CYTOLOGICAL STUDY OF PLEURAL FLUIDS AND ITS CLINICOBIOCHEMICAL CORRELATION

Shikha Ngairangbam¹, D. B. Borkar²

HOW TO CITE THIS ARTICLE:

Shikha Ngairangbam, D. B. Borkar. "Cytological Study of Pleural Fluids and Its Clinicobiochemical Correlation". Journal of Evidence based Medicine and Healthcare; Volume 2, Issue 44, November 02, 2015; Page: 7880-7885, DOI: 10.18410/jebmh/2015/1061

ABSTRACT: A study of analysis of 50 pleural fluids was carried out at major teaching hospital, in Mumbai over a period of three years. Of these 50 fluids were 33 were transudates and 17 exudates. Male predominance (72%) was observed with the majority in 3rd decade. Tuberculosis (30 cases) was the commonest conditions associated with exudates followed by synpneumonic effusions. Majority of the tuberculous cases (80%) showed WBC count between 1000-5000 cells/cmm. Polymorphs were predominant in synpneumonic effusions. Of the 2 cases of malignant effusion, malignant cells (well differentiated adenocarcinoma) were detected in both the cases, with total WBC counts ranging between 1000-5000cell/cmm. The correct diagnosis of the fluid as transudate or exudate is important because if the fluid is exudative then further diagnostic procedures like cytopathology, pleural biopsy and other invasive procedure can be done for definite diagnosis. On the other hand, if the fluid is transudative then treatment for underlying conditions like CCF, nephrotic syndrome, cirrhosis is given. The presence of cancer cases, cancer cells are not detected. Exfoliative cytology for malignant cells is highly specific though less sensitive (40-60%). Definitive diagnosis may depend upon clinical correlation and histological examination.

KEYWORDS: Pleural fluid, Tuberculosis, Malignancy, Etiological incidence.

INTRODUCTION: Pleural effusion means abnormal accumulation of fluid within pleural cavity. Normally it contains 0.1 to 0.2 ml/kg body weight of fluid.⁽¹⁾ Pleural effusion is a common clinical conditions and pose a diagnostic problem to the clinicians.

Some of the causes include congestive cardiac failure, cirrhosis, neoplasms and infections. Pleural effusions are classically divided into transudates and exudates. By traditional classification, if the fluid protein is more than 3gm% then it is exudate and fluid protein less than 3gm% is called transudate.⁽²⁾

Transudates are clear low protein content fluids and a glucose content similar to serum and are produced in disorders that cause increased hydrostatic pressure or decreased oncotic pressure.Exudates are slightly hazy fluids with a high protein content and low glucose level and are produced in conditions where the pleural pressure and lymphatic flow decreases and the pleural protein permeability increases.

The correct diagnosis of the fluid as transudate or exudate is important because if the fluid is exudative then further diagnostic procedures like cytopathology, pleural biopsy and other invasive procedure can be done for definite diagnosis so that specific therapy can be started. On the other hand, if the fluid is transudative then treatment for underlying conditions like CCF, nephritic syndrome, cirrhosis is given.

J of Evidence Based Med & Hlthcare, pISSN- 2349-2562, eISSN- 2349-2570/ Vol. 2/Issue 44/Nov. 02, 2015 Page 7880

Nearly all malignancies can occasionally present with or develop pleural effusion. The differentiation of the fluid into malignant or non-malignant fluid has a deep impact on the course of the treatment to be followed. The presence of cancer cells in the fluid is a proof positive of malignancy related fluid but in 30 to 60 percent of cancer cases, cancer cells are not detected. Exfoliative cytology for malignant cells is highly specific though less sensitive (40-60%).Definitive diagnosis may depend upon clinical correlation and histological examination. Benign conditions like ovarian cystadenoma may show psammoma bodies in one third cases.^(3,4)

The present study is undertaken to detect malignancy, differentiate pleural fluids into transudates and exudates by using fluid protein parameter and also to correlate with clinical findings.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: A three years study which included one year retrospective study from May 2008 till April 2009 and two years of prospective study from May 2009 till April 2011 was carried out at the clinical laboratory of the teaching hospital in Navi Mumbai.

A total number of 50 cases of pleural fluids were studied.

Every fluid was processed in the following way:

- Physical examination was done by noting the appearance and colour and also by observing the ability of pleural or peritoneal fluid to clot.
- Total WBC count and RBC count of fluid was carried out using Neubauer's chamber.
- Then fluid was centrifuged for 5 minutes at 1500/rpm. From the sediment, smears were prepared and stained by field's and leishman stain for differential count and papanicolaou stain for cytology.
- Supernatant was analysed for biochemical parameters (fluid protein).

Criteria for Selection of Patients: The patients presenting with pleural effusion were taken into account. All cases were clinically diagnosed.

RESULTS:

Diagnosis	No. of Cases	%		
Tuberculosis	30	60		
Synpneumonic effusion	9	18		
Liver cirrhosis	7	14		
Malignancy	2	4		
Anaemia-hypoproteinemia	2	4		
Total	50	100		
Table 1: Etiological Classification of 50 Cases of Pleural Fluids				

Tuberculosis (60%) was found to be the most common cause of pleural effusions.

Diagnosia	Gender		Age (years)				Total	
Diagnosis	Female	Male	10-20	20-30	30-40	40-50	>50	
Malignancy	1(50%)	1(50%)	0	0	0	0	2	2
Tuberculosis	8(26.6%)	22(73.3%)	4	10	4	8	4	28
Cirrhosis	1(14.3%)	6(85.7%)	0	2	3	2	0	7
Synpneumonic Effusion	2(22.2%)	7(77.8%)	2	2	0	3	2	9
Anaemia- hypoproteinemia	2(100%)	0	0	1	0	0	1	2
Total	14(28%)	36(72%)	6	15	7	13	9	50
Table 2: Age and sex distribution of 50 pleural fluid cases								

Male predominance was found in all the diseases (72%) causing pleural effusions. Majority of the cases were tuberculosis (20%) and found in the 3^{rd} decade.

Diagnosis	Colour				Total
_	Haemorrhagic	Straw	Turbid	Yellow	
Malignancy	1	0	1	0	2
Tuberculosis	0	20	0	10	30
Liver cirrhosis	0	2	0	5	7
Synpneumonic effusion	0	7	0	2	9
Anaemia-hypoproteinemia	0	1	0	1	2
Total	1	30	1	18	50
Table 3: Gross appearance of fluid in 50 pleural fluid					

In tuberculosis majority of the cases (80%) showed W.B.C counts between 1000-5000cell/cmm. In synpneumonic effusion, polymorphs were predominant cells.

Diagnosis		Total WBC counts			
Diagnosis	<1000/cmm	000/cmm 1000-5000/cmm >			
Malignancy	0	2	0	2	
Tuberculosis	6	24	0	30	
Synpneumonic Effusion	2	7	0	9	
Liver cirrhosis	7	0	0	7	
Anaemia – Hypoproteinemia	2	0	0	2	
Total	17	33	0	50	
Table 4: Distribution of Leucocyte Count in 50 Cases of Pleural Fluid of Various Etiologies					

No. of Pleural fluid cases	Histopathological diagnosis	Malignant cells detected		
1	well differentiated	Detected		
L	adenocarcinoma	Delected		
2	well differentiated	Detected		
Z	adenocarcinoma	Delected		
Table 5: Cytology of Malignant Fluids				

DISCUSSION: Pleural effusion represents a very common diagnostic problem. It occurs in a variety of diseases.

ETIOLOGICAL INCIDENCE: Light et al has reported 68.7% of the cases belonging to exudative group and remaining 31.3% to transudates.^[5] In my study only 66% were exudative and 34% transudative. Tuberculosis was the most common cause of pleural effusion, (60%) which is comparable to findings of Leuallen E.C and Carr D.T et al.^[6]

The incidence of malignancy in the study was 4% which is comparatively lower than the findings of Hirsch A.et al (39%) and Light et al (43%).^[7,5]

The incidence of synpneumonic effusion and liver cirrhosis in the study was 18% and 14% respectively comparable to findings of Romeo et al (16% and 14%).^[8]

Valdes et al ^[9] has reported 1% of anaemia–hypoproteinemia cases whereas in my study, it was 4% incidence.

AGE AND SEX INCIDENCE: Males were affected more (72%) than the females (28%).

In malignancy, Hirsch A.et al has reported 64.1% involvement of males and 35.9% females with the average age of 53 years.^[7] In the present study incidence of malignancy was 4% with males and females were equally affected and were beyond 5th decade. The incidence of tuberculosis was found to be 60% with male predominance (73.3%) and also the leading cause in all the age groups.

Male predominance was seen in synpneumonic effusion (77.8%) and liver cirrhosis which is in accordance with Romero et al⁽⁸⁾ and Hirsch et al⁽⁷⁾ whereas female predominance was observed in severe anaemia-hypoproteinemia (100%).

PLEURAL FLUID GROSS EXAMINATION: In tuberculosis, the pleural fluid were clear yellow to straw coloured in all which is similar to the reports of Baganha M. F et al.⁽¹⁰⁾

In malignant effusion, all the cases showed turbid to hemorrhagic fluid whereas synpneumonic effusion, liver cirrhosis and anaemia-hypoproteinemia, it was yellow to straw coloured.

PLEURAL FLUID CYTOLOGY: Total WBC count >1000 cells/cumm was observed in 80% of the tuberculosis cases and <1000 cells/cumm were seen in 20% of the cases. Polymorph predominance may also occur in pulmonary embolism, asbestos exposure related effusions.⁽¹¹⁾

J of Evidence Based Med & Hithcare, pISSN- 2349-2562, eISSN- 2349-2570/ Vol. 2/Issue 44/Nov. 02, 2015 Page 7883

In malignancy total WBC >1000cells/cumm were observed whereas in liver cirrhosis and anaemia-hypoproteinemia, <1000 cells/cumm were observed

In synpneumonic effusion, 77.7% cases showed 1000-5000 cells/cumm and remaining cases showed <1000 cells/cumm.

All these findings are in accordance with findings of Light et al. Lymphocytic predominance was found in the cases of TB and malignancy. In synpneumonic effusions, 88.9% of the cases showed polymorph predominance.

In case of malignancy, malignant cells were found in both of the cases. Hirsch et al and few other studies have stated that cytological examination reveals positivity in 50-60% cases of the cases of malignancy.^(7,10,12) Repeat sample examination markedly increases the diagnostic yield has been stated by some authors.⁽¹³⁾ Bielsa et al⁽¹⁴⁾ found that tumor markers in pleural fluid could be useful independent survival predictor.

CONCLUSION: Tuberculosis was the commonest conditions causing pleural effusion and male predominance (72%) was observed with the majority in 3rd decade. It was followed by synpneumonic effusions. Majority of the tuberculous cases (80%) showed WBC count between 1000-5000 cells/cmm. Of the 2 cases of malignant effusion, malignant cells (well differentiated adenocarcinoma) were detected in both the cases, with total WBC counts ranging between 1000-5000cell/cmm. The correct diagnosis of the fluid as transudate or exudate is important as further line of management will differ. Exfoliative cytology for malignant cells is highly specific though less sensitive (40-60%). Definitive diagnosis may depend upon clinical correlation and histological examination.

BIBLIOGRAPHY:

- 1. Sahn SA. The pleura: state of the art. Am Rev. Resp. Diseases 1988; 138: 184-234.
- 2. Parwani AV, Chan TY, Ali SZ. Significance of psammoma bodies in serous cavity fluid; a cytopathologic analysis. Cancer 2004; 102: 87-91.
- 3. Ruckdeschel JC. Management of malignant pleural effusions. Sem Oncol 1995; 22: 58-63.
- 4. Das B, Acharya U, Purohit A. Comparative utility and sero ascites albumin gradient and ascetic fluid total protein for differential diagnosis of ascites. Indian Pediatrics 1998; 35: 542-545.
- 5. Light R, Girard W, Jenkinson S, George DB. Parapneumonic effusions. The Am J of Med. 1980; 69: 507-512.
- 6. Leuallen EC, Carr DT. Pleural effusion: A statistical: A statistical study of 436 patients. The New Engg. J, of Med. 1955; 252: 79-83.
- 7. Hirsch A, Ruffie P, Nebut M, Bignon J and Chretein J. Pleural effusion. Laboratory tests in 300 cases. Thorax 1979; 34: 106-112.
- 8. Romero S, Candela A, Martin C, Hernandez L, Trigo L, Gil G. Evaluation of different criteria for the separation of pleural transudates from exudates. Chest 1993; 104: 399-404.
- 9. Valdes L. Cholesterol a useful parameter in distinguishing between pleural exudates and transudates. Chest 1991; 99: 1097-1102.
- 10. Baganha MF, Pego A, Lima MA, Gaspar EV, Cordeiro AR. Serum and pleural adenosine deaminase: correlation with lymphocytic populations. Chest 1990; 97(3): 605-10.

J of Evidence Based Med & Hlthcare, pISSN- 2349-2562, eISSN- 2349-2570/ Vol. 2/Issue 44/Nov. 02, 2015 Page 7884

- 11. Light RW, Erozan YS, Ball WC, Jr Cells in pleural fluid: Their value in differential diagnosis. Arch Intern Med. 1973; 132: 845-60.
- 12. Moon Jun Na, M.D., Ph.D. Diagnostic tools of pleural effusion. Tuberc Respir Dis (Seoul). 2014 May; 76(5): 199-210.
- 13. Garcia LW, Ducatman BS, Wang HH. The value of multiple fluid specimens in the cytological diagnosis of malignancy. Mod Pathol. 1994; 7: 665-8.
- 14. Bielsa S, Esquerda A, Salud A, et al. High levels of tumor markers in pleural fluid correlate with poor survival in patients with adenocarcinomatous or squamous malignant effusions. Eur J Intern Med.2009; 20(4): 383-38.

AUTHORS:

- 1. Shikha Ngairangbam
- 2. D. B. Borkar

PARTICULARS OF CONTRIBUTORS:

- 1. Senior Resident, Department of Pathology, JNIMS, Manipur.
- 2. HOD, Department of Pathology, MGM Medical College, Navi Mumbai.

NAME ADDRESS EMAIL ID OF THE CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

Dr. Shikha Ngairangbam, D/o. Dr. N. Bitoy Singh, Lamphel Supermarket, Manipur. E-mail: shikng26@gmail.com

> Date of Submission: 16/10/2015. Date of Peer Review: 17/10/2015. Date of Acceptance: 19/10/2015. Date of Publishing: 28/10/2015.