# Analysing Diabetic Foot Amputations through Amit Jain's Extended SCC Classification

Amit Kumar C. Jain<sup>1</sup>, Vishakha M.<sup>2</sup>, Pratheek K.C.<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1, 2, 3</sup> Department of Surgery, Rajarajeswari Medical College & Hospital, Bangalore, Karnataka, India.

# ABSTRACT

# BACKGROUND

Foot amputations are common in diabetic patients and they are either minor or major. We conducted this study to analyse minor amputations done in diabetic patients through this new Amit Jain's extended "SCC" classification for foot amputations.

#### METHODS

A descriptive retrospective study was conducted in Department of Surgery of Raja Rajeswari Medical College, Bengaluru, Karnataka, India, which is a tertiary care teaching hospital. The study period was from January 2018 to December 2019. This study was approved by institutional ethics committee.

# RESULTS

32 patients were included in this study with majority of patients being above 40 years. 78.1 % of them were males. Infected ulcers in the foot accounted for 34.4 % of the cases and were the commonest cause for amputation. 96.9 % of the patients who underwent minor amputation were of type 1-foot amputation. 6.3 % ended up in major amputation in the same hospitalisation. There was no in-patient mortality in this study.

#### CONCLUSIONS

Diabetic foot amputations are common in clinical practice and they often cause increased morbidity and add financial burden to patients and their family. Toe amputations, which are type 1-foot amputations, are the commonest amputations performed. Type 3-foot amputations are rarely done as they are complicated and require expertise. Amit Jain's extended SCC classification for foot amputation is a simple, easy to understand and practical classification that categorises the minor amputation into 3 simple types. This is the first such classification exclusive for foot amputation.

#### **KEYWORDS**

Diabetes, Amputation, Foot, Amit Jain, Osteomyelitis, Gangrene, Ulcer

Corresponding Author: Dr. Pratheek K.C., Associate Professor, Department of Surgery, Rajarajeswari Medical College & Hospital, Bangalore – 560074, Karnataka, India. E-mail: pratheek.192@gmail.com

#### DOI: 10.18410/jebmh/2021/85

How to Cite This Article: Jain AKC, Vishakha M, Pratheek KC. Analysing diabetic foot amputations through Amit Jain's extended SCC classification. J Evid Based Med Healthc 2021;8(08):435-439. DOI: 10.18410/jebmh/2021/85

Submission 04-10-2020, Peer Review 11-10-2020, Acceptance 29-12-2020, Published 22-02-2021.

Copyright © 2021 Amit Kumar C. Jain et al. This is an open access article distributed under Creative Commons Attribution License [Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0)]

# BACKGROUND

It is estimated that in 2017, there were around 25 million people with diabetes, and it is likely to rise to 628 million by the year 2045.<sup>1</sup> One complication that is of distressing nature is diabetic foot. The global prevalence of diabetic foot is around 6.3 %.<sup>2</sup> A person with diabetes has 15 - 20 % lifetime risk of developing an ulcer.<sup>3</sup> Diabetic foot ulcer leads to increased morbidity, high treatment costs and high risk for amputation.<sup>4</sup> Diabetic patients are 10 times at high risk of lower extremity amputations compared to those without diabetes.<sup>5</sup> A lower limb amputation decreases the quality of life and adds to the financial burden of a family.<sup>6</sup> However, in case an amputation is to be done, then it is advisable to prefer the distal most amputation ladder for proximal amputations only if necessity arises.<sup>7</sup>

A new classification was recently proposed for minor amputations which is an extended Amit Jain's "SCC" classification wherein the foot amputations can be placed into 3 simple types namely simple (type 1), complex (type 2) and complicated foot amputations (type 3).<sup>8</sup> To describe in a straight forward manner, all the types of amputations done in forefoot regions are classified as simple amputation, all types of mid-foot amputations are classified into complex type and amputations in hind-foot are complicated type of foot amputations.<sup>8</sup> Hence, it is a triangle of foot amputations that provides options available in foot amputations and serves as a good teaching tool, these 3 types of amputations occupy the 3 corners of a triangle and a clinician can choose the most appropriate amputation to be done in the foot.<sup>7</sup>

We conducted a study to analyse minor amputations through this new Amit Jain's extended "SCC" classification for foot amputations (Figure 1).



#### **METHODS**

A descriptive retrospective analysis was conducted in Department of Surgery of Raja Rajeswari Medical College,

Bengaluru, Karnataka, India. This is a tertiary care teaching hospital that caters patients who are mostly from rural zones. The study period was from January 2018 to December 2019. This study was approved by institutional ethics committee (RRMCH-IEC / 11 / 2020 - 21).

## **Inclusion Criteria**

- 1. All patients with diabetic foot problems who initially underwent foot amputations in Department of Surgery.
- 2. Patients treated elsewhere and came for further management in surgery department were also included.s

#### **Exclusion Criteria**

- 1. Patients operated in other departments.
- 2. Patients who underwent direct major amputations were excluded.
- 3. Patients who were discharged against medical advice.
- 4. Patients with incomplete records.
- 5. Patients with road traffic accidents or malignancy.

## **Statistical Analysis**

Data was analysed using statistical software Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) 22.0. Chi-square / Fisher's exact test has been used to find the significance of study parameters on categorical scale between two or more groups. A P-value of less than 0.05 was considered significant.

#### RESULTS

	<b>Clinical Variables</b>	Number	Percentage			
	< 40	1	3.1			
Age	40 - 50	6	18.8			
	51 - 60	9	28.1			
	61 - 70	7	21.9			
	71 - 80	9	28.1			
Gender	Male	25	78.1			
	Female	7	21.9			
Duration of diabetes mellitus	< 12	21	65.6			
	12 - 18	8	25.0			
	> 18	3	9.4			
Hypertension	Yes	9	28.1			
	No	23	71.9			
Ischemic heart disease	Yes	5	15.6			
	No	27	84.4			
Side of foot involved	Right	20	62.5			
	Left	12	37.5			
Diagnosis	Wet gangrene	9	28.1			
	Abscess	9	28.1			
	Infected ulcer	11	34.4			
	Infected dry gangrene	2	6.3			
	Cellulitis	1	3.1			
Table 1. Demographic Profile and Clinical Variables of Patients						

A total of 32 patients were included in this study who fulfilled the inclusion and exclusion criteria. 96.9 % of patients who underwent foot amputations were above 40 years of age (Table 1). 25 patients (78.1 %) were males. 65.6 % of patients had diabetes for less than 12 years. 28.1 % had hypertension and 15.6 % had ischemic heart disease. Right foot (62.5 %) was most commonly involved foot, infect ulcer (34.4 %) was the commonest cause of foot amputation

**Original Research Article** 

followed by equal number (28.1 %) of wet gangrene and abscess.

31 patients (96.1 %) had type 1-foot amputations (simple amputations) of which 28 patients had toe amputations and 3 had transmetatarsal amputation and only 1 patient (3.1 %) had type 2-foot amputation (complex amputation) and it was Lisfranc's amputation (Table 2). 12.3 % of the patients had peripheral arterial disease (PAD) and 9.4 % had osteomyelitis. 93.8 % of the patients had open stump after amputation and 3.1 % had stump complication (infection) and they were in closed stump patients. 6.3 % of patients had past history of amputations. A total of 43.8 % had some form of re-surgeries like debridement, proximal amputation in the same period of hospitalisation. 2 of the patients who were in type 1-foot amputation ended up in major amputation in same hospital stay (Table 2).

	<b>Clinical Variables</b>	Number	Percentage			
Type of foot amputation	Type 1	31	96.9			
	Type 2	1	3.1			
	Type 3	0	0			
Presence of PAD	Yes	4	12.5			
	No	28	87.5			
Osteomyelitis	Yes	3	9.4			
	No	29	90.6			
Re-surgeries	Yes	14	43.8			
	No	18	56.3			
Stump status	Open stump	30	93.8			
	Closed stump	2	6.3			
Stump complications	Yes	1	3.1			
	No	31	96.9			
Post amputation	Yes	2	6.3			
	No	30	93.8			
Major amputation	Yes	2	6.3			
	No	30	93.7			
Table 2. Clinical Variables Studied in the Patient						

There was no association of re-surgeries and major amputation with any particular type of foot amputation (Table 3).

	٦ Variables	Type of Foot Class 1 (N = 31)	Amputation Class 2 (N = 1)	Total (N = 32)	P Value	
Re-surgeries	Yes	13 (41.9 %)	1 (100 %)	14 (43.8 %)	0 438	
	No	18 (58.1 %)	0 (0 %)	18 (56.3 %)	0.150	
Major amputation	Yes	2 (100 %)	0 (0 %)	2 (6.3 %)	0 156	
	No	29 (96.7 %)	1 (3.1 %)	30 (93.7 %)	0.150	
Table 3. Association of Important Variables in Relation to   Type of Foot Amputation of Patients Studied						

# DISCUSSION

Amputation is one of the oldest surgical procedure.<sup>9</sup> It is performed for various different reasons like trauma, malignancy, infection, etc.<sup>10</sup> In today's world, diabetes is a leading cause of amputation in clinical practice. Even in developed countries like United States, it was noted that in the year 2005, around 1,85,000 people underwent lower limb amputation.<sup>10</sup> It was also observed that an ulcer in foot precedes in more than 80 % of the cases of amputation in diabetics.<sup>11</sup> A study from India showed the prevalence of diabetic foot ulcer to be around 10.4 %.<sup>12</sup> Foot ulcers in diabetes along with various other foot complications can lead to increase morbidity and mortality. In fact, patients

who develop foot complications end up spending higher percentage of their income in comparison to those diabetic patients who do not develop foot complications.<sup>13</sup>

The problem with foot ulcers is that they can get infected.<sup>14</sup> As high as 56 % of diabetic foot ulcers get infected and which may lead to amputation.<sup>14,15</sup> Amputation leads to emotional and social burden apart from financial burden in diabetic patients.<sup>16</sup> Amputees often are prone for depression.<sup>9</sup> Amputations are associated with increased hospital admissions, increased cost of treatment, high failure rates and also increased mortality.<sup>5,16,17</sup>

The amputations in diabetes are either minor or major amputations.<sup>18</sup> Patients who undergo amputation through or distal to ankle are considered as minor amputations whereas amputations proximal to ankle are major amputations.<sup>19</sup>

Minor amputations include toe / digital amputation, transmetatarsal amputation, Lisfranc's, Chopart, Boyd, Pirogoff's and Syme's amputation.<sup>20</sup> Toe amputations are the commonest amputations done in foot.<sup>20,21</sup> It can be for single to or more than one toe. The issue with toe amputation is that the biomechanics of diabetic foot is disturbed, and pressure is transferred to other toes which can lead to ulcers and deformities.<sup>22</sup> This can lead to higher risk of amputation.<sup>22</sup> A study showed that 60 % of patients who underwent hallux and first ray amputation underwent second amputation at a mean of 10 months after the surgery.<sup>23</sup>

Transmetatarsal amputation is another forefoot amputation procedure aimed to salvage the foot. It involves removal of all 5 toes. This procedure allows a good weight bearing residuum.<sup>24</sup> Transmetatarsal amputation has its own problems and it is seen that the re-amputation rate is around 26 to 30 % after the surgery.<sup>11</sup>

However, the 5-year survival rate of transmetatarsal amputation is better compared to major amputation and it allows patients to walk on their own residual feet. $^{24,25}$ 

Procedures like Lisfranc's, Chopart, Boyd, etc. are rarely performed and they have high failure rates though when successful <sup>26</sup> can allow the patients to ambulate on residual limb.

Major amputations are associated with higher mortality.<sup>26</sup> A study showed that 80 % of patients with minor amputation were still alive after 2 years, whereas 52 % of patients, who underwent major amputation, died within 2 years.<sup>26</sup> The 5-year mortality rate after major limb loss was around 48 %<sup>23</sup> and 15 % of the below knee amputations end up in above knee amputation.<sup>5</sup> 25 % of diabetic patients who underwent amputation will require contralateral amputation.<sup>27</sup>

Foot complications in diabetes are usually common after 40 years of age.<sup>4</sup> In our series, almost 97 % of the patients were above 40 years. Studies have shown foot amputation to be higher in males.<sup>4,28</sup> In this study too, males were more commonly involved.

In Ozan et al. series,<sup>4</sup> 91.5 % of diabetic foot patients had diabetes duration of at least 5 years. In our study, majority (65.6 %) had diabetes for less than 12 years.

In a study by Viswanathan et al., it was seen that 70.9 % had minor amputation and 29.9 % had major amputation.<sup>29</sup> In one of the earlier series by Jain et al.,<sup>18</sup> it

was seen that 83.8 % had minor amputation and 16.2 % had major amputation and 100 % of minor amputations were simple foot amputations (type I) consisting of toe amputations and transmetatarsal amputations.<sup>18</sup>

In Ozan et al. series,<sup>4</sup> ray amputation (57 %) was the commonest amputation done and 16.8 % had major amputation. In this series, 96.9 % had Type I-foot amputation belonging to simple type with majority being toe amputation. 6.3 % of patients who had foot amputation initially ended up in major amputation in the same admission. There was no mortality in this series.

## CONCLUSIONS

Foot amputations are frequently performed surgical procedures in diabetes. This study shows that majority of foot amputations are type I amputations (simple) and toe amputation is the commonest type. Complicated amputation (type 3) done for hind foot are rarely performed. 6.3 % of the foot amputations end up in major amputation in the same hospital admission. This new Amit Jain's classification for foot amputation that categorised foot amputation into 3 types is simple, practical and easy to remember classification in clinical practice.

Data sharing statement provided by the authors is available with the full text of this article at jebmh.com.

Financial or other competing interests: None.

Disclosure forms provided by the authors are available with the full text of this article at jebmh.com.

# REFERENCES

- Abdissa D, Adugna T, Gerema U, et al. Prevalence of diabetic foot ulcer and associated factors among adult diabetic patients on follow-up clinic at Jimma Medical Center, Southwest Ethiopia, 2019: An Institutional – based cross sectional study. J Diab Res 2020;2020:4106383.
- [2] Fernandez-Torres R, Ruiz-Munoz M, Pérez-Panero AJ, et al. Clinician assessment tools for patients with diabetic foot disease: a systematic review. Journal of Clinical Medicine 2020;9(5):1487.
- [3] Chun D, Kim S, Kim J, et al. Epidemiology and burden of diabetic foot ulcer and peripheral arterial disease in Korea. Journal of Clinical Medicine 2019;8(5):748.
- [4] Ozan F, Gurbuz K, Celik I, et al. Evaluation of major and minor lower extremity amputations in diabetic foot patients. Turk J Med Sci 2017;47(4):1109-1116.
- [5] Damme HV, Limet R. Amputation in diabetic patients. Clin Podiatr Med Surg 2007;24(3):569-582.
- [6] Gupta A, Kumar V. Multidisciplinary approach to prevent limb amputation in diabetic patients. Journal of Endocrinology and Metabolism 2019;9(5):120-126.
- [7] Jain AKC. Amit Jain's Destructive/ Amputation ladder and its variants. Saudi J Med 2020;5(5):214-221.

- [8] Jain AKC. Extended application of Amit Jain's 'SCC' classification concept for diabetic foot. Int J Surg Sci 2019;3(1):188-191.
- [9] Khan AA, Jiang YQ, Zhang KB, et al. Recent advances in foot amputation techniques and clinical outcomes: an overview. Sci Lett 2016;4(1):26-32.
- [10] Anari JB, Donegan DS. A Surgeon's perspective on lower extremity amputation and rehabilitation. J Orthop Res Physiother 2017;3:032.
- [11] Humphrey JA, Kanthasamy S, Coughlin P, et al. Outcome of trans-metatarsal amputation in patients with diabetes mellitus. The Foot (Edinb) 2019;40:22-26.
- [12] Saurabh S, Sarkar S, Selvaraj K, et al. Effectiveness of foot care education among people with type 2 diabetes in rural Puducherry, India. Indian J Endocr Metab 2014;18(1):106-110.
- [13] Viswanathan V, Thomas N, Tandon N, et al. Profile of diabetic foot complications and its associated complications. A multicentric study from India. J Assoc Physicians India 2005;53:933-936.
- [14] Jain A, Kumar K, Kumar H, et al. Amit Jain's triple assessment- a new screening method for the diabetic foot. Diab Foot J Middle East 2018;4(1):40-41.
- [15] Smith DM, Weinberger M, Katz BP. A controlled trial to increase office visits and reduce hospitalization in diabetic patients. J General Int Med 1987;2(4):232-238.
- [16] Choi MSS, Jeon SB, Lee JH. Predictive factors for successful limb salvage surgery in diabetic foot patients. BMC Surgery 2014;14:113.
- [17] Schofield CJ, Libby G, Brennan GM, et al. Mortality and hospitalization in patients after amputation: a comparison between patients with and without diabetes. Diabetes Care 2006;29(10):2252-2256.
- [18] Jain AKC, Tejasvita RS. To determine the pattern and type of amputation done in diabetic foot patients in a teaching hospital. EAS J Med Sci 2019;1(3):94-99.
- [19] Van Netter JJ, Baba M, Lazzarini PA. Epidemiology of diabetic foot disease and diabetes related lower extremity amputation in Australia: a systematic review protocol. Systematic Reviews 2017;6(1):101.
- [20] Wong KL, Nather A, Chanyarungrojn AP, et al. Clinical outcomes of ray amputation in diabetic foot patients. Ann Acad Med 2014;43(8):428-432.
- [21] Jain AKC, Viswanath S. A study of diabetic foot patients in a tertiary care premier teaching hospital. Int J Surg Sci 2019;3(1):183-187.
- [22] Jain AK, Varma AK, Mol RKS, et al. Digital amputations in the diabetic foot. J Diab Foot Comp 2010;2(1):12-17.
- [23] Rinonapoli G, Ceccarini P, Altissimi M, et al. Critical appraisal of foot and ankle amputations in diabetes. Clin Res Foot and Ankle 2014;S3:005.
- [24] Jain AKC, Apoorva HC, Rajagopalan S. To evaluate trans-metatarsal amputation in diabetic foot through the Amit Jain's system of practice- an experience from 2 different centers. Medicine Science 2020;9(4):888-895.

- [25] Botek G, Cruz F, Tulodzieski C, et al. Survival rate following trans-metatarsal amputation: a five year retrospective review. Clin Surg 2017;2(1):1550.
- [26] Nather A, Wong KL. Distal amputation for the diabetic foot. Diabetic Foot and Ankle 2013;4:21288.
- [27] Lacle A, Valerop Jaun LF. Diabetes related lower extremity amputation incidence and risk factors: a

prospective seven-year study in Costa-Rica. Rev Panam Salud Publica 2012;32(3):192-198.

- [28] Salahuddin O, Azhar M, Imtiaz A, et al. A developing world experience with distal foot amputation for diabetic limb salvage. Diabetic Foot and Ankle 2013;4:22477.
- [29] Vishwanathan V, Kumpatla S. Pattern and causes of amputation in diabetic patients: a multicentric study from India. J Assoc Physicians India 2011;59:148-151.