post-operative complications and hospital stay were recorded. **RESULTS:** A total of 100 cases were selected for non-descent vaginal hysterectomy. Among them 97 cases successfully underwent non-descent vaginal hysterectomy. Majority of the patients (55%) were in age group of 40-45 yrs. Four patients were nulligravida and eight patients had previous LSCS. Uterus size was ≤ 12 weeks in 84cases and > 12-16 weeks in 16 cases. Commonest indication was leiomyoma of uterus (43%). Mean duration of surgery was 70±20.5 min. Mean blood loss was 150±65 ml. Reasons for failure to perform NDVH was difficulty in opening pouch of Douglas in two cases because of adhesions and in one cases there was difficulty in reaching the fundal myoma which prevented the uterine descent. Intra-operatively one case had bladder injury (1%) that had previous 2 LSCS. Post operatively complications were minimal which included post-operative fever (11%), UTI (8%) and vaginal cuff infection was (4%). Mean hospital stay was 3.5 days. **CONCLUSION:** Vaginal hysterectomy is safe, feasible in most of the women requiring hysterectomy for benign conditions with less complications and shorter hospital stay.

KEYWORDS: Route of hysterectomy, Non descent vaginal hysterectomy, intra-operative and post-operative complications.

BACKGROUND: Hysterectomy is one of the most commonly performed major operations. Hysterectomies are performed vaginally, abdominally, or with laparoscopic or robotic assistance. When choosing the route and method of hysterectomy, the physician should take into consideration how the procedure may be performed most safely and cost-effectively to fulfil the medical needs of the patient.¹ Abdominal hysterectomy is undoubtedly the most popular with a 70:30 ratio for abdominal versus vaginal route.^{1,2} Gynecologic surgeons worldwide continue to use the abdominal approach for a large majority of hysterectomies that could be performed

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

vaginally despite well-documented evidence that vaginal hysterectomy has distinct health and economic benefits in terms of fewer complications, better post-operative quality-of-life outcomes, and reduced hospital charges.^{2, 3, 4} The latest VALUE STUDY concluded that major hemorrhage, hematoma, ureteric injury, bladder injury, and anesthetic complications were more in laparoscopic assisted hysterectomy (LAVH) group when compared to abdominal and vaginal hysterectomies. In addition LAVH was accomplished in twice the time required for vaginal hysterectomy.^{5,6} The objective of this study was to assess the possibility of the vaginal route as the primary route for all hysterectomies for benign conditions, in the absence of uterine prolapse.

METHOD: The study was conducted in dept. of OBG Vydehi medical college and research centre Bangalore during January 2012 to December 2013. A total of 100 patients admitted to gynecological ward requiring hysterectomy for benign diseases in the absence of uterine prolapse without suspected adnexal pathology were taken for study. Prerequisites for non descent vaginal hysterectomy (NDVH) were set as uterine size not exceeding 16 weeks of gravid uterus (by clinical judgment) and adequate vaginal access with good uterine mobility. Exclusion criteria included uterus with restricted mobility, suspicion of malignancy, complex adnexal masses. A written informed consent was taken from all patients after explaining the procedure and Special consent for conversion to abdominal hysterectomy if needed, was taken. Pre-operative investigations including complete hemogram, urine analysis, blood grouping with Rh-typing, blood sugar, serum creatinine, blood urea, Pap smear, endometrial biopsy, ECG, Chest X-ray/ USG Abdomen and Pelvis was done.

All cases were done under regional anesthesia, either spinal or epidural. All cases were reassessed in operating theatre after patient was anaesthetized, to see the size, mobility of the uterus, vaginal accessibility and laxity of the pelvic muscles. After cleaning and draping, cervix was held with volsellum. Circumferential incision was made around the cervix, pubo-vesicocervical ligament was cut and bladder mobilized upwards. Both anterior and posterior pouches were opened one after another. Uterosacral and cardinal ligaments were clamped, cut and ligated. Clamping of uterine vessels was done bilaterally. If at this time the uterine size did not allow an easy exteriorization then debulking techniques like morcellation, bisection, decoring, myomectomy, or a combination of these methods were done. After delivering the uterus in the vagina, hysterectomy was completed in the usual manner. VH was considered successful if it was not converted to the abdominal route.

Operating time was calculated from the start of incision at cervico-vaginal junction to the placement of vaginal pack. Blood loss was calculated by noting the number of Mops used during surgery and blood collected in suction bottle. Post-operative catheterization with Foley's catheter was done in all cases for 24 hours. All the women received prophylactic antibiotic as per hospital protocol. Post operatively hemoglobin estimation was done and all patients were meticulously followed. Post-operative complications like fever, urinary tract infection, vaginal cuff cellulitis, vaginal bleeding is noted. All patients were followed from time of admission to time of discharge and 2 weeks thereafter. Data regarding age, parity, uterine size, estimated blood loss, length of operation, complications and hospital stay were recorded and statistically analysed using SPSS software.

J of Evidence Based Med & Hithcare, pISSN- 2349-2562, eISSN- 2349-2570/ Vol. 1/ Issue 8 / Oct 15, 2014. Page 828

RESULTS: Among 100 patients included in the study 97 patients successfully underwent nondescent vaginal hysterectomy, whereas three cases had to be completed by abdominal route due to various reasons. Majority of the patients belonged to age group 40- 45 years. Mean age in vaginal hysterectomy group is 44.5 yrs. Age wise distribution of patients is given in table no 1.

Majority of patients were para 2 and above. Parity wise distribution of patients is given in table 2. Four patients were nulligravid. Eight patients were with previous one or two LSCS.

The commonest indication for hysterectomy was fibroid uterus 43/100 (43%). Other indications were DUB, adenomyosis, pelvic inflammatory disease, premalignant conditions of cervix, post menopausal bleeding, endometrial and cervical polyp. Patient distribution according to indication for surgery is given in table 3.

Majority of the patients had uterine size less than 12weeks 84/100 (84%). Sixteen patients had uterine size between 12-16 weeks. Uterine size wise distribution of patients is given in table 4. Different morcellation techniques like bisection, decoring, myomectomy and debulking techniques were used during the surgery to remove bigger sized Uterus Volume reduction techniques were mostly required for uterine size 12 weeks and above. Debulking techniques were done in 40/100 (40%) patients.

In the present study the mean operating time was 70 ± 20.5 minutes. Mean blood loss was 150 ± 65 ml. Blood transfusion was needed in 10 patients. There was bladder injury in one patient intra-operatively who had previous 2 LSCS. Mean hospital stay was 3.5 (3-7) days. Post-operative fever was seen in 11 patients, UTI in 8 patients, vaginal cuff infection was seen in 4 patients as mentioned in table 5.

DISCUSSION: In the absence of uterine prolapse, most gynecologists prefer the abdominal to the vaginal route of hysterectomy. The common limitations for vaginal hysterectomy in non prolapsed uterus include size of the uterus, nulliparity, previous pelvic surgery or lower segment caesarean section (LSCS), pelvic adhesions and endometriosis.⁷The factors that may influence the route of hysterectomy for any surgical indication include uterine size, mobility, accessibility, and pathology confined to the uterus (no adnexal pathology or known or suspected adhesions).⁸

In the present study out of 100 cases selected for NDVH, 97cases were completed successfully, whereas three cases were converted to abdominal hysterectomy. Reasons for failure to perform NDVH was difficulty in opening pouch of Douglas in two cases because of adhesions and in one cases there was difficulty in reaching the fundal myoma which prevented the uterine descent. Majority of the patients were in the age group of 41-45 year. Similar age prevalence was noted in other case series reviews.^{9- 12} Similarly most of the patients were parous comparable to other studies.⁹⁻¹¹ Lax tissues following multiple deliveries and decreased tissue tensile strength provide comfort to vaginal surgeon even in the presence of uterine enlargement. In the present study four patients (4%) were nulligravida.

A major factor in determining the route of hysterectomy is transvaginal accessibility of the uterus. Inadequate accessibility sustaining from a narrowed vagina at the vaginal apex makes vaginal hysterectomy technically challenging and may contraindicate vaginal hysterectomy, especially by surgeons less experienced in this procedure. Two factors limit accessibility an undescended, immobile uterus and a vagina narrower than 2 fingerbreadths, especially at the

J of Evidence Based Med & Hlthcare, pISSN- 2349-2562, eISSN- 2349-2570/ Vol. 1/ Issue 8 / Oct 15, 2014. Page 829

apex. If the vagina will allow access to divide the uterosacral and cardinal ligaments, uterine mobility usually is improved enough to allow vaginal hysterectomy in these cases.¹³

Determining whether the pathology is confined to or extends beyond the confines of the uterus is critical to selecting the most appropriate route of hysterectomy. The presence of severe endometriosis, adnexal pathology, adhesions because of previous pelvic surgeries contraindicate vaginal hysterectomy.¹⁴ The commonest indication was fibroid uterus (43%). Fibroid uterus was the commonest indication in other case series.^{9, 10, 12} In our study 16 cases had uterine size more than 12 weeks which was similar to Bandra et al¹¹ in their study successfully removed 16/158 uterus of 12 to 20weeks size. Similar findings were reported by Unger¹⁵ who operated upon uteri weighing 200 to 700 gms without any increase in complications as compared to abdominal hysterectomies. These patients required volume reducing techniques like decoring, bisection, myomectomy or a combination of these after ligation of the uterine artery.

Mean blood loss was 150 ± 65 ml and amount of loss depend on uterine size and duration of surgery as compared to Bhadra B et al (100ml).¹¹ Ten patients required blood transfusion, Mean duration of surgery was 70 ± 20.5 minutes as compared to Goel et al (64 minutes), ³ Dewan et al (54.5 minutes),⁴ Bharatnur et al (65minutes)¹⁰ and Bhadra B et al (55 minutes).¹¹ The operative time was definitely more in the earlier phase of the learning curve. It was also dependent on the size of uterus and experience of the surgeon.

Our study included 8 cases with previous LSCS; Intra-operatively one case had bladder injury (1%) who had previous 2 LSCS. Bladder injury during vaginal hysterectomy has been variously reported between 0.5 to 1.6%. Unger¹⁶ reported an incidence of 2.8% in the past LSCS group vs. 1.6% in those without caesarean section. Sheth¹⁷ reported a very low incidence of bladder injury 7/5655 (0.1%). Post operatively complications were minimal which included post-operative pain, fever and UTI. Mean hospital stay was 3.5 days.

CONCLUSION: The technique used for hysterectomy should be dictated by the indication for the surgery, patient characteristics, and patient preference and experience of the surgeon. Most patients requiring hysterectomy should be offered the vaginal approach when technically feasible and medically appropriate. Vaginal hysterectomy for non-descent large uterus is safe and feasible provided one is familiar with the morcellation techniques. Vaginal hysterectomy for nonprolapsed uterus should therefore be practiced more frequently by gynecologists and should be included in training programs for residents and postgraduate students.

Age Group (yrs)	No. of Patients
35 – 40	6
40 – 45	55
45 – 50	25
>50	14
Total	100
Table 1: Age Distribution	

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Parity	No. of Patients
Nulligravida	4
Para 1	11
Para 2	45
Para 3	25
Para 4	15
Total	100
Table 2: Parity Distribution	

INDICATION for SURGERY	Vaginal Hysterectomy
Fibroid	43
Dysfunctional Uterine Bleeding	32
Adenomyosis	9
Cervical Intraepithelial Lesions	7
Postmenopausal Bleeding	6
Endometrial / Cervical Polyps	3
Total	100
Table 3: Indication for Surgery	

Size of the Uterus	No. of Patients
Normal to 6 weeks	31
>6 – 10 weeks	28
>10 – 12 weeks	25
>12 – 16weeks	16
Total	100
Table 4: Distribution According to Uterine Size	

	No. of Patients
Conversion to TAH	3
Bladder Injury	1
Blood Transfusion	10
Post-operative Pyrexia	11
Urinary Tract Infection	8
Vaginal Cuff Infection	4
Table 5: Intra-operative and Post-operative Complications	

REFERENCES:

- Nieboer TE, Johnson N, Lethaby A, Tavender E, Curr E, Garry R, van Voorst S, Mol BW, Kluivers KB. Surgical approach to hysterectomy for benign gynaecological disease. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2009 Jul 8; (3): CD003677. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD003677.pub4. Review. PubMed PMID: 19588344.
- 2. Kovac SR. Guidelines to determine the route of hysterectomy. Obstet Gynecol. 1995 Jan; 85(1): 18-23. PubMed PMID: 7800317.
- 3. Richardson RE, Bournas N, Magos A. Is laparoscopic hysterectomy a waste of time? Lancet. 1995; 345: 36-41
- Querleu D, Cosson M, Parmentier D, Debodinance P, Crepin G. The Impact of Laparoscopic Surgery on Vaginal Hysterectomy. J Am Assoc Gynecol Laparosc. 1994 Aug; 1(4, Part 2): S29. PubMed PMID: 9073742.
- 5. Sheth SS. Vaginal hysterectomy. In: PuriR, Malhotra N. eds. Operative Obstetrics and Gynaecology. New Delhi. Jaypee brother's medical publishers.2009: 499-510.
- 6. Sheth SS, Paghdiwalla K P. In: Saraiya UB, Rao A K, Chateerjee A.eds.Principles and Practice of obstetrics and Gynaecology.2ndedition.New Delhi. Jaypee brother's medical publishers.2003.374-30.
- Paparella P, Sizzi O, Rossetti A, De Benedittis F, Paparella R. Vaginal hysterectomy in generally considered contraindications to vaginal surgery. Arch Gynecol Obstet. 2004 Sep; 270(2): 104-9. Epub 2003 Jul 10. PubMed PMID: 12856131.
- Kovac SR, Barhan S, Lister M, Tucker L, Bishop M, Das A. Guidelines for the selection of the route of hysterectomy: application in a resident clinic population. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2002 Dec; 187(6): 1521-7. PubMed PMID: 12501056.
- 9. Dewan R, Agarwal S, Minocha B, Sen SK. Non-descent Vaginal Hysterectomy –An Experience. J Obstet Gynecol Ind.2004; 54(4): 376-8.
- 10. Bharatnur S. Comparative study of abdominal versus vaginal hysterectomy in Non-Descent cases. The internet journal of Gynaecology and Obstetrics.2011; 15(2): 1528-8439.
- 11. Bhadra B, Choudary AP, Tolassaria A, Nupur N. Non-Descent Vaginal hysterectomy (NDVH): Personal experiences in 158 cases. AL Ameen J Med Sci.2011; 4(1): 23-7.
- 12. Singh A, Bansal S. Comparative study of morbidity and mortality associated with nondescent vaginal hysterectomy and abdominal hysterectomy based on ultrasonographic determination of uterine volume. Int Surg. 2008 Mar-Apr; 93(2): 88-94. PubMed PMID: 18998287.
- 13. Doucette RC, Sharp HT, Alder SC. Challenging generally accepted contraindications to vaginal hysterectomy. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2001 Jun; 184(7): 1386-9; discussion 1390-1. PubMed PMID: 11408857.
- 14. ACOG educational bulletin. Operative laparoscopy. Number 239, August 1997. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. Int J Gynaecol Obstet. 1997 Dec; 59(3): 265-8. PubMed PMID: 9486522.
- 15. Unger JB. Vaginal hysterectomy for the woman with a moderately enlarged uterus weighing 200 to 700 grams. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 1999 Jun; 180(6 Pt 1): 1337-44. PubMed PMID: 10368468.

J of Evidence Based Med & Hlthcare, pISSN- 2349-2562, eISSN- 2349-2570/ Vol. 1/ Issue 8 / Oct 15, 2014. Page 832

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

- 16. Unger JB, Meeks GR. Vaginal hysterectomy in women with history of previous cesarean delivery. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 1998 Dec; 179(6 Pt 1): 1473-8. PubMed PMID: 9855583.
- 17. Sheth SS, Malpani AN. Vaginal hysterectomy following previous cesarean section. Int J Gynaecol Obstet. 1995 Aug; 50(2): 165-9. PubMed PMID: 7589752.

AUTHORS:

- 1. Chandana C.
- 2. Shreedhar Venkatesh
- 3. Tasneem Nishah Shah

PARTICULARS OF CONTRIBUTORS:

- Assistant Professor, Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Vydehi Institute of Medical Sciences & Research Centre, Bangalore.
- Professor and HOD, Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Vydehi Institute of Medical Sciences & Research Centre, Bangalore.
- Associate Professor, Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Vydehi Institute of Medical Sciences & Research Centre, Bangalore.

NAME ADDRESS EMAIL ID OF THE CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

Dr. Chandana C, # 868, 9th Main, BTM Layout, 2nd Stage, Bangalore – 76. E-mail: chandanachandregowda@gmail.com

> Date of Submission: 12/09/2014. Date of Peer Review: 15/09/2014. Date of Acceptance: 23/09/2014. Date of Publishing: 01/10/2014.