Knowledge, Attitude and Practice with Regard to Preventive Measures of Covid-19 across Community in the above 18-Years Age Group in Nandyal District, Andhra Pradesh, India

Raja Vikram Prasad¹, Deepa Latha Ciddhavaduta², Vivekanandan Kalaiselvan³, MadhaviLatha Midde⁴, R.V.S. Sri Ramachandra Murthy⁵, Afsar Fatima⁶, Mohammad Abdul Mushtaq Pasha⁷

^{1, 6, 7} Department of Community Medicine, Santhiram Medical College, Nandyal, Andhra Pradesh, India.
²Department of Pharmacology Pharmacovigilance Cell, Santhiram Medical College Nandyal, Andhra Pradesh, India.
³Indian Pharmacopoeia Commission, Government of India (Ministry of Health and Family Welfare), Ghaziabad, Uttar Pradesh, India.
⁴Department of Dermatology, Santhiram Medical College and General Hospital, Nandyal, Andhra Pradesh, India.
⁵Government General Hospital, Nandyal, Andhra Pradesh, India.

ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND

Present pandemic COVID-19 outbreak in China is spreading globally. WHO confirmed 8.126 million cases and deaths were 445K globally. India recorded 377974 confirmed cases and 14240 deaths and Andhra Pradesh 7071 confirmed cases and 90 deaths, with a mortality of 3.3 % according to sources of health ministry and from updates 18^{th} June 2020 news bulletin.

METHODS

This is a prospective cross sectional observational, study conducted in Nandyal, Andhra Pradesh. 1734 participants (384 general population, 250 doctors, 450 nurses, 550 class IV staff, 50 pharmacists and 50 police personnel) were included and modified predesigned WHO Questionnaire was used to collect the data. 1350 participants frontline healthcare personnel, pharmacists and police were enrolled for hydroxychloroquine prophylaxis; 384 participants used preventive measures like masks, social distancing, hand washing, staying at home, and usage of Aarogya Setu App. The collected data was analysed using SPSS version-22 software. Results were tabulated for demographic details, knowledge, attitude, practice analysis and statistical analysis.

RESULTS

39.6 % had knowledge, 68 % had attitude to adopt measures and 72.7 % were following preventive measures and 3.1 % were using Aarogya Setu App to protect themselves from COVID-19. 1350 participants were HCQ prophylaxis for 7 weeks; in these participants, pre- and post-tests were done and were found to be negative for corona infection; 1.6 % had mild ADRs. In order to prevent the spread of COVID-19, 100 % awareness among the community is required.

CONCLUSIONS

Though general population had 39.6 % knowledge on preventive measures for corona, 72.7 % were following them. On the other hand, frontline healthcare professionals and workers who were on hydroxychloroquine prophylaxis were found to be negative for corona infection.

KEYWORDS

COVID-19, Face Masks, Hand Washing, Social Distancing, Aarogya Setu App, HCQ Prophylaxis

Corresponding Author: Dr. Raja Vikram Prasad, Flat No. 302 A, A. V. Heights, Near Nowman Nagar, Nandyal- 518502, Kurnool (D), Andhra Pradesh, India. E-mail: rajavikramprasad@gmail.com

DOI: 10.18410/jebmh/2020/588

How to Cite This Article: Prasad RV, Ciddhavaduta DL, Kalaiselvan V, et al. Knowledge, attitude and practice with regard to preventive measures of covid – 19 across community in the above 18-years age group in Nandyal District, Andhra Pradesh, India. J Evid Based Med Healthc 2020; 7(48), 2872-2878. DOI: 10.18410/jebmh/2020/588

Submission 26-06-2020, Peer Review 10-07-2020, Acceptance 14-09-2020, Published 30-11-2020.

Copyright © 2020 Raja Vikram Prasad et al. This is an open access article distributed under Creative Commons Attribution License [Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0)]

BACKGROUND

Novel corona virus outbreak in December 2019 emerged in Wuhan, China, as SARS (Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome) -CoV2, exhibiting pneumonia like symptoms. During 2002 and 2012, major human disaster occurred in China as SARS and in Saudi Arabia as MERS-CoV, with mortality rate of 11 % and 34 % respectively. 1,2 WHO (World Health Organization) on 18th June 2020, confirmed COVID cases are 8.126 million and deaths 445K were reported globally and expecting to reach highest numbers.3 India records 377974 confirmed cases and 14240 deaths, updates from 18th June 2020 news bulletin.4 Andhra Pradesh 7071 confirmed cases and 90 deaths, updates from 18th June 2020 news bulletin.⁵ The mortality rate in Andhra Pradesh, India is around 3.3 %, from the sources of health ministry. 6 The virus was not detected in humans and animals previously but gene sequence evidences have suggested that people in Wuhan were carrying the virus similar to the virus identified in bats.7 COVID-19 first confirmed cases related to the Huanan wet market in Wuhan and its rapidly increasing cases have drawn global attention.8 Since January 2020 all suspected new cases were infected transmitted across entire China and to other countries as pandemic.⁹ On 22nd March 2020, as a preventive prophylaxis measure National Task Force for COVID-19 constituted by ICMR (Indian Council Of Medical Research) has recommended to use HCQ (Hydroxy-Chloro-Quine) for high risk population (Frontline Health care workers above 15 years, asymptomatic household lab positive contacts) under Pharmacovigilance Program of India, Indian Pharmacopoeia Commission. Later on 26th March 2020 revised advisory recommended to even include other frontline workers working in Non-Covid and Covid duties (health care workers, police personnel, asymptomatic household lab positive contacts). 10,11 On 26th May 2020 Government of India has launched Aarogya Setu App, recommended and advised all citizens to download for mapping the identified COVID cases from risk of further spread in the community. 12 Therefore need for this study is to assess awareness among community about COVID contagiousness and preventive measures taken by the general population and determine hydroxychloroguine prophylaxis outcome among frontline health care professionals, workers and police personnel in Nandyal, Andhra Pradesh, India to contain spread.

Objectives

- To assess the preventive measures of COVID-19 taken across community, among population aged 18 years and above in Nandyal.
- To assess regarding knowledge, attitude and practice measures adopted: wearing face mask, hand washing, maintaining social distancing, staying at home by general population and regarding usage of hydroxychloroquine among frontline workers.
- To determine the prevalence of usage of mobile Aarogya Setu App to control the spread of corona spread by general population.
- To determine the health outcomes following administration of the tablet hydroxychloroguine, 400

mg twice a day on starting day followed by 400 mg weekly once for 7 weeks to health care professionals.

METHODS

Study Design and Study Area

It's a community based cross sectional, prospective observational study carried across Nandyal, Andhra Pradesh, which is spread over an area of 28.88 Km² with constituent of municipality accommodating population of about 2.7 lakh as per NFHS-4. Study was carried during Feb 2020 to June 2020.

Sampling and Sample Size

Sample Size was calculated taking population of 2.7 lakh with considering 5 % of confidence interval and confidence level at 95 % and sample size was 384 for general population. The subjects for general population were enrolled using simple random sampling method. 250 doctors, 450 nursing staff, 550 class IV staff including scavengers, 50 pharmacists from both Santhiram General Hospital and Government General Hospital, Nandyal, and 50 police personnel were enrolled for the study with IEC number: IEC / 2020 / 051, for using hydroxychloroquine as prophylaxis treatment. The study subjects were followed and monitored for entire 7 weeks.

Study Subjects

Total of 1734 subjects was enrolled for the study. Institutional Ethics Committee has approved the study. Participants who gave written informed consent and are above 18 years and below 70 years were included. Non-compliant participants, below 18 years, sick with preexisting comorbid conditions and with no written informed consent were excluded from the study. 13

Predesigned WHO standard Knowledge, Attitude and Practice questionnaire, is modified on COVID infection about covering nose and face with mask or with handkerchief or with elbow, regular hand washing, maintain about 2-meter social distance, strictly staying at home. Introduction of mobile App and usage of Aarogya Setu app in preventing COVID-19 spread for 384 general population. 14 1350 (250) Doctors + 450 Nursing Staff + 550 Class IV + 50 Pharmacists + 50 Police personnel) asymptomatic health care professionals and workers were enrolled from Santhiram General Hospital and Government General Hospital, Nandyal and Police personnel were given separate predesigned questionnaire to fill voluntarily, who were posted for COVID duties were administered with tablet hydroxychloroquine 800 mg stat on day one orally, followed by 400 mg once a week for 7 weeks orally under supervision by Pharmacovigilance Adverse Drug Monitoring Center Coordinator from Santhiram Medical College. 10,11

| Participants | Ger Male | Total | | | | |
|---|---------------|---------------|--------------|--|--|--|
| General Population | 288 (75.0 %) | 96 (25.0 %) | 384 (100 %) | | | |
| Doctors | 156 (62.4 %) | 94 (37.6 %) | 250 (100 %) | | | |
| Nursing Staff | 58 (12.8 %) | 392 (87.2 %) | 450 (100 %) | | | |
| Class IV staff | 248 (45.0 %) | 302 (55.0 %) | 550 (100 %) | | | |
| Pharmacists | 23 (46.0 %) | 27 (54.0 %) | 50 (100 %) | | | |
| Police Personnel | 38 (76.0 %) | 12 (24.0 %) | 50 (100 %) | | | |
| Total with Percentage | 811 (46.77 %) | 923 (53.23 %) | 1734 (100 %) | | | |
| Table 1. Gender Distribution of Different Groups of Participants Enrolled in the Study | | | | | | |

| Vnowledge | n = | 100 |
|--|--------|------|
| Knowledge | 384 | % |
| How does the Corona Spread? | | |
| Droplets generated form cough | 226 | 58.9 |
| Sneeze | 0 | 0 |
| Nasal Discharge | 3 | 1.6 |
| All | 152 | 39.6 |
| Not Known | | 0.6 |
| | 0 | - |
| Can you Contract the Corona Virus Disease by Touching | | |
| Yes | 155 | 40.4 |
| No | 147 | 38.3 |
| Not Known | 82 | 21.4 |
| How Long does the Corona Virus Last on Surface | e? | |
| Few hours to several days | 3 | 0.8 |
| Months | 328 | 85.4 |
| Years | 53 | 13.8 |
| Not known | 0 | 0 |
| What Happens When You Get Affected with Corona | Virus? | |
| Mild respiratory symptoms with fever | 136 | 35.4 |
| Infection starts on an average of 5-6 days | 0 | 0 |
| Headache and body pains | 0 | 0 |
| All | 248 | 64.6 |
| Not Known | 0 | 0 |
| What Are the Symptoms of Corona Virus Diseas | | U |
| | | 10.0 |
| Fever, cough, shortness of breath | 42 | 10.9 |
| Breathing difficulties like pneumonia | 25 | 6.5 |
| Severe acute respiratory syndrome | 79 | 20.6 |
| All | 47 | 12.2 |
| Not Known | 191 | 49.7 |
| What is the Treatment for Corona Disease? | | |
| No specific treatment | 157 | 40.9 |
| No Vaccine | 47 | 12.2 |
| Prevention | 180 | 46.9 |
| Not Known | 0 | 0 |
| Do You Know About Quarantine? | | |
| Yes | 194 | 50.5 |
| No | 128 | 33.3 |
| Not Known | 62 | 16.1 |
| Do You Wear Face Mask? | 02 | 10.1 |
| Yes | 90 | 23.4 |
| No | 294 | 76.6 |
| Do You Know About Hand Washing? | 237 | 70.0 |
| Yes | 96 | 25.0 |
| | | |
| No No | 288 | 75.0 |
| Do You Know About Social Distancing? | | |
| Yes | 85 | 22.1 |
| No | 299 | 77.9 |
| Do You Stay at Home? | | |
| Yes | 53 | 13.8 |
| No | 331 | 86.2 |
| Do You Know About Aarogya Setu App? | | |
| Yes | 42 | 10.9 |
| No | 342 | 89.1 |
| How Do You Prevent Spread of Corona Virus Dise | ase? | |
| Know (Wearing face mask + Handwash + Social distancing + | | |
| Staying at Home) | 279 | 72.7 |
| Not known | 105 | 27.3 |
| THE MINISTER | 200 | 100 |
| Attitude | n=384 | % |
| How Do You Clean Your Hands? | | 70 |
| With soap and water | 142 | 37 |
| Alcohol based Hand Sanitizer | 0 | 0 |
| | | |
| Only Water | 23 | 6 |
| Wipe with Tissue paper | 0 | 0 |
| Using both Soap and Water and Hand Sanitizer | 114 | 29.7 |
| Occasionally with Soap and Water | 105 | 27.3 |
| How Many Times Do You Wash Your Hands? | | |
| Daily Once / twice | 0 | 0 |
| Washing Before and After eating | 0 | 0 |
| Washing After going to Rest room | 0 | 0 |
| Washing after every activity | 114 | 29.7 |
| • | | |

| Washing Before and After eating and After going to Rest room | 162 | 42.2 | | | | | |
|--|----------|----------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Washing Occasionally | 108 | 28.1 | | | | | |
| How Do You Protect from Cough, Sneeze and Co | old? | | | | | | |
| Wearing Mask | 35 | 9.1 | | | | | |
| Covering with Handkerchief | 31 | 8.1 | | | | | |
| Keep Elbow to cover nose and mouth | 10 | 2.6 | | | | | |
| All | 261 | 68 | | | | | |
| Do Not cover mouth | 47 | 12.2 | | | | | |
| What Do You Mean by Social Distancing? | | | | | | | |
| Keep 2-meter Distance | 290 | 75.5 | | | | | |
| Keep 1 feet Distance | 9 | 2.3 | | | | | |
| Keep 1 Square Feet Distance | 0 | 0 | | | | | |
| Keep 1 Shoulder Distance | 13 | 3.4 | | | | | |
| Do Not Know | 72 | 18.8 | | | | | |
| Do You Think Staying at Home Can Prevent Corona S | pread in | | | | | | |
| Community? | | | | | | | |
| Yes | 248 | 64.6 | | | | | |
| No | 0 | 0 | | | | | |
| Not Known | 136 | 35.4 | | | | | |
| Are You Willing to Use Aarogya Setu App? | | | | | | | |
| Yes | 11 | 2.9 | | | | | |
| No | 373 | 97.1 | | | | | |
| Not Known | 0 | 0 | | | | | |
| Practice | n=384 | 100 % | | | | | |
| Are You Following Hand Wash Regularly? | | | | | | | |
| Following | 249 | 64.8 | | | | | |
| Not following | 135 | 35.2 | | | | | |
| Are You Covering Yourself with Face Mask to Prevent from Droplet | | | | | | | |
| Infection? | | | | | | | |
| Following | 269 | 70.1 | | | | | |
| Not following | 115 | 29.9 | | | | | |
| Are You Maintaining Social Distancing? | | | | | | | |
| Following | 234 | 60.9 | | | | | |
| Not Known | 150 | 39.1 | | | | | |
| Are You Staying at Home? | | | | | | | |
| Following | 268 | 69.8 | | | | | |
| Not Known | 116 | 30.2 | | | | | |
| Are You Practicing Using Aarogya Setu App? | | | | | | | |
| Following | 12 | 3.1 | | | | | |
| Not following | 372 | 96.9 | | | | | |
| Table 2. Questionnaire on Knowledge, Attitude a | nd Prac | tice | | | | | |
| on COVID-19 for General Population | | | | | | | |

| Inowledge Regarding Various | Prac | Practice | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------|--|--|--|--|
| Behavioural Factors That Mitigate the Disease Spread | Yes | No | P-Value | | | | |
| Face Mask | | | | | | | |
| Yes No | 202 (72.4) 67 (63.8) | 77 (27.6) 38 (36.2) | 0.101 | | | | |
| Hand wash | | | | | | | |
| Yes No | 194 (69.5) 55 (52.4) | 85 (30.5) 50 (47.6) | 0.002* | | | | |
| Social Distancing | | | | | | | |
| Yes No | 179 (64.2) 55 (52.4) | 100 (35.8) 50 (47.6) | 0.035* | | | | |
| Staying at Home | | | | | | | |
| Yes No | 206 (73.8) 62 (59.0) | 73 (26.2) 43 (41.0) | 0.005* | | | | |
| Aarogya Setu App | | | | | | | |
| Yes No | 12 (4.3) 6 (5.7) | 267 (95.7) 99 (94.3) | 0.559 | | | | |
| Table 3. Assessment of Vario Mitigate the Disease Sprea | | | | | | | |

Thorough screening of all participants was carried out by general physicians, ophthalmologists and cardiologists which included vitals, such as pulse, blood pressure, fundal examination and required investigations performed such as ECG, serum creatinine, blood urea, RBS, LFT, Rapid COVID test before administration of drug. Subjects with normal vitals and investigations within normal limits were administered with tablet HCQ as per ICMR guidelines and Pharmacovigilance Program India - Indian Pharmacopoeia Commission, Ghaziabad.

| | Docto | rs | Nui | rses | Class | IV | Pharma | cists | Police Per | sonnel |
|---|--------------------|---------------|-------------|---------|-------------|---------|------------|---------|------------|---------|
| Variables | Knowledge | | | | | | | | | |
| | Yes | No | Yes | No | Yes | No | Yes | No | Yes | No |
| Were you informed about HCQS | 250 (100 %) | 0 (0 %) | 450 (100 %) | 0 (0 %) | 550 (100 %) | 0 (0 %) | 50 (100 %) | 0 (0 %) | 50 (100 %) | 0 (0 %) |
| Informed ADRs | 250 (100 %) | 0 (0 %) | 450 (100 %) | 0 (0 %) | 550 (100 %) | 0 (0 %) | 50 (100 %) | 0 (0 %) | 50 (100 %) | 0 (0 %) |
| Pre HCQs-Screening | 250 (100 %) | 0 (0 %) | 450 (100 %) | 0 (0 %) | 550 (100 %) | 0 (0 %) | 50 (100 %) | 0 (0 %) | 50 (100) | 0 (0 %) |
| Attitude | | | | | | | | | | |
| PvPI-AMC reminder for HCQ Adherence | 250 (100 %) | 0 (0 %) | 450 (100 %) | 0 (0 %) | 550 (100 %) | 0 (0 %) | 50 (100 %) | 0 (0 %) | 50 (100 %) | 0 (0 %) |
| Practice | | | | | | | | | | |
| Completed HCQ Course for 7 weeks | 250 (100 %) | 0 (0 %) | 450 (100 %) | 0 (0 %) | 550 (100 %) | 0 (0 %) | 50 (100 %) | 0 (0 %) | 50 (100 %) | 0 (0 %) |
| Post HCQ Screening With RT-PCR | 250 (100 %) | 0 (0 %) | 450 (100 %) | 0 (0 %) | 550 (100 %) | 0 (0 %) | 50 (100 %) | 0 (0 %) | 50 (100 %) | 0 (0 %) |
| Out of 1350 subjects Adverse Drug Reactions Reported | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total No. Reported | 4 (1.6 | %) | | D | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | |
| Type of ADRs | Mild, Probable and | l Preventable | N | lil | Nil | | Nil | | Nil | |
| Table 4. Knowledge, Attitude and Practice on HCQ Prophylaxis among Frontline Health Care Workers and Police Personnel | | | | | | | | | | |

All the participants were followed for 7 weeks and assessed on completion of the course with repeat investigations and Fundal examination. All the suspected ADRs were reported to ADR monitoring cell using yellow forms and entered in CDSCO forms. Causality assessment of ADRs is done by WHO-UMC Scale, for severity of ADRs with Hartwig Seigel Scale and preventability of ADRs using Schummont Thornton Scale. 15 All the suspected ADR patients were treated accordingly by stopping the drug and were administered with antihistaminics and Injection Hydrocortisone 100 mg. At the end of 7 weeks all 1350 participants were subjected for RT-PCR (Reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction) tests, which were provided by AP Government at free of cost.

Data Collection and Statistical Analysis

Study was briefed in advance to the field staff and trained to collect data separately for general population and for frontline health care workers and police personnel by taking precautions and standardize the information collection process in order to maximize reliability and minimize the bias. Questionnaire required 10 minutes on an average for completion by the participant. The collected data were coded, complied, entered in EXCEL spread sheet and data cleansing was done to rule out data duplication. Data imported into SPSS version-22 software. Results were tabulated and statistically analyzed to obtain frequency tables along with their percentages and cross tabulated using Pearson's chi square test. A p-value of less than 0.05 were considered as statistically significant.

RESULTS

In a total of 1734 subjects males were 811 (46.77 %) and females were 923 (53.23 %) (Table 1). Majority belonged to 38 - 47 years 807 (46.5 %) and 28 - 37 years 438 (25.2 %), 48 - 57 years 3.1 (17.3 %). Knowledge regarding corona spread for general population revealed that 152 (39.6 %). 180 (46.9 %) knew only prevention is better and 157 (40.9 %) knew that there is no specific treatment. 36 (9.4 %) had knowledge on Aarogya Setu App.

Attitude towards washing hands, 114 (29.7 %) used both soap water and hand sanitizer. 114 (29.7 %) had attitude to follow hand washing after every activity. 261 (68

%) covered themselves using all methods. 290 (75.5 %) had attitude to follow social distancing, 248 (64.6 %) were staying at home. 11 (2.9 %) had attitude of using Aarogya Setu App for protection. 279 (72.7 %) were practicing all measures. 249 (64.8 %) practiced regular hand washing. 269 (70.1 %) were wearing masks. 234 (61 %) were maintaining social distancing 268 (69.8 %) were staying at home. 12 (3.1 %) were following Aarogya Setu App (Table 2). The results obtained in this table helps in assessing the behaviour gap between knowledge and practice followed by the participants in the study on various factors that mitigate on corona spread like wearing face mask (p = 0.101)showing statistically not significant, following hand washing (p = 0.002) showing statistically significant, maintaining social distancing (p = 0.035) showing statistically significant, staying at home (p = 0.005) showing statistically significant and usage of Aarogya Setu app (p = 0.559) showing statistically not significant (Table 3). 1350 (100 %) participants had knowledge on HCQ prophylaxis. 1350 (100 %) had attitude for HCQ course completion. Post HCQ course was subjected for RT-PCR test found negative. Among 1350 (100 %), 4 (1.6 %) have shown mild, probable and preventable type of ADRs (Table 4).

DISCUSSION

In this study 923 (53.23 %) female participated which is more than 811 (46.77 %) males. Majority of participants were 38 - 47 years 807 (46.5 %) followed by 28 - 37 years 438 (25.2 %) similar to Joseph T F Lau et.al, study during 2004. This study showed 152 (39.6 %) respondents had knowledge on disease spread which is more compared to the study conducted by Huda F. Abbag during 2017 in general population found to be 19.5 % had knowledge on MERS-CoV, in Ahba, Saudi Arabia and concluded that poor knowledge was the cause for rapid spread of disease and could be the same in Nandyal. 16 155 (40.45 %) knew that virus can spread by touching surfaces, and 3 (0.8 %) knew that virus can survive few hours to several days. 248 (64.6 %) had knowledge on corona signs, 47 (12.2 %) knew about symptoms and 180 (46.9 %) had knowledge that prevention is the only way and 194 (50.5 %) had knowledge on quarantine. One of the challenges in fighting against COVID - 19 is that we have little knowledge on the pathogen and pathogenesis.¹⁷ Though Government of India is spreading message about importance of mobile app among community to adopt, so as to minimize the mobility and to identify the

COVID cases to interrupt further transmission, only 36 (9.4 %) of people are having knowledge on Aarogya Setu App application.¹⁸ 142 (37 %) respondents had attitude on washing hands with soap and water, 114 (29.7 %) used both soap and water along with hand sanitizer. 114 (29.7 %) washed hands regularly. 19 261 (68 %) thought to cover face wearing mask, handkerchief or with elbow. 290 (75.5 %) had attitude for social distancing and 248 (64.6 %) to stay at home and 11 (2.9%) thought to follow Aarogya Setu App, which is very poor.18 279 (72.7 %) practiced hand wash, wearing mask, maintaining social distancing and staying at home. 249 (64.8 %) followed regular hand washing is less compared to Issac et. al, 2003 study, where in 75.9 % were washing hands regularly among general public of Hong Kong.²⁰ 269 (70.1 %) were wearing mask, majority were from 28 - 47 years (115 + 88 = 203 [75.5 %]), found significantly high in younger age group compared to the Tang et, al. study, where elderly above 50 years (68 %) were wearing masks. The worst affected people in this situation is only elderly may be due to less usage of face mask in Nandyal.²¹ Shin Wei Sim et, al. 2014 concluded that usage of face mask helps in prevention of respiratory infections, at present COVID-19 spread can be controlled from lessons learned from SARS epidemic.²² 234 (60.9 %) were maintaining 2-meter social distancing and 268 (69.8 %) were strictly following staying at home during lockdown period. Wang et, al. concluded that in his phase-adjusted estimation in number of COVID cases in Wuhan suggested, that following preventive measures like maintaining social distance and staying at home has reduced the human-tohuman contact and found less spread of infection.²³ Study from Savi Maharaj et. al, proved using graphic representation showing that social distancing by one group has reduced the spread of infection compared to other who did not follow.²⁴ Prevalence of practicing mobile app, Aarogya Setu is 12 (3.1 %), which is very less and shows people have no interest in using app rather interested in other social apps is showing inequalities among the community, which have to be answered and could be reason for spread of COVID-19 in Nandyal.²⁵

As part of preventive measures in community among frontline health care personnel along with policemen posted for COVID duties were considered for HCQ prophylaxis orally by advisory released by ICMR in support with National Pharmacovigilance Program of India during March 2020, ^{26,27} 1350 (100 %) participants were having knowledge about the HCQ prophylaxis, were informed about the safety efficacy, adverse drug reactions, were subjected for pre-screening examination and tests like ECG, Serum Creatine, Blood Urea, RBS, LFT and rapid COVID test before administration of drug. 1350 (100 %) had attitude for HCQ course adherence towards completion, were motivated to maintain attitude to HCQ course adherence by PvPI (Pharmaco-Vigilance Programme of India) -AMC, Santhiram Medical College Center using mobile App, calls and through messages were monitored for complete 7 weeks for prophylaxis. On completion of 7 weeks of HCQ course which was dose monitored within the therapeutic dose for prophylaxis had no complications. Among 1350 participants 4 (1.6 %) have shown mild and probable type of ADRs (nausea, gastric irritation and giddiness) according to WHO-UMC Scale, for severity with Hartwig Seigel Scale and preventability of ADRs is done using Schummont Thornton Scale. The percentage of adverse effects was less than the data provided by PvPI safety Profile of HCQ in revised advisory.²⁷ Myron S concluded that healthcare workers on prophylactic HCQ on asymptomatic persons had less chance of COVID infection.²⁸ All respondents found negative for RT-PCR COVID 19 on completion of 7 weeks HCQ course, proving effective outcome as prophylactic measure in preventing spread among frontline personnel posted in COVID 19 emergency duties.

Limitations

More number of studies are required across the country with regard to hand washing, social distancing, wearing masks, staying at home and regarding usage of Aarogya Setu app among the general population. This study should have included more participants from all corners of the community like all professionals, businessmen, traders, healthcare workers, police departments, frequent travellers, scavengers, animal handlers, butchers, etc.

CONCLUSIONS

This study helps us to understand the need for increase in preparedness and response actions to be designed for general population to prevent transmission of the disease. 39.6 % had knowledge with regard to COVID, 68 % had attitude to fight against infection, 72.7 % were practicing preventive measures. 3.1 % were using Aarogya Setu App, which is very low among general population and this need to be addressed to attract more people to use the app. HCQ prophylaxis given to frontline healthcare personnel, pharmacists and police have given good results in the prevention of transmission. Proper screening, guidance and monitoring from pharmacovigilance center helped all highrisk frontline personnel, and they were found to be negative by RT-PCR tests for corona infection. The ADRs reported were probable, mild, preventable and were managed in time.

Recommendations

- Need more of effective COVID awareness programs to reach all corners of the community.
- Need to learn more from failures of the other affected countries.
- Need to adopt traditional (Indian and Japanese) cultural practices, to prevent spread of communicable diseases.
- Share and learn more live experiences from persons who recovered from infection.
- Regular administration of Vitamin A, B, C, D and Zinc would provide good immunity, which is cost effective.
- Regular hand washing and wearing face mask would help to prevent all droplet borne communicable diseases.

- Modified version is needed for Aarogya Setu App, so as to attract more people to use it.
- Promote more research studies for better quality of life for future mankind.

Data sharing statement provided by the authors is available with the full text of this article at jebmh.com.

Financial or other competing interests: None.

Disclosure forms provided by the authors are available with the full text of this article at jebmh.com.

I acknowledge Government General Hospital, Nandyal, for providing hydroxychloroquine prophylaxis data. I thank the nursing staff, pharmacists and Police Department, Nandyal, for their help in data collection.

REFERENCES

- [1] Chan-Yeung M, Rui-Heng Xu. SARS: epidemiology. Respirology 2003;8(Suppl 1):S9-14.
- [2] Middle East Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus. https://www.who.int/emergencies/mers-cov/en/. Accessed 16 Feb 2020.
- [3] WHO, Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) Situation Report – 88, National authorities by 10:00 CEST. 18th June 2020.
- [4] Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, India. Economic Times 18th June, 2020. 07.10 AM IST.
- [5] Ministry of Health and Family Welfare Government of India. Latest updates, appeared on 18th June 2020 19:35:52 GMT. https://www.mohfw.gov.in/.
- [6] Coronavirus updates: New e-paper 18th June 2020, 05:52 PM IST, livemint.com.
- [7] Ji W, Wang W, Zhao X, et al. Homologous recombination within the spike glycoprotein of the newly identified coronavirus may boost cross-species transmission from snake to human. J Med Virology 2020. Published online 22 January. doi:10.1002/jmv.25682
- [8] Lu H, Stratton CW, Tang YW. Outbreak of pneumonia of unknown etiology in Wuhan, China: the mystery and the miracle. J Med Virology 2020;92(4):401-402.
- [9] Fuk-Woo CJ, Yuan S, Kin-Hang K, et al. A familial cluster of pneumonia associated with the 2019 novel coronavirus indicating person to-person transmission: a study of a family cluster. Lancet 2020;395(10223):P514-523.
- [10] Balram B. Secretary to Government of India, Director-General, ICMR Advisory on the use of hyroxychloroquine as prophylaxis for SARS-CoV-2 infection: D.O. No. VIR/4/2020/ECD-I: dated 22nd March 2020: p. 1-3.
- [11] Balram B. Secretary to Government of India, Director-General, ICMR Revised Advisory on the use of hyroxychloroquine as prophylaxis for SARS-CoV-2 infection: D.O. No. VIR/4/2020/ECD-II: dated 23rd March 2020: p. 1-3.
- [12] National Information Center, Ministry of Electronics & Information Techology, Digital India, Aarogya Setu Bug Bounty Program for Andriod app, dated 27th May 2020: p. 1-9.

- [13] Lau JTF, Yang X, Tsui HY, et al. SARS related preventive and risk behaviors practiced by Hong Kong-mainland China cross border travellers during the outbreak of the SARS epidemic in Hong Kong. J Epidemiology Community Health 2004;58(12):988-996.
- [14] Kiesha P, Yang L, Russell TW, et al. The effect of control strategies to reduce social mixing on outcomes of the COVID-19 epidemic in Wuhan, China: a modelling study. Lancet Public Health 2020;5(5):e261-e270. https://doi.org/10.1016/ S2468-2667(20)30073-6
- [15] Sundaran S, Udayan A, Hareendranath K, et al. Study on the classification, causality, preventability and severity of adverse drug reaction using spontaneous reporting system in hospitalized patients. Pharmacy 2018;6(4):108.
- [16] Abbag HF, El-Mekki AA, Al Bshabshe AA, et al. Knowledge and attitude towards the Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus among healthcare personnel in the southern region of Saudi Arabia. Journal of Infection and Public Health 2018;11(5):720-722.
- [17] Qian X, Ren R, Wang Y, et al. Fighting against the common enemy of COVID-19: a practice of building a community with a shared future for mankind. Infectious Diseases of Poverty 2020;9:1-6.
- [18] Peng W, Kanthawala S, Yuan S, et al. A qualitative study of user perceptions of mobile health apps. BMC Public Health 2016;16(1):1158.
- [19] Lau JTF, Yang X, Tsui H, et al. Monitoring community responses to the SARS epidemic in Hong Kong: from day 10 to day 62. J Epidemiology Community Health 2003:57(11):864-870.
- [20] Isaac CHF, Sandy C. How often do you wash your hands? A review of studies of hand-washing practices in the community during and after the SARS outbreak in 2003. International Journal of Environmental Health Research 2007;17(3):161-183.
- [21] Tang CSK, Wong CY. Factors influencing the wearing of facemasks to prevent the severe acute respiratory syndrome among adult Chinese in Hong Kong. Prev Med 2004;39(6):1187-1193.
- [22] Sim SW, Moey KSP, Tan NC. The use of facemasks to prevent respiratory infection: a literature review in the context of the Health Belief Model. Singapore Med J 2014;55(3):160-167.
- [23] Wang H, Wang Z, Dong Y, et al. Phase-adjusted estimation of the number of Coronavirus Disease 2019 cases in Wuhan, China. Cell Discovery 2020;6:10.
- [24] Maharaj S, Kleczkowski A. Controlling epidemic spread by social distancing: do it well or not at all. BMC Public Health 2012;12:679. http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2458/12/679.
- [25] Nadine B, Natali H, Julia CMW. Differences in mobile health app use: a source of new digital inequalities? The Information Society 2018;34(3):183-193.
- [26] Balaram B. Secretay to Government of India, Department of Health Research, Indian Council of Medical Research (ICMR), Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, Director General: recommended HCQ prophylaxis advisory for COVID 19, letter vide reference D.O. No. VIR/4/2020/ECD-1, Dated: 21st March, 2020.

- [27] Balaram B. Secretay to Government of India, Department of Health Research, Indian Council of Medical Research (ICMR), Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, Director General: recommended HCQ prophylaxis revised advisory for COVID 19, letter vide
- reference D.O. No. VIR/4/2020/ECD-1, Dated: 22^{nd} March, 2020.
- [28] Cohen MS. Hydroxychloroquine for the prevention of Covid-19 searching for evidence. The New England Journal of Medicine 2020;383(6):585-586.