A REVIEW OF THE FREQUENCY OF MEDICAL ERROR IN SAUDI ARABIA: AN EMERGING CONCERN

Usama Bin Ghaffar¹, Syed Meraj Ahmed², Ali Faraz³

¹Assistant Professor, Department of Pathology, Majmaah University, Majmaah, Saudi Arabia. ²Associate Professor, Department of Community Medicine, Majmaah University, Majmaah, Saudi Arabia. ³Assistant Professor, Department of Pathology, Majmaah University, Majmaah, Saudi Arabia.

ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND

Medical error is a continuing global phenomenon. It represents an important public health problem that poses a serious threat to patient safety. Since the time when doctors had been blindly trusted for their clinical acumen, in recent times most of them have been frequently questioned on all aspects of patients' care clearly indicating that in certain circumstances, even their motives are not beyond reproach.

OBJECTIVE

To assess the frequency of the medical errors and to devise workable solutions and prevention strategies in Saudi Arabia.

METHODOLOGY

This paper critically reviews the literature on medical error, data compiled from Medico-Legal Committee (MLC) of Ministry of Health (MOH) portal, of different regions of Kingdom of Saudi Arabia and to identify key sources of errors in primary care.

CONCLUSION

A critical approach to bringing wide ranging changes in medical education, communication skills and evidence based practice that can reduce the risk of errors and adverse outcomes in patient care.

There is a growing public perception that serious medical error is commonplace and largely tolerased by the medical profession. The Government and Medical establishments' response to this perceived epidemic of error has included tighter controls over practicing doctors and individual stick-and-carrot reforms of medical practice. There is a growing public perception that serious medical error is commonplace and largely tolerated by the medical profession.

KEYWORDS

Medical error, Saudi Arabia, Medicolegal Committee.

HOW TO CITE THIS ARTICLE: Usama Bin Ghaffar, Syed Meraj Ahmed, Ali Faraz. "A Review of the Frequency of Medical Error in Saudi Arabia: An Emerging Concern". Journal of Evidence based Medicine and Healthcare; Volume 2, Issue 52, November 30, 2015; Page: 8692-8695, DOI: 10.18410/jebmh/2015/1205

INTRODUCTION: Medical error has become a bane for the practicing healthcare professional primarily may be due to increase in the number of patients seen as compared to earlier times when such was not the case. Such a case can be attributed to a country like Saudi Arabia that has strived to upgrade its medical facilities, technologies and human resources to face an increased number of patient care.¹ Subsequently the immediate need to reduce consultation time so that more patients can be accommodated is leading to unintentional patient harm.² This is generally termed as Medical Error (ME) and is defined as "an unintended injury that is caused by medical management resulting in measurable disability".^{3,4}

Submission 24-11-2015, Peer Review 25-11-2015 Acceptance 26-11-2015, Published 30-11-2015. Corresponding Author: Usama Bin Ghaffar, College of Medicine, Majmaah University, Majmaah, Saudi Arabia. E-mail: ubghaffar@gmail.com DOI: 10.18410/jebmh/2015/1205 The World Health Organization (WHO) termed it as "an endemic concern" determining that at least one out of 10 patients are affected due to medical error around the world.⁵

Since the beginning of this century there has been regular reports being published regarding the preventable mortality and morbidity due to medical error. This has ranged from an established 44,000 to 98,000 preventable deaths and 1,000,000 excess injuries each year in U.S. hospitals with an additional burden of extra medical costs incurred by preventable drug related injuries approximated \$887 million to an estimated 850,000 medical errors (2000) each year in UK alone costing over £2 billion.⁶ A follow up study in 2006 discovered that medication errors are among the most common medical mistakes, harming at least 1.5 million people every year.⁷

James, et al in their study pointed out that most of the medical errors that physicians should look out for is related to complexity of modern education, tendency to apply cause and effect to random association and first intuition bias.⁸ Macfie, et al proposed in their article that to reduce such errors a practice of computerized prescribing, updating knowledge and input by a pharmacist is quite necessary.⁹

Jebmh.com

REVIEW OF LITERATURE: Multiple studies have shown that medical negligence causes enough death and disability to be of major concern to healthcare professionals and policy makers.^{10,11} Certain other articles have researched on iatrogenic events due to medical intervention which were not explained by any diseases pointing out that such incidences ranged from 3.2%-6.5%.¹² Quite a few research papers published, focused on the physician's knowledge and practice of reporting medical errors.^{12–15}

Most of the literatures reviewed for this study could not lend themselves to a statistical analysis based on the parameters required to assess the frequency, causes and outcome of errors caused due to medical intervention at levels ranging from those occurring at the level of private practice to tertiary care centers. An attempt was made to provide a collective analysis by integrating all the articles included in this study and presenting it as a critical review.

METHODOLOGY: This study has used the integrative method of reviewing the ME cases and summarizing its result to provide a more comprehensive understanding of the problems in medical care leading to medical errors. This review has been structured on the basis of the five step review framework as given by Whittemore, et al.¹⁶

- **Problem identification:** The aim of this study is to identify the frequency of medical errors that occur during a medical consultation, the factors favoring it and to recommend ways and means to reduce it to an acceptable level.
- **Literature search:** For effective review all the cases that have been submitted to the Medico Legal Committee in Saudi Arabia from 2007 to 2014 have been taken into consideration through data search using MeSH terms like medication errors, critical care, clinical prescription, adverse event, medical reporting, and drug prescription etc.¹⁷

• **Data evaluation:** This included finding out the frequency of medical errors reported or referred to the Medico Legal Committee and how many were resolved or remained unsolved during that same period.

All those information were excluded from the study which were incomplete or did not fulfill the criteria of the process of complete review by the Medico Legal Committee.

- Data extraction, synthesis and analysis: All the relevant data were extracted from those reports that fulfilled the eligibility criteria and a table was prepared (Table 1) for analysis purpose. A qualitative analysis was done to find out the frequency of the ME cases reported and those resolved amicably within that region. This was then compared with the research done on medical error in Saudi Arabia to present a comparative analysis on its importance to the medical fraternity.
- **Presentation of Results:** The analyzed data was presented in a tabular and narrative form subsequently.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION: The analysis showed an increasing trend of medical error reporting from 2007 to 2013 (Table - 1). Conversely, the number of verdicts reached to resolve these errors showed a decline of almost 10% from 2007 (45.5%) to 2013 (35.8%). This can be explained on the basis that more and more number of patients are becoming aware of the standards of medical care and demanding redressal.¹⁸ A study of research articles showed how these errors were more common in surgical specialties (56.7%) than non-surgical specialties (44.3%).¹⁹ Studies have shown that the factors leading to medical error ranged from high volume practice, poor sign-out practices, absence of close monitoring of high-risk patients, lack of teamwork, communication gap between healthcare workers and patients, lack of strong leadership and superficial backup and consultation.²⁰

Year	Total cases referred	Region with highest	Resolved cases	Total sessions	%
2013 (1434)	2413	Riyadh (487)	864	2838	35.8%
2012 (1433)	2002	Riyadh (478)	672	2674	33.5%
2011 (1432)	1777	Makkah (489)	734	2441	41.3%
2010 (1431)	1758	Riyadh (430)	735	2302	41.8%
2009 (1430)	1510	Riyadh (323)	670	1802	44.3%
2008 (1429)	1356	Jeddah (287)	650	1598	47.9%
2007 (1428)	1165	Jeddah (293)	531	1324	45.5%
Table 1: Cases submitted to the Medico-Legal Committee across different regions of Kingdom of Saudi Arabia (25)					

As far as the involvement of healthcare workers in litigation was concerned Basem Y, et al²¹ in their study showed that 90% were physicians and 7.6% were nurses while most of the incidence of medical errors occurred in the Ministry of Health (MoH), institutions (80.2%) while the rest (19.8%) in the private sector.

Similarly, an article by Alsaddique, et al²² implicated the Department of Obstetrics as generating most of the medical error reporting (27%) followed by the Department of General Surgery (17%) in cities like Jeddah followed by Dammam.

These hospitals and small clinics then became victim to litigations due to medical error and some factors that can be implicated are under – trained physicians and surgeons, under – staffed hospitals, lack of equipment etc. leading to sub–optimal performances.²³ To protect the doctors and healthcare professionals from litigations either legal or frivolous, the Saudi Commission for Health Specialties has

Jebmh.com

made medical liability insurance a pre–requisite for registration of medical licenses.²⁴

Most importantly multiple studies have concluded that adhering to the standards of medical practices of any particular country is the best way to avoid medical litigation due to medical error.^{25–29}

CONCLUSION: Taking into consideration the various causes of medical errors cited above it is imperative that healthcare professionals keep in mind the regulations and guidelines while practicing healthcare. This can only be achieved through a multi–pronged approach that includes regular training programs, updating clinical knowledge, maintaining adequate clinical staff and other allied healthcare workforce, improving communication skills, proper distribution of shifts and follow up of prescription by the medical pharmacists.

REFERENCES:

- Abdulhamid Hassan Al-Saeed, Medical liability litigation in Saudi Arabia. Saudi Journal of Anesthesia. Vol. 4, Issue 3, September-December 2010.
- Bates DW, Gawande AA. Improving safety with information technology. N Engl J Med 2003; 348: 2526–34.
- 3. Rosser W, Dovey S, Bordman R, White D, Crighton E, Drummond N. Medical errors in primary care: Results of an international study of family practice. Can Fam Physician 2005; 51: 386-7.
- Zhang J, Patel VL & Johnson TR (2008). Medical error: Is the solution medical or cognitive? Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association 6 (Supp1): 75–77.
- 5. World Health Organization. World Alliance for Patient Safety. Geneva (Switzerland): World Health Organization; 2010. Accessed 2011 September 25. Available from URL: http://www. who.int/patient safety/en/index.html
- 6. Institute of Medicine (2000). To Err Is Human: Building a Safer Health System (2000). The National Academies Press. Retrieved 2006-06-20.
- Ker, Katharine, Edwards, Philip James, Felix, Lambert M, Blackhall, Karen, Roberts, Ian. Caffeine for the prevention of injuries and errors in shift workers. The Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, 2010.
- Mims, James W. Targeting Quality Improvement in Clinical Practice Guidelines. Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery (2015): 0194599815611861.
- Mac Fie, Caroline C., Simon V. Baudouin, and Peter B. Messer. An integrative review of drug errors in critical care. Journal of the Intensive Care Society (2015): 1751143715605119.
- Improving medication error incident reporting and learning. Available from URL:http://www.england.nhs.uk/wpcontent/uploads/2014/ 03/psa-sup-info-mederror.pdf(Accessed on 28th Nov 2015)

- 11. Mukesh Yadav, 2 Pooja Rastogi. A Study of Medical Negligence Cases decided by the District Consumer Courts of Delhi. J Indian Acad Forensic Med. Jan-March 2015, Vol. 37, No.1. p-50-55.
- E. Alsafi, S. Baharoon, A. Ahmed, H.H. Al-Jahdali, S. Al Zahrani and A. Al Sayyari. Physicians' knowledge and practice towards medical error reporting: a crosssectional hospital-based study in Saudi Arabia; EMHJ; Vol. 21 No. 9; 2015
- Kingston MJ, Evans SM, Smith BJ, Berry JG. Attitudes of doctors and nurses towards incident reporting: a qualitative analysis. Med J Aust. 2004 Jul 5; 181(1):36–9. PMID: 15233611.
- 14. Abudahish A, Bella H. Adherence of primary care physicians in Aseer region, Saudi Arabia to the National Protocol for the Management of Asthma. East Mediterr Health J. 2010 Feb; 16(2):171-5. PMID: 20799570.
- 15. Alsafi E, Bahroon SA, Tamim H, Al-Jahdali HH, Alzahrani S, Al Sayyari A. Physicians' attitudes toward reporting medical errors-an observational study at a general hospital in Saudi Arabia. J Patient Saf. 2011 Sep; 7(3): 144–7. PMID: 21857239.
- 16. Whittemore R, and Knafl K. The integrative review: Updated methodology. J Adv Nurs 2005; 52: 546– 553.
- 17. The Saudi Ministry of Health. Health Statistical Year Book 1435 Hijri (2014). Accessed 2015 August 30.: http://www.moh.gov.sa.
- Abdul hameed Samarkandi. Status of medical liability claims in Saudi Arabia. Ann Saudi med 2006; 26(2): 87-91.
- 19. Jamal S. Al Jarallah, Norah AlR Owaiss. The pattern of medical errors and litigation against doctors in Saudi Arabia. Journal of Family and Community Medicine; 2013, Vol 20 (2); 98-105.
- 20. Annual Reports of Legal Health Organization in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia (Official Documentation from Ministry of Health in KSA) through the Period, 2007-2013.
- Basem Y. Henary, Omar A, Al-Yahia, Saleh A. Al-Gabbany, Salah M. Al-Kharaz, Epidemiology of Medico Legal litigations and related medical errors in Central and Northern Saudi Arabia. Saudi Med J 2012; Vol. 33 (7), 768-775.
- 22. Ahmed A. Alsaddique. Medical liability the dilemma of litigations. Saudi Med J 2004; Vol. 25 (7):901-906.
- 23. G Swaminath, R Raguram. Medical errors I: The problem. Indian J Psychiatry 2010; 52: 110-112.
- 24. Medical errors: Special Eurobarometer 241/Wave 64.1 & 64.3 TNS Opinion & Social. European Commission (Book) 2005.
- 25. Annual Reports of Legal Health Organization in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia through the period. An official documentation from Ministry of Health in KSA. (1999–2008).

Review Article

Jebmh.com

 Wayne Jones (Partner), Shabnam Karim (Associate) and Louise Mc Donald (Paralegal); An Overview of Medical Malpractice in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia; December 9, 2014;

http://www.clydeco.com/insight/updates/view/anoverview-of-medical-malpractice-in-the-kingdom-ofsaudi-arabia?utm_source=Mondaq&utm_medium= syndication&utm_campaign=View-Original. Accessed on 07.11.2015.

- 27. National Patient Safety Agency (2005) Medical Error: How to avoid it all going wrong and What to do if it does. London: NPSA. Available at http://www.saferhealthcare.org.uk/IHI/Products/Pub lications/MedicalError.htm
- Hurwitz B. (2004) How does evidence based guidance influence determinations of medical negligence? BMJ, 329, 1024–1028.
- 29. Alsawedan F. To reduce the incidence of medical errors. Alriyadh Newspaper (2007):9.