# A Prospective Randomized Comparative Study to Evaluate the Effect of Once Daily Dose of Tadalafil (5 mg) vs. On-Demand Tadalafil (10 mg) on International Index for Erectile Function (IIEF) Score

N. Imdad Ali<sup>1</sup>, Paresh Sankhe<sup>2</sup>, Ravishankar T.H.S.<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1, 2, 3</sup> Department of Urology, Vijayanagar Institute of Medical Sciences, Bellary, Karnataka, India.

#### ABSTRACT

## BACKGROUND

Erectile dysfunction is a common condition affecting middle and old age men throughout the world. Tadalafil is preferred by many urologists as well as patients because of its long half-life. Tadalafil on demand was compared with daily dosing in many studies. We wanted to conduct the study in south India at a tertiary care medical center to study the effect of tadalafil daily (5 mg) vs. on demand (10 mg) and check its efficacy with the IIEF scoring system.

#### METHODS

Patients were randomised into two groups using simple randomization chit box method. Allocation concealments was done by sealed enveloped method. Before starting treatment IIEF scoring was done for both groups. Group A was given once-daily 5 mg tadalafil. The group B was given 10 mg tadalafil before sexual activity. All patients under either of the two medication regimens for a period of 12 wks. and assessment done at 4 wks. and 12 wks. interval. Both the patient and the examiner was blinded to the randomisation of the subjects. Scoring scale is 1 to 30 which is divided into five groups of sever, moderate, mild to moderate, mild, and no dysfunction for score of 0 - 10, 11 - 16, 17 - 21, 22 - 25, and 26 - 30 respectively.

# RESULTS

Tadalafil 5 mg OD has statistically significant better result when compared with IIEF score after 4 wks. with percentage improvement of 21.12 % when compared to baseline, with a P value of < 0.0001. In group II tadalafil 10 mg on demand shows statistically significant results when IIEF score baseline was compared with that after 4 wks. When group I compared with group II for mean difference of score after 4 wks. group I shows statistically significant improvement with P value 0.017 (< 0.05). When compared with score after 16 wks. with baseline both group I and II shows statistically significant improvement in IIEF score. But group I which shows mean difference in score of 5.40 (42.05 % improvement from baseline) is a statistically significant difference (p value - 0.0001) when compared to group II which shows mean difference of score at 16 wks. as 2.73 (20.71 % improvement from baseline).

#### CONCLUSIONS

Tadalafil 5 mg daily provides significant increase in IIEF score as compared to on demand 10 mg tadalafil. We need longer duration of study the side effects in each group.

#### **KEYWORDS**

Tadalafil, IIEF, Once a Day, On Demand, Erectile Dysfunction

Corresponding Author: Dr. N. Imdad Ali, Department of Urology, Vijayanagar Institute of Medical Sciences, Bellary, Karnataka, India. E-mail: drimdadali@gmail.com

DOI: 10.18410/jebmh/2020/554

How to Cite This Article: Ali NI, Sankhe P, Ravishankar THS. A prospective randomized comparative study to evaluate the effect of once daily dose of tadalafil (5mg) vs on-demand tadalafil (10mg) on international index for erectile function (IIEF) score. J Evid Based Med Healthc 2020; 7(46), 2694-2699. DOI: 10.18410/jebmh/2020/554

Submission 25-08-2020, Peer Review 05-09-2020, Acceptance 12-10-2020, Published 16-11-2020.

Copyright © 2020 N. Imdad Ali et al. This is an open access article distributed under Creative Commons Attribution License [Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0)]

# BACKGROUND

Throughout the world, erectile dysfunction is a common condition affecting men of middle and old age group.<sup>1</sup> Its incidence is increasing over the world during the last few decades<sup>2</sup> which could be attributed to many reasons. But one significant reason being the availability of PDE5 inhibitors, now people with ED are coming more frequently for treatment than in the past.<sup>3</sup>

Erectile dysfunction has been defined as "The inability to achieve / maintain penile erection which leads to unsatisfactory sexual intercourse".<sup>1</sup> ED incidence is 6 % in 20 - 29 yrs. age group, and 50 - 70 % in 40 to 79 yrs.<sup>2</sup> India is fastest growing population in the world and majority of them are males, due to high incidence of lifestyle related diseases such as obesity, hypertension, DM (Diabetes Mellitus) it is projected that incidence of ED might increase to 320 million by 2025.<sup>3</sup> Its incidence is increasing over the world during the last few decades, which could be attributed to many reasons. But one significant reason being the availability of PDE5 inhibitors, now people with ED are coming more frequently for treatment than in the past.<sup>3</sup>

Erection is a very complex process which needs fine interaction of vascular, neurogenic, hormonal, and penile smooth muscle cells.<sup>4</sup> Aetiology is variable ranging from psychological or physiological factors including lifestyle, vascular cause, testosterone deficiency, DM, and aging.<sup>5</sup> Erectile dysfunction isn't just sexual disease, it affect quality of life and is associated with many psychosocial aspect of life pushing men to conditions such as depression, low selfesteem, anxiety about performing sexual activity and suicide.<sup>6</sup>



Various scoring and grading systems are used to measure Erectile Function (EF). The most commonly preferred method of ED is the "International Index for Erectile Function and Erectile Function domain (IIEF-EF)".<sup>6</sup> The International Index of Erectile Function score is used all over the world by most treating physicians, it is easy to follow by patient and a self-reporting tool which has various aspect of sexual function making it multidimensional it's also

a grading tool for measurement of male sexual function. It is used as a primary endpoint for many clinical trials on erectile dysfunction and helps to diagnose the severity of ED. IIEF was developed along with sildenafil trials and had shown great ease in assessing the sexual function and determining severity of disease and since then it is considered as "Gold Standard" for assessment of ED. It has been translated in thirty-two different languages and used as a primary endpoint in more than 50 clinical trials on ED.<sup>7</sup> Total score is 30 points, which is divided into mild, moderate and severe based on score to denote severity of ED. This scoring has been used in various studies as to assess ED.<sup>8</sup> The advantage of this guestionnaire is that it is easy to understand by patient and can be scored by patient himself that makes it great tool in various research and clinical trials, and it has the requisite sensitivity and specificity required to diagnose and detect any treatment-related changes in patients with ED.

No ED	25-30		
Mild	19-24		
Mild to Moderate	13-18		
Moderate	7-12		
Severe	0-6		
Table 1. There are Five Classes of IIEF Score			

The questionnaire is composed of 15 different items over the various aspect of male sexual dysfunction such as ejaculatory problem, problem related to intercourse, erectile dysfunction, disorder of orgasm, overall satisfaction, and there is also an abbreviated format of the five questions which can also be used as well: the Sexual Health Inventory for Men (SHIM). The IIEF is widely used and, as shown below, in combination with objective measures, used for the evaluation of the adequacy of sexual functioning.9 Phosphodiesterase type 5 (PDE5) inhibitors are proved to be effective in multiple studies done for ED. Tadalafil is preferred by many urologists as well as patients because of its long half-life. Tadalafil on demand was compared with daily dosing in several studies. Various dose combinations and dosage schedule have been studied such as 5 mg, 10 ma, 20 mg. Many tests conducting the efficacy of tadalafil on-demand vs. daily dosing have been done in Western and East Asian country population

In India, ED is still considered taboo, and with awareness and availability of treatment options, many patients with ED are seeking consultation for treatment. Number of people using ED medical therapy is very low and people continuing therapy are lower than expected.<sup>10</sup> There is a clear-cut deficiency in studies and data on drug utilization and its compliance among Indian men. As the incidence of ED is rising and we will reach sky high in the coming decade, it's utmost important to have a clear consensus on drug dosages, the duration for management of erectile dysfunction.

We conducted the study in South India at a tertiary care medical centre to see tadalafil (5 mg) once a day dosage schedule compared to tadalafil 10 mg on demand dosage and we are using IIEF scoring method to assess this. These dosages have already been studied in independent studies.

#### METHODS

This is a randomized double-blind study that was conducted from 2018 - 2020 at the Urology Department of Vijayanagar Institute of Medical Sciences, Bellary. The patients having a convincing history of ED as per the definition of ED by NIH (National Institutes of Health) were included in the study. Detailed history and demographic details were taken from all patients who are included in study.

# **Inclusion Criteria**

- All patients with the diagnosis of ED.
- Patients of ED who are not on any prior treatment.

## **Exclusion Criteria**

- Prior treatment for ED.
- Staying for the next 4 months at a specific address.
- Accessible on the phone if unable to visit the hospital.
- IHD (Ischemic Heart Disease).
- Penile anatomical abnormalities.
- Spinal cord injury.
- Substance abuse.
- Taking nitrate compounds or androgens.
- History of adverse reaction to tadalafil.
- Comorbidity.
  - a. Uncontrolled HTN (Hypertension).
  - b. Psychological issues.
  - c. CNS (Central Nervous System) defect.
  - d. HIV (Human Immunodeficiency Virus).
  - e. CRF (Chronic Renal Failure).
  - f. Hepatic dysfunction.
  - g. CYP 450 inhibitors.

Patients presenting to urology OPD with ED diagnosed based on detailed history were included in the study after a complete evaluation of history of addiction such as alcoholism and smoking or use of any drugs which can affect sexual function of patient. At the time of examination patient's general examination was completed, blood pressure, respiratory rate, heart rate, complete blood count, liver and kidney function tests, and urine routine and culture sensitivity were done, ECG obtained, and later pre-treatment IIEF score was calculated. Detail physical examination for anatomical abnormality, phimosis, hypospadias, and previous surgery history was taken. Outpatients were randomized into two groups using the odd-even method. Before starting treatment, IIEF scoring was done for both groups. The Group 1 was given once-daily 5 mg tadalafil. Group 2 was given 10 mg tadalafil before sexual activity. Patient under study assessed at 4<sup>th</sup> week and 16<sup>th</sup> week.

We used IIEF questionnaire to assess the effect of treatment. in this questionnaire question number 1 - 5 and question 15 deal with erectile function of patient, question number 9 and 10 tells about orgasmic function, question 11, 12 about sexual desire and 6, 7 and 8 talks about intercourse satisfaction. Question number 13 and 14 tells overall satisfaction. This questionnaire applied to all patients before

# **Original Research Article**

start of treatment, at 4<sup>th</sup> week, and at 16<sup>th</sup> week. All questionnaire was filled by same person and patient and physicians were blind folded. Scoring scale is 1 to 30 which is divided into five groups of sever, moderate, mild to moderate, mild, and no dysfunction for score of 0 - 10, 11 - 16, 17 - 21, 22 - 25 and 26 - 30 respectively. Patients from the IIEF score of more than 25 were excluded from the study. We explained entire study process to patient in his language of understanding and only after that written informed consent was taken.

The statistical analysis is carried out using (SPSS) Statistical Package for the Social Sciences, version 13, and the Shapiro–Wilk test was used to check normalcy among both group. The results are given as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation, n (%), paired t-test used for parametric data. Chi-square tests is for categorical variances. In all cases, p values less than 0.05 were defined as statistically significant.

## RESULTS

One hundred and ten (110) patients were included in the study and were randomized into two groups of 55 each. Group 1 = tadalafil 5 mg daily dose regimen group. Group 2 = tadalafil 10 mg on-demand group. The mean age group of group 1 is 47.6 yrs. and group 2 is 49.6 yrs. In group 1, 19 patients are in the 30 - 45 age group, 18 from the 46 -55 yrs. age range, 18 in the 56 - 65 age range. Group 2, 20 patients from the 30 - 45 age range, 15 from 46 - 55 age range, 20 from 56 - 65 age range. Age group difference in group 1 and 2 shows a p-value of 0.8172, statistically not significant. Patients with tadalafil 5 mg daily group had 2 (3.6 %) patients with mild symptoms, 25 (45.5 %) had mild to moderate symptoms, 28 (51 %) had moderate symptoms. No patient with a severe score (0 - 6) in the baseline was found in the study. Patients in group 2 (on-demand group) 35 (63.6 %) patients belong to a mild to moderate group, 20 (36.4 %) patients had a moderate IIEF score. The mean baseline score of group 1 is  $12.84 \pm 3.69$  and group 2 has a mean baseline score of 13.18 ± 3.295. Baseline score of groups 1 and 2 doesn't show statistically significant difference with P value 0.652, this shows the group is comparable. After 4 weeks mean IIEF score of group 1 increased to  $15.55 \pm 3.967$  and group 2 score increase to  $15.11 \pm 3.32$ . The difference in mean score at end of 4 wks.; In group 1 was 2.71 and group 2 was 1.93. This shows a statistically significant difference in tadalafil daily group vs. tadalafil on-demand group at end of 4 wks. With P-value 0.017. Group 1 shows 21.12 %, and group 2 shows a 14.64 % improvement in a score at the end of 4 weeks. Both showing statistically significant improvement compared to baseline in individual groups.

After 16 weeks, group 1 mean IIEF score was  $18.24 \pm 4.29$  whereas group 2 score increased to  $15.91 \pm 3.014$ . The difference in mean score from the baseline score after 16 weeks was  $5.40 \pm 2.55$  for group 1 and  $2.73 \pm 1.096$  for group 2. Improvement in IIEF from baseline shows statistically significant difference intragroup and intergroup study p-value 0.006.

# Jebmh.com

Age Group	(Yrs.) T	adalafil 5 m Daily	ig Tadalafi On De	l 10 mg mand	P-Value
30 - 45	5	19	20	)	
46 - 55	5	18	1	5	0.817
56 - 65	5	18	20	)	
		Table 2. Age	e Distributio	on	
			Mild to		
	Normal	Mild	Moderate	Moderat	e Severe
Baseline	0	2 (3.6 %)	25 (45.5 %)	28 (51 %)	) 0
4 <sup>™</sup> Week	2 (5 5 0()	11 (20 %)	34 (61.8 %)	10 (18.2 %	o) 0
12 <sup>m</sup> week	3 (5.5 %)	16 (29.1 %)	32 (58.2 %)	4 (7.3 %)	0
	Table S	. Group 1 (2	o mg Tauala	mi Dany)	
	Normal	Mild	Mild to Moderate	Moderat	e Severe
Baseline	0	0	35 (63.6 %)	20 (36.4 %	o) 0
4 <sup>th</sup> week	0	6 (10.9 %)	34 (61.8 %)	15 (27.3 %	o) 0
12 <sup>th</sup> week	0	10 (18.2 %)	33 (60 %)	12 (21.8 %	o) 0
Ta	ble 4. Gr	oup 2 (10 M	lg Tadalafil	On-Dema	and)
		Group 1	Group	· ·	D
Score	s	Group I Mean ± CD	Group . Mean + 1		P-
Baseline S	Core	12 84 + 3 606	13 18 + 3 1	295 - 04	51 0.652
4week Sc	core	$15.55 \pm 3.967$	$15.10 \pm 3.2$ $15.11 \pm 3.2$	32 - 0.0	84 0.933
Difference in	Score	$2.71 \pm 2.208$	$1.93 \pm 0.9$	20	5.500
Improven	nent	21.12 %	14.64 %	-	
Paired t 1	Гest	- 9.098	- 15.535		
P Valu	e	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	L	
Tal	ble 5. Co	mparison ol	f Scores bef	ore and a	after
	4 Wee	ks of Treatn	nent among	Groups	
			-	•	
	G	roun 1	Group 2	Z	P-
Scores		Toup I	Group 2	Value	Value
500105		100n ⊥	Moon +		
			mean I		
	, T	SD	SD		
Baseline Sco	ore 12.8	<b>SD</b> 34 ± 3.696	<b>SD</b> 13.18 ± 3.295	- 0.451	0.652
Baseline Sco 4week Sco Difference	ore 12.8 re 18.2 in	<b>SD</b> 34 ± 3.696 1 24 ± 4.299 1	<b>SD</b> 13.18 ± 3.295 15.91 ± 3.014	- 0.451 - 2.754	0.652 0.006
Baseline Sco 4week Sco Difference Score	ore 12.8 re 18.2 in 5.4	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{SD} \\ \textbf{34 \pm 3.696} \\ \textbf{24 \pm 4.299} \\ \textbf{0 \pm 2.550} \end{array}$	<b>SD</b> 13.18 ± 3.295 15.91 ± 3.014 2.73 ± 1.096	- 0.451 - 2.754	0.652 0.006
Baseline Sco 4week Sco Difference Score Improveme	ore 12.8 re 18.2 in 5.4 ent 4	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{SD} \\ \textbf{34 \pm 3.696} \\ \textbf{24 \pm 4.299} \\ \textbf{0 \pm 2.550} \\ \textbf{12.05 \%} \end{array}$	<b>SD</b> 13.18 ± 3.295 15.91 ± 3.014 2.73 ± 1.096 20.71 %	- 0.451 - 2.754	0.652 0.006
Baseline Sco 4week Sco Difference Score Improveme Paired t Te	ore 12.8 re 18.2 in 5.4 ent 4 st -	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{SD} \\ \textbf{SD} \\ \textbf{34} \pm 3.696 \\ \textbf{24} \pm 4.299 \\ \textbf{0} \pm 2.550 \\ \textbf{0} \pm 2.550 \\ \textbf{42.05} \\ \textbf{\%} \\ \textbf{15.703} \end{array}$	<b>SD</b> 13.18 ± 3.295 15.91 ± 3.014 2.73 ± 1.096 20.71 % - 18.448	- 0.451 - 2.754	0.652 0.006
Baseline Sco 4week Sco Difference Score Improveme Paired t Te P Value	ore 12.8 re 18.2 in 5.4 ent 4 st -	SD $34 \pm 3.696$ : $24 \pm 4.299$ : $0 \pm 2.550$ : $15.703$ : $0.0001$ :	<b>SD</b> 13.18 ± 3.295 15.91 ± 3.014 2.73 ± 1.096 20.71 % - 18.448 < 0.0001	- 0.451 - 2.754	0.652 0.006
Baseline Sco 4week Sco Difference Score Improveme Paired t Te P Value Tat	ore 12.8 re 18.2 in 5.4 ent 4 st - st -	SD 34 ± 3.696 : 2 24 ± 4.299 : 2 0 ± 2.550 42.05 % 15.703 : 0.0001 mparison of	SD 13.18 ± 3.295 15.91 ± 3.014 2.73 ± 1.096 20.71 % - 18.448 < 0.0001 F Scores bef	- 0.451 - 2.754	0.652 0.006
Baseline Sco 4week Sco Difference Score Improveme Paired t Te P Value Tal	ore 12.8 re 18.3 in 5.4 ent 4 st - st - st - st - st - st - st - st -	SD 34 ± 3.696 : 2 24 ± 4.299 : 2 0 ± 2.550 42.05 % 15.703 : 0.0001 mparison of taks of Treats	SD 13.18 ± 3.295 15.91 ± 3.014 2.73 ± 1.096 20.71 % - 18.448 < 0.0001 F Scores before the second sec	- 0.451 - 2.754 fore and a g Groups	0.652 0.006
Baseline Sco 4week Sco Difference Score Improveme Paired t Te P Value <b>Tau</b>	ore 12.8 re 18.3 in 5.4 ent 4 st - st - <b>ble 6. Co.</b> 16 Wee	SD 34 ± 3.696 : 2 24 ± 4.299 : 2 0 ± 2.550 42.05 % 15.703 : 0.0001 mparison of teks of Treat	SD 13.18 ± 3.295 15.91 ± 3.014 2.73 ± 1.096 20.71 % - 18.448 < 0.0001 F Scores between among	- 0.451 - 2.754 Fore and a g Groups	0.652 0.006
Baseline Sco 4week Sco Difference Score Improveme Paired t Te P Value <b>Tau</b>	ore 12.8 re 18.7 in 5.4 ent 4 st - st - <b>5</b> <b>6. Co</b> 16 Wee	SD 34 ± 3.696 : : 24 ± 4.299 : : 0 ± 2.550 42.05 % 15.703 : 0.0001 mparison of teks of Treat	SD 13.18 ± 3.295 15.91 ± 3.014 2.73 ± 1.096 20.71 % - 18.448 < 0.0001 F Scores bet ment amon	- 0.451 - 2.754 Fore and a g Groups	0.652 0.006
Baseline Sco 4week Sco Difference Score Improveme Paired t Te P Value <b>Tau</b> 20	ore 12.8 re 18.7 in 5.4 ent 4 st - st - <b>5</b> <b>6. Co</b> 16 Wee	SD 34 ± 3.696 : 2 24 ± 4.299 : 2 0 ± 2.550 42.05 % 15.703 : 0.0001 mparison of teks of Treat	SD 13.18 ± 3.295 15.91 ± 3.014 2.73 ± 1.096 20.71 % - 18.448 < 0.0001 F Scores bet ment amon	- 0.451 - 2.754 Fore and a g Groups	0.652 0.006
Baseline Sco 4week Sco Difference Score Improveme Paired t Te P Value Tau 20 20 218	ore 12.8 re 18.3 in 5.4 ent 4 st - st - <b>5</b> <b>6</b> <b>16</b> <b>Wee</b>	SD 34 ± 3.696 : : 24 ± 4.299 : : 0 ± 2.550 42.05 % 15.703 < 0.0001 mparison of teks of Treat	SD 13.18 ± 3.295 15.91 ± 3.014 2.73 ± 1.096 20.71 % - 18.448 < 0.0001 F Scores bet ment amony	- 0.451 - 2.754 Fore and a g Groups	0.652 0.006
Baseline Sco 4week Sco Difference Score Improveme Paired t Te P Value <b>Tal</b> 20	ore 12.8 re 18.3 in 5.4 ent 4 st - st - <b>5</b> <i>6. Co</i> <i>16 Wee</i>	SD 34 ± 3.696 : 1 24 ± 4.299 : 2 0 ± 2.550 42.05 % 15.703 < 0.0001 mparison of teks of Treat	SD 13.18 ± 3.295 15.91 ± 3.014 2.73 ± 1.096 20.71 % - 18.448 < 0.0001 F Scores bet ment among	- 0.451 - 2.754 Fore and a g Groups	0.652 0.006
Baseline Sco 4week Sco Difference Score Improveme Paired t Te P Value <b>Tau</b> 20 20 20 20 20 218 218 216	ore 12.8 re 18.7 in 5.4 ent 4 st - st - <b>5</b> <i>6</i> <i>6</i> <i>6</i> <i>6</i> <i>16</i> <i>Wee</i>	SD 34 ± 3.696 :: 24 ± 4.299 :: 0 ± 2.550 42.05 % 15.703 : 0.0001 mparison of teks of Treat	SD 13.18 ± 3.295 15.91 ± 3.014 2.73 ± 1.096 20.71 % - 18.448 < 0.0001 F Scores bet ment among	- 0.451 - 2.754 Fore and a g Groups	0.652 0.006
Baseline Sco 4week Sco Difference Score Improveme Paired t Te P Value Tau 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	ore 12.8 re 18.3 in 5.4 ent 4 st - st - <b>ble 6. Co</b> 16 Wee	SD 34 ± 3.696 : : 24 ± 4.299 : : 0 ± 2.550 42.05 % 15.703 : 0.0001 mparison of teks of Treats	SD 13.18 ± 3.295 15.91 ± 3.014 2.73 ± 1.096 20.71 % - 18.448 < 0.0001 F Scores bet ment among	- 0.451 - 2.754 Fore and a g Groups	0.652 0.006
Baseline Sco 4week Sco Difference Score Improveme Paired t Te P Value <b>Tau</b> 20 <b>20</b> <b>20</b> <b>20</b> <b>20</b> <b>20</b> <b>20</b> <b>20</b>	ore 12.8 re 18.3 in 5.4 ent 4 st - st - <b>ble 6. Co</b> 16 Wee	SD 34 ± 3.696 : 1 24 ± 4.299 : 2 0 ± 2.550 42.05 % 15.703 < 0.0001 mparison on teks of Treats	SD 13.18 ± 3.295 15.91 ± 3.014 2.73 ± 1.096 20.71 % - 18.448 < 0.0001 F Scores bet ment among	- 0.451 - 2.754	0.652 0.006
Baseline Sco 4week Sco Difference Score Improveme Paired t Te P Value <b>Tau</b> 20 <b>20</b> <b>20</b> <b>20</b> <b>20</b> <b>20</b> <b>20</b> <b>20</b>	bre 12.8 re 18.7 in 5.4 ent 4 st - st - <b>ble 6. Co.</b> 16 Wee	SD 34 ± 3.696 : 1 24 ± 4.299 : 2 0 ± 2.550 42.05 % 15.703 c 0.0001 mparison on teks of Treat	SD 13.18 ± 3.295 15.91 ± 3.014 2.73 ± 1.096 20.71 % - 18.448 < 0.0001 F Scores bet ment amon	- 0.451 - 2.754	0.652 0.006
Baseline Sco 4week Sco Difference Score Improveme Paired t Te P Value Tau 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	ore 12.8 re 18.7 in 5.4 ent 4 st - st - <b>16 Wee</b>	SD 34 ± 3.696 : 1 24 ± 4.299 : 2 0 ± 2.550 42.05 % 15.703 c 0.0001 mparison on teks of Treat	SD 13.18 ± 3.295 15.91 ± 3.014 2.73 ± 1.096 20.71 % - 18.448 < 0.0001 F Scores bet ment amon	- 0.451 - 2.754	0.652 0.006
Baseline Sco 4week Sco Difference Score Improveme Paired t Te P Value 7au 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	bre 12.8 re 18.7 in 5.4 ent 4 st - <i>st</i> - - <i>st</i> - <i>st</i> -	SD 34 ± 3.696 1 24 ± 4.299 1 0 ± 2.550 42.05 % 15.703 0.0001 mparison of teks of Treat	sb sb 13.18 ± 3.295 15.91 ± 3.014 2.73 ± 1.096 20.71 % - 18.448 < 0.0001 f Scores bef ment amon	- 0.451 - 2.754	0.652 0.006
Baseline Sco 4week Sco Difference Score Improveme Paired t Te P Value 7au 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	bre 12.8 re 18.3 in 5.4 ent 4 st - ble 6. Co. 16 Wee	SD 34 ± 3.696 1 24 ± 4.299 1 0 ± 2.550 42.05 % 15.703 0.0001 mparison of teks of Treat	SD   13.18 ± 3.295   15.91 ± 3.014   2.73 ± 1.096   20.71 %   - 18.448   < 0.0001	- 0.451 - 2.754	0.652 0.006
Baseline Sco 4week Sco Difference Score Improveme Paired t Te P Value 7au 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	bre 12.8 re 18.7 in 5.4 ent 4 st - <b>ble 6. Co.</b> 16 Wee	SD 34 ± 3.696 1 24 ± 4.299 1 0 ± 2.550 42.05 % 15.703 0.0001 mparison of teks of Treat	SD   13.18 ± 3.295   15.91 ± 3.014   2.73 ± 1.096   20.71 %   - 18.448   < 0.0001	- 0.451 - 2.754	0.652 0.006
Baseline Sco 4week Sco Difference Score Improveme Paired t Te P Value 7au 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	bre 12.8 re 18.7 in 5.4 ent 4 st - <i>st -</i> <i>st -</i> <i>s</i>	SD 34 ± 3.696 :: 24 ± 4.299 :: 0 ± 2.550 42.05 % 15.703 c 0.0001 mparison on teks of Treats ine 4 We re Scot	SD   13.18 ± 3.295   15.91 ± 3.014   2.73 ± 1.096   20.71 %   - 18.448   < 0.0001	- 0.451 - 2.754	0.652 0.006
Baseline Sco 4week Sco Difference Score Improveme Paired t Te P Value 7au 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	bre 12.8 re 18.3 in 5.4 ent 4 st - <b>ble 6. Co.</b> 16 Wee	SD 34 ± 3.696 1 24 ± 4.299 1 0 ± 2.550 42.05 % 15.703 0.0001 mparison of teks of Treat	SD   13.18 ± 3.295   15.91 ± 3.014   2.73 ± 1.096   20.71 %   - 18.448   < 0.0001	- 0.451 - 2.754	0.652 0.006
Baseline Sco 4week Sco Difference Score Improveme Paired t Te P Value 7au 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	bre 12.8 re 18.3 in 5.4 ent 4 st - <b>ble 6. Co.</b> 16 Wee	SD 34 ± 3.696 :: 24 ± 4.299 :: 0 ± 2.550 42.05 % 15.703 :: 0.0001 mparison on teks of Treats ine 4 We re Scol	SD   13.18 ± 3.295   15.91 ± 3.014   2.73 ± 1.096   20.71 %   - 18.448   < 0.0001	- 0.451 - 2.754	0.652 0.006
Baseline Sco 4week Sco Difference Score Improveme Paired t Te P Value 7au 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	bre 12.8 re 18.7 in 5.4 ent 4 st - <b>ble 6. Co.</b> 16 Wee	SD 34 ± 3.696 :: 24 ± 4.299 :: 0 ± 2.550 42.05 % 15.703 :: 0.0001 mparison on teks of Treat: ine 4 We re Scol	SD   13.18 ± 3.295   15.91 ± 3.014   2.73 ± 1.096   20.71 %   - 18.448   < 0.0001	- 0.451 - 2.754	0.652 0.006
Baseline Sco 4week Sco Difference Score Improveme Paired t Te P Value 7au 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	bre 12.8 re 18.3 in 5.4 ent 4 st - <i>st -</i> <i>st - <i>st -</i> <i>st -</i> <i>s</i></i>	SD 34 ± 3.696 :: 24 ± 4.299 :: 0 ± 2.550 42.05 % 15.703 :: 0.0001 mparison of eks of Treat: ine 4 We re Scol	SD   13.18 ± 3.295   15.91 ± 3.014   2.73 ± 1.096   20.71 %   - 18.448   < 0.0001	- 0.451 - 2.754	0.652 0.006
Baseline Sco 4week Sco Difference Score Improveme Paired t Te P Value 7au 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	ble 6. Co. 16 Wee Basel Scor 2 Compa	SD 34 ± 3.696 :: 24 ± 4.299 :: 0 ± 2.550 42.05 % 15.703 :: 0.0001 mparison of eks of Treat: ine 4 We re Scol	B   SD   13.18 ± 3.295   15.91 ± 3.014   2.73 ± 1.096   20.71 %   - 18.448   < 0.0001	- 0.451 - 2.754 Fore and a g Groups eeks re Group	0.652 0.006

Tadalafil 5 mg daily group shows 42.05 % improvement whereas tadalafil on-demand shows a 20.71 % improvement in score. Out of 28 moderate groups of Group 1, 24 showed improvement in score and only 4 remained in the same group. A total of 3 (5.5 %) patients in the daily tadalafil group return to normal. Of 20 patients from group 2 who

had a baseline score in moderate group 8 showed improvement in IIEF score and the remaining 12 remained in moderate group.



### DISCUSSION

Among all phosphodiesterase 5 (PDE 5) inhibitors, tadalafil is reversible, selective, and a potent inhibitor. Mechanism of action of PDE 5 inhibitor is to cause smooth muscle cell relaxation and vasodilation by increasing level of cGMP (Cyclic Guanosine Monophosphate).<sup>11</sup> In order to attain and maintain penile erection Corpora Cavernosum (CC) muscle plays important role, vasodilatation of CC leads to increase in blood flow in CC which lead to penile erection, PDE5 receptor present in abundance in CC and tadalafil act on it to cause its effect.<sup>12</sup> Tadalafil is extremely safe and effective and it has been proven in various studies.<sup>13</sup> Tadalafil initialy approved for low dose of 2.5 mg or 5 mg, but there has been various studies where 10 mg and 20 mg tadalafil has been effectively studied for erectile dysfunction treatment. Tadalafil has half-life of 17.5 hrs., so that makes it good agent for once a daily usage to provide steady supply.<sup>13</sup> This has longer half-life than all other available PDE5 inhibitors. This property of tadalafil makes it suitable and provides a wide window to engage in sexual intercourse. It also allows the patients of erectile dysfunction to choose once-a-day dosing regimen as an alternative to the conventional ondemand dosing regimen of tadalafil and hence patient satisfaction.<sup>14</sup> Although on-demand PDE5 inhibitors are also proven to be similarly effective in management of ED, but due to varied sexual patterns and timing this makes cumbersome for patient to taken on demand and lead to decrease compliance to drugs and overall decrease in scoring.<sup>14</sup> Dosing option should be such that it eliminates the need and coordination of dosing and sexual activity which interim increase the compliance to drug. Pattern of sexual activity is very complex process which has multiple dimensions, varied behaviour complexity of sexual activity.<sup>15</sup>

# Jebmh.com

# **Original Research Article**

Study	Study	Patient	No. of participants in	No. of Participants	<b>On-Demand</b>	Daily	Length of	Outcome
ocuuy	Design	Population	the On-Demand Group	in Daily Group	Dosage	Dosage	Follow-Up	Scores
McMahon 2005 <sup>20</sup>	RCT	Mild to severe ED	70	75	10 mg	10 mg	12 Weeks	IIEF-EF, SEP2 & 3, GAQ, TPQ
Kang et al 2012 <sup>21</sup>	RCT	Mild to severe ED	68	66	20 mg	5 mg	4 weeks, 12 weeks	IIEF-EF (5), SEP2&3 , GAQ
Jamshidian et al 2012 <sup>19</sup>	RCT	ED only	50	50	10 mg	10 mg	12 wks., 24 weeks	IIEF-EF
Bolat et al 2018 <sup>18</sup>	RCT	ED with DM	32	31	20	5 mg	12 weeks	IIEF-EF,EHS
Efficiency of Tadalafil Daily Versus on Demand Dosage Randomised Control Trials								

Name of Study		On-Demand Group Mean IIEF-EF	Daily Group Mean IIEF-EF		
		Score (SD)	Score (SD)		
McMahon <sup>20</sup>	Baseline	14.3 (4.71)	14.9 (5.15)		
2005	12 weeks	23.3 (6.7)	26.4 (4.47)		
Kang et al	Baseline	11.04 (4.8)	11.28 (4.9)		
2012 <sup>21</sup>	12 weeks	16.92 (6.2)	19.08 (6.2)		
Jamshidian	Baseline	13.48 (2.86)	12.64 (2.92)		
et al(2012)19	12 weeks	14.47 (3.25)	15.24 (3.56)		
Bolat et al	Baseline	12.8 ± 5.7	12.6(6.8)		
(2018)18	12 weeks	$15.1 \pm 5.4$	15.6 ± 7.2		
Change in IIEF-EF Score in Daily Versus					
On-Demand Tadalafil Group					

There have been several studies conducted for ED particularly in the field of daily dosing vs. on-demand dosing.<sup>16–19</sup> The novelty about our study is on-demand 10 mg dose is being compared to 5 mg daily dosage.

McMahan et al (2005)<sup>20</sup> did a randomized cross over trail of daily tadalafil 10 mg vs. on demand 20 mg among 145 patients. He compared outcome after 12 weeks. He found baseline IIEF score increase from 14.6 to 23.3 in on demand group whereas in daily group this increase is 26.4. SEP 3 (Sexual Encounter Profile Question 3) shows positive response in both groups, from 30 % at baseline it increased to 67 % in on demand group and 80 % in daily group. There is statistically significant difference in preference of dosage only 28 % people preferred on demand dosage whereas 72 % preferred daily dosage.

A study by Kang et al<sup>21</sup> they studied 168 patients of whom 134 completed study. They compared on demand 20 mg vs. daily 5 mg dosage and found 81% people from on demand continued study and only 78.6 % of daily dosage could continue study. IIEF score improvement was seen to be better in daily tadalafil group compared to on demand group showing improvement in score of 6.5 (4.5) and 4.9 (4.2) respectively which is statistically significant difference P value 0.035.

Our study also shows promising results in daily tadalafil 5 mg group, shows a statistically significant difference in tadalafil daily group vs. tadalafil on-demand group at end of 4 wks. With p-value 0.017. Group 1 shows 21.12 %, and group 2 shows a 14.64 % improvement in a score at the end of 4 weeks. The difference in mean score from the baseline score after 16 weeks was  $5.40 \pm 2.55$  for group 1 and  $2.73 \pm 1.096$  for group 2. Improvement in IIEF from baseline shows statistically significant difference intragroup and intergroup study p-value < 0.0001. Tadalafil 5 mg daily group shows 42.05 % improvement whereas tadalafil ondemand shows a 20.71 % improvement in score. These results are comparable to landmark studies and strengthen the daily dosage protocol use.

# CONCLUSIONS

Tadalafil 5 mg once a day shows significant improvement in sexual function, and satisfaction when compared to tadalafil 10 mg on demand. Although both are effective in treatment of ED, its daily dosage is preferrable compared to ondemand. With proper pre-treatment counselling, we can achieve almost zero dropout rate which goes a long way in treating ED.

#### Limitations

No long term follow up for side effects was included in the study.

Data sharing statement provided by the authors is available with the full text of this article at jebmh.com.

Financial or other competing interests: None.

Disclosure forms provided by the authors are available with the full text of this article at jebmh.com.

### REFERENCES

- [1] The National Institutes of Health (NIH) Consensus Development Program: Impotence [cited 2020 Aug 20]. https://consensus.nih.gov/1992/1992Impotence091ht ml.htm
- [2] Laumann EO, Nicolosi A, Glasser DB, et al. Sexual problems among women and men aged 40-80 y: prevalence and correlates identified in the Global Study of Sexual Attitudes and Behaviors. Int J Impot Res 2005;17(1):39-57.
- [3] Ayta IA, McKinlay JB, Krane RJ. The likely worldwide increase in erectile dysfunction between 1995 and 2025 and some possible policy consequences. BJU Int 1999;84(1):50-56.
- [4] Vickers MA, Seiler M, Weidner N. Corpora cavernosa ultrastructure in vascular erectile dysfunction. J Urol 1990;143(6):1131-1134.
- [5] Derby CA, Mohr BA, Goldstein I, et al. Modifiable risk factors and erectile dysfunction: can lifestyle changes modify risk? Urology 2000;56(2):302-306.
- [6] Fugl-Meyer AR, Lodnert G, Branholm IB, et al. On life satisfaction in male erectile dysfunction. Int J Impot Res 1997;9(3):141-148. https://www.nature.com/articles/3900269

J Evid Based Med Healthc, pISSN - 2349-2562, eISSN - 2349-2570 / Vol. 7 / Issue 46 / Nov. 16, 2020

- [7] Rosen RC, Cappelleri JC, Gendrano N. The International Index of Erectile Function (IIEF): a state-of-the-science review. Int J Impot Res 2002;14(4):226-244. www.nature.com/ijir
- [8] Cappelleri JC, Siegel RL, Osterloh IH, et al. Relationship between patient self-assessment of erectile function and the erectile function domain of the international index of erectile function. Urology 2000;56(3):477-481.
- [9] Fried R. Measuring and evaluating function, impairment and change with intervention. In: Erectile dysfunction as a cardiovascular impairment. Elsevier 2014: p. 27-75.
- [10] Tan HM, Low WY, Ng CJ, et al. Prevalence and correlates of erectile dysfunction (ED) and treatmentseeking for ED in Asian men: The Asian men's attitudes to life events and sexuality (MALES) study. J Sex Med 2007;4(6):1582-1592.
- [11] Bischoff E. Pharmacology of phosphodiesterase inhibitors. In: Oral pharmacotherapy for male sexual dysfunction. Humana Press 2005: p. 43-63. https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1385/1-59259-871-4:043
- [12] Chen JJ, Sun YL, Tiwari AK, et al. PDE5 inhibitors, sildenafil and vardenafil, reverse multidrug resistance by inhibiting the efflux function of multidrug resistance protein 7 (ATP-binding Cassette C10) transporter. Cancer Sci 2012;103(8):1531-1537.
- [13] Sung HH, Lee SW. Chronic low dosing of phosphodiesterase type 5 inhibitor for erectile dysfunction. Korean J Urol 2012;53(6):377-385.
- [14] Wrishko R, Sorsaburu S, Wong D, et al. Safety, efficacy and pharmacokinetic overview of low-dose daily administration of tadalafil. J Sex Med 2009;6(7):2039-2048.
- [15] Rajfer J, Aliotta PJ, Steidle CP, et al. Tadalafil dosed once a day in men with erectile dysfunction: a

randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study in the US. Int J Impot Res 2007;19(1):95-103.

- [16] Brock G, Ni X, Oelke M, et al. Efficacy of continuous dosing of tadalafil once daily vs tadalafil on-demand in clinical subgroups of men with erectile dysfunction: a descriptive comparison using the integrated tadalafil databases. J Sex Med 2016;13(5):860-875.
- [17] Jamshidian H, Borhan A, Kooraki S, et al. Evaluation of the efficacy of once-daily use of tadalafil vs. on-demand use. Is there a cumulative effect? J Pakistan Med Assoc 2012;62(11):1195-1198. javascript:location='/\_/print/cite.aspx?url='+encodeUR IComponent(location.href)+'&author=Jamshidian%2C +Hasan%3B+Borhan%2C+Armin%3B+Kooraki%2C+ Soheil%3B+Borhan%2C+Arash&title=Evaluation+of+t he+efficacy+of+once-

daily+use+of+Tadalafil+vs.+On-demand+use.+Is+t

- [18] Bolat MS, Cinar O, Akdeniz E, et al. Low dose daily versus on-demand high dose tadalafil in diabetic patients with erectile and ejaculatory dysfunction. Int J Impot Res 2018;30:102-107.
- [19] Jamshidian H, Borhan A, Kooraki S, et al. Evaluation of the efficacy of once-daily use of tadalafil vs. On-demand use. Is there a cumulative effect? J Pak Med Assoc. 2012;62(11):1195-1198.
- [20] McMahon C, Kloner RA, Hutter AM, et al. Comparison of efficacy, safety and tolerability of on-demand tadalafil and daily dosed tadalafil for the treatment of erectile dysfunction. J Sex Med 2005;2(3):415-427.
- [21] Kang DH, Lee JY, Chung JH, et al. Comparison of efficacy for erectile function and lower urinary tract symptoms of tadalafil 20 mg on-demand and 5 mg once daily in patients with erectile dysfunction. Int J Clin Pract 2012;66(8):813-820. http://doi.wiley.com/10.1111/j.1742-1241.2012.02946.x