A Comparative Study of Nitroglycerine and Dexmedetomidine for Induced Hypotension in Functional Endoscopic Sinus Surgery

Shruthi M. Shah¹, Nidhi Shrenikbhai Reshamwala², Aakanksha Sanjay Raval³, Krimal Rahulkumar Shah⁴, Yash Rajendrabhai Joshi⁵

^{1, 2, 3, 4, 5} Department of Anaesthesia, Smt. NHL Municipal Medical College, Ahmedabad, Gujarat, India.

ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND

We wanted to evaluate the efficacy of IV nitroglycerine and IV dexmedetomidine in achieving and maintaining induced hypotension in patients undergoing FESS under general anaesthesia, compare haemodynamic response in terms of heart rate, blood pressure, mean arterial pressure, compare clarity of the surgical field, compare the effect on duration of surgery and study the intraoperative and postoperative complications, if any.

METHODS

This is a randomised control trial conducted from 01/01/2018 to 31/12/2018 among 50 patients, ASA 1 & 2 undergoing FESS. They were randomly divided into 2 groups - group D (an infusion of dexmedetomidine was started with a loading dose of 1 μ g / Kg over 10 min and thereafter was maintained between 0.5 - 1.0 μ g / Kg / h) and group N (an infusion of nitroglycerine was started at the rate of 0.5 μ g / Kg / min and was maintained between 0.5 - 2.0 μ g / Kg / min). Haemodynamic data was recorded. Both the infusions were titrated to maintain a MAP between 65 and 75 mmHg. The visibility of the surgical site was checked by the surgeon at every 30 minutes using the Fromme and Boezaart scale.

RESULTS

Both groups consisted of 25 patients each and were demographically similar. In both groups heart rates remained within normal physiological limits, not requiring any pharmacological treatment. Both groups had comparable average MAP during surgery. The group D showed desirable attenuation of haemodynamic response at the time of intubation as well as at extubation. Both groups had comparable duration of surgery. Both the drugs were equally effective in creating clear surgical fields to the surgeons' satisfaction. Dexmedetomidine provided better intraoperative analgesia and reduced requirement of incremental fentanyl as compared to nitroglycerine. Emergence time was significantly higher in dexmedetomidine group.

CONCLUSIONS

Both the groups provided comparable clarity of surgical field with comparable haemodynamic parameters during surgery. dexmedetomidine provided better haemodynamic stability and an additional benefit of reduced requirement of intraoperative supplemental analgesia.

KEYWORDS

Induced hypotension, FESS, Dexmedetomidine, Nitroglycerine

Corresponding Author: Dr. Nidhi Shrenikbhai Reshamwala, #9, Sarjan Apartments, Near Gujarat SOC, B/h Suvidha Centre, Palidi - 380007, Ahmedabad, Gujarat, India. E-mail: nr_cnv@yahoo.co.in

DOI: 10.18410/jebmh/2020/603

How to Cite This Article: Shah SM, Reshamwala NS, Raval AS, et al. A comparative study of nitroglycerine and dexmedetomidine for induced hypotension in functional endoscopic sinus surgery. J Evid Based Med Healthc 2020; 7(49), 2948-2953. DOI: 10.18410/jebmh/2020/603

Submission 24-08-2020, Peer Review 31-09-2020, Acceptance 24-10-2020, Published 07-12-2020.

Copyright © 2020 Shruthi M. Shah et al. This is an open access article distributed under Creative Commons Attribution License [Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0)]

BACKGROUND

Rhinosinusitis, an important cause of significant discomfort and morbidity is commonly treated with FESS nowadays.^{1,2,3} However, there can be serious complications associated with this procedure during peri-operative period like optic nerve injuries, infections, etc. whose incidence can increase with excessive bleeding during surgery.^{4,5} Hence, it is mandatory to keep the surgical field clear of blood as far as possible to appreciate the different structures.

This can be achieved with the use of topical vasoconstrictors, local anaesthesia or induced hypotension with general anaesthesia.^{6,7,8} Deliberate hypotension is defined as decreasing blood pressure 20 - 30 % below its baseline or reducing Mean Arterial Pressure (MAP) to 60 - 70 mmHg reversibly and maintaining the same throughout the surgery.

A variety of medications can be used to induce hypotension such as vasodilators like sodium nitroprusside,⁹ nitroglycerine ^{10,11} and hydralazine; inhaled anaesthetics like isoflurane ^{12,13} and sevoflurane; beta adrenergic antagonists like esmolol.⁹ Trimethaphan, adenosine and a2 agonists. nitroglycerine has been frequently used for induced hypotension during various surgeries, including nasal surgeries.^{14,11} Dexmedetomidine has also gained wide acceptance for induced hypotension because of its sedation, analgesia and anxiolysis.^{15,16,17} Therefore, this randomized study was planned using these two drugs intravenously for inducing and maintaining hypotension in patients undergoing FESS.

METHODS

This is a randomised control trial conducted from 01/01/2018 to 31/12/2018, carried out in 50 patients after taking informed written consent.

Inclusion Criteria

- 1. Patients scheduled for elective surgery.
- 2. Age between 18 to 55 years of both sexes.
- 3. Patients with ASA grade 1 or 2.

Exclusion Criteria

- 1. Patients with baseline heart rate < 60 bpm.
- 2. Patients with renal, hepatic or cerebral insufficiencies.
- 3. History of cardiac disease or hypertension.
- 4. Patients on treatment with beta blockers or calcium channel blockers.
- 5. PR interval > 0.24 seconds on ECG.
- 6. 2nd and 3rd degree heart block.
- 7. Patients with coagulopathies.
- 8. Patients on anti-coagulants.
- 9. History of drug addiction / chronic narcotic use.
- 10. Patients with allergy to study drugs.
- 11. Anticipated difficult airway.

- Unanticipated difficult airway, requiring > 1 attempts at intubation or prolonged duration (> 15 sec) of laryngoscopy.
- 13. Patients who have undergone sinus surgeries before.
- 14. Patients having Hb < 10 mg / dl.
- 15. Patients with diabetes mellitus.
- 16. Patients with autonomic neuropathies.

Method of Study

- For all the patients Baseline data of heart rate, Blood pressure, mean arterial blood pressure, SPO2 were noted.
- All patients were premedicated with inj. Glycopyrrolate 0.004 mg / Kg IV, inj. Ondansetron 0.08 mg / Kg IV and inj. Fentanyl 1 μg / Kg IV before induction.

Patients were randomly allocated into 2 groups:-

Group D: An infusion of dexmedetomidine was made by adding 200 μ g (2 mL) of dexmedetomidine to 48 mL of normal saline making a final concentration of 4 μ g / mL. Infusion was started with a loading dose of 1 μ g / Kg over 10 min.

Group N: An infusion of nitroglycerine was made by adding 25 mg (5 mL) of nitroglycerine to 45 mL of normal saline making a final concentration of 500 μ g / mL. The infusion was started at the rate of 0.5 μ g / Kg / min.

- The respective infusion was started as loading dose 10 minutes before induction of anaesthesia and was completed before the induction. The maintenance dose was continued, and haemodynamic data was recorded.
- All patients were preoxygenated with 100 % oxygen.
- Patients were induced with inj. Thiopentone Sodium 2.5 % IV 5 mg / Kg.
- After confirming the ability to ventilate, inj. Suxamethonium 2 mg / Kg IV was given to facilitate laryngoscopy and intubation.
- Patients were intubated with appropriate sized cuffed endotracheal tube and an oropharyngeal pack was kept after the intubation.
- Intubations were done within 15 seconds of laryngoscopy in single attempt.
- Both the infusions were titrated to maintain a MAP between 65 and 75 mmHg and then infusion rate was kept constant throughout the surgery.
- Rate of dexmedetomidine infusion was kept 1 µg / Kg for 10 minutes as loading dose and thereafter 0.5 µg / Kg / h. (0.5 - 1.0 µg / Kg / h)
- Rate of NTG infusion was kept 0.5 μg / Kg / min. (0.5 -2.0 μg / Kg / min)
- Anaesthesia was maintained with O₂ (50 %), N₂O (50 %), Sevoflurane 1.5 % and inj. Vecuronium 0.08 mg / Kg loading dose and 0.02 mg / Kg top up doses.
- An additional dose of fentanyl 1 μ g / Kg was given intraoperatively when there was an increase in HR > 20 % and MAP > 20 % from baseline values.
- Vitals monitored were heart rate, blood pressure, mean arterial pressure and SPO₂.
- All parameters were recorded at regular intervals i.e. at baseline, before induction, after intubation, after 5 min,

Jebmh.com

10 min, 15 min, 30 min of intubation and thereafter every 15 mins till the end of surgery, at the time of reversal and at extubation.

- To further reduce the amount of surgical bleeding and for surgeon's convenience, all the patients were positioned in approx. 30° reverse Trendelenburg position. Local infiltration of lignocaine (2 %) + adrenaline (1:100,000) mixture was done in in all patients.
- Visibility of the operative field was assessed by the operating surgeon every 30 minutes intraoperatively and at the end of the surgery according to the Fromme and Boezaart scale. The score was explained to the surgeon.
- Intraoperatively, HR < 60 BPM was treated with 0.5 mg atropine IV.
- 50 % reduction in the infusion dose was done where MAP was less than 65 mmHg. IN case of no response the infusion was stopped completely. Mephentermine 6 mg IV was given to treat resistant hypotension.
- Five minutes before the completion of surgery, all the study drugs were discontinued.
- At the end of surgery, neuromuscular blockade was reversed with inj. Glycopyrrolate (0.008 mg / Kg) and inj. Neostigmine (0.05 mg / Kg) IV and oral pack was removed.
- Extubation was carried out when the patients had adequately recovered from the effect of neuromuscular blockade with regular breathing pattern, good muscle power / tone and were able to respond to verbal commands.
- Heart rate, blood pressure, mean arterial pressure, SPO2 and respiratory rate were recorded at 5 min, 30 min, 60 min, 90 min, and 120 min after extubation. Postoperative sedation was also assessed with Ramsay Sedation Score at those times.
 - 1. Anxious, agitated, restless.
 - 2. Cooperative, oriented, tranquil.
 - 3. Responsive to commands only.
 - 4. Brisk response to light glabellar tap or loud auditory stimulus.
 - 5. Sluggish response to light glabellar tap or loud auditory stimulus.
 - 6. No response to light glabellar tap or loud auditory stimulus
- Any other complaints like nausea, vomiting, headache, restlessness, pruritus, bradycardia (heart rate < 60 BPM), hypotension (MAP < 65 mmHg) and allergic reaction were noted.

- Incremental fentanyl consumption and duration of surgery were recorded. Emergence time was also recorded.
- The results were expressed as mean ± SD. Statistical analysis was done using unpaired t test and p value less than 0.05 was considered as significant and less than 0.001 was considered as highly significant and more than 0.05 was considered as not significant.

RESULTS

This study was conducted to compare effect of IV dexmedetomidine and IV nitroglycerine for induced hypotension during FESS. In the present study all patients were between 18 yrs. to 55 yrs. of age, belonging to ASA grade 1 or 2. Both groups were demographically similar.

Time	Group D	Group N	P Value	Inference	
Baseline	76.52 ± 4.70	74.56 ± 4.96	> 0.05	NS	
Before Induction	68.96 ± 4.8	86.32 ± 4.01	< 0.05	S	
After Intubation	73.64 ± 3.97	89.96 ± 3.81	< 0.05	S	
Intubation+ 5 min	69.12 ± 3.40	81.96 ± 3.81	< 0.05	S	
Average during Surgery	70.89 ± 4.05	77.82 ± 8.49	< 0.05	S	
At Extubation	76.96 ± 3.22	85.88 ± 3.89	< 0.05	S	
Extubation+ 5 min	72.8 ± 2.73	78.56 ± 4.96	< 0.05	S	
Table 1. Mean Heart Rate (/min) at Different Time Intervals					

According to Table 1 there was no significant variation in baseline heart rates in both the groups.

Any fluctuations in heart rate after starting of study drug were below the baseline values in group D and were above the baseline values in group N.

Table 4 shows that both groups had similar baseline MAP values. Infusion rate was kept constant once the target MAP (65 - 75 mmHg) was achieved during surgery.

Average MAP during the surgery was comparable for both the groups (NS). There were larger fluctuations in Group N as compared to Group D at all the stages except during surgery.

		Group D	Grou	pN PVa	alue	Inference	
Mean Du	ation	74.68	80)	.05	NS	
SD		12.45	10.1	16 20	.05		
Table 2. Duration of Surgery (in Minutes)							
Time	Group	SD	Group	SD Group	Р	Inference	
Interval	D	Group D	Ν	N	Value	Interence	
I + 30	2.24	0.43	2.2	0.40	> 0.05	NS	
I + 60	2.44	0.71	2.48	0.58	> 0.05	NS	
	3	0.70	3.16	0.75	> 0.05	NS	
I + 90	5						
I + 90 Overall	2.37	0.47	2.32	0.47	> 0.05	NS	

(0 - 5)

Time	Systolic Blo	Systolic Blood Pressure		Diastolic Blood Pressure		Mean Arterial Pressure			
Time	Group D	Group N	Group D	Group N	Group D	Group N	P Value	Inference	
Baseline	123.76 ± 6.09	121.36 ± 5.31	81.12 ± 6.69	81.44 ± 6.33	95.33 ± 6.20	94.74 ± 5.63	> 0.05	NS	
Before Induction	108.32 ± 5.31	101.36 ± 5.31	69.12 ± 6.69	61.2 ± 5.06	82.19 ± 5.82	74.58 ± 4.69	< 0.001	HS	
After Intubation	112.4 ± 4.62	117.36 ± 5.31	73.92 ± 3.85	72.2 ± 5.06	86.75 ± 3.52	90.58 ± 4.69	< 0.001	HS	
Intubation + 5 min	103.76 ± 4.63	99.6 ± 4.08	64.72 ± 2.94	59.76 ± 4.37	77.73 ± 2.96	73.04 ± 3.70	< 0.001	HS	
Avg during Surgery	100.82 ± 7.42	100.00 ± 8.79	58.36 ± 9.70	59.05 ± 8.11	72.52 ± 8.27	72.70 ± 7.86	> 0.05	NS	
At Extubation	118.56 ± 3.81	121.76 ± 3.66	78.96 ± 5.23	81.32 ± 5.29	92.16 ± 3.90	94.80 ± 4.47	< 0.05	S	
Extubation + 5 min	115.6 ± 4.16	116.96 ± 2.58	74.32 ± 2.69	77.32 ± 5.29	88.08 ± 2.69	90.53 ± 4.08	< 0.05	S	
Table 4. Blood Pressure (mmHg) at Different Time Intervals (Intraoperatively)									

Incremental Fentanyl	Group D	Group N		
Requirement	(n = 25)	(n = 25)		
No. of Patients	0	8		
Table 5. Requirement of Incremental Doses of Fentanyl				

Emergence time was significantly higher for the dexmedetomidine group. Post-operative vitals are similar in both the groups. Also, there is no postoperative respiratory depression in either of the groups. No complications occurred intraoperatively or postoperatively in either of the groups.

DISCUSSION

Now a days, rhinosinusitis is commonly treated with FESS.^{1,2,3} Intraoperatively, spikes in MAP may lead to increased capillary oozing. On the contrary, very low pressures may lead to complications like prolonged awakening, cerebral thrombosis, brain infarctions, acute renal failure. Hence, haemodynamic stability during surgery is mandatory. Maintenance of adequate depth of anaesthesia is also important to avoid surges in blood pressure and thus reduce blood loss and produce a clearer operative field. Various techniques have been described for this.

One of such techniques is controlled reduction in blood pressure.¹⁸ to such levels so that bleeding is minimal, without compromising perfusion of vital organs. This is the basic concept for induced hypotensive anesthesia.¹⁹ Reduced bleeding improves the visibility of the surgical field, decreases manipulations, lessens complications and shortens the operative time.^{20,9}

dexmedetomidine, a selective a2 adrenoceptor agonist, causes reduction in BP and HR, sedation and analgesia. Hall JE et al²¹ studied the sedative, amnestic, and analgesic properties of small dose dexmedetomidine infusions and showed that it has minimal respiratory depressant effect with potent sedative and analgesic effects compared to opioids and other sedatives.

Various studies have shown that dexmedetomidine decreases bleeding in surgeries within the framework of haemodynamic stability.^{22,23,24,16,17} Guven et al.²⁵ reported better haemodynamic stability and improved surgical field when dexmedetomidine was used in FESS for conscious sedation.

Cincikas and Ivaskevicius ²⁶ used nitroglycerine infusion to maintain MAP of 50 - 60 mmHg during endoscopic nasal surgery and observed reduced bleeding and improved surgical field quality.

In our study we compared IV dexmedetomidine and IV nitroglycerine for induced hypotension in FESS. Our target was to reduce MAP to 65 - 75 mm Hg, which is considered as moderate controlled hypotension.^{26,27}

Both the study groups were comparable in age, ASA grade, male : female ratio and weight. Both groups consisted of 25 patients each, selected at random.

The baseline heart rate values of both the groups were comparable. Starting from before induction till 5 minutes after extubation, heart rate was higher in group N. (HR group N > group D), which was statistically significant (p < 0.05). Yet, in both groups the heart rates remained within physiological limits.

This might be due to reflex tachycardia associated with nitroglycerine infusion. Lower heart rates with dexmedetomidine might be due to its sympatholytic effect.

Similar results were found by SJS Bajwa et al²⁸ who studied nitroglycerine, Esmolol and dexmedetomidine for induced hypotension during FESS.

In our study the target MAP was maintained between 65 - 75 mmHg during the surgery. Kol et al.²³ studied Controlled hypotension during tympanoplasty in adults and found that liver and kidney functions were not affected where the target MAP was 65 - 75 mmHg.

In our study there were more fluctuations in MAP in group N than group D. It suggests that dexmedetomidine is effective in blunting the haemodynamic response of laryngoscopy and extubation. dexmedetomidine provides better haemodynamic stability as compared to nitroglycerine.^{18,29}

There is a close relationship between reduced MAP and surgical field clarity in surgical procedures as observed by Sieskiewicz $A.^{\rm 30}$

In present study, equal efficacy of both drugs in decreasing the intraoperative MAP provided comparable surgical fields as suggested by the similar Fromme and Boezaart scores and durations of surgery.

Duration of surgery in Group D was 74.68 ± 12.45 minutes and in Group N was 80 ± 10.16 minutes. The difference was statistically non-significant.

Similar findings were achieved by SJS Bajwa et al.²⁸

In our study the clarity of the operative field was assessed by the surgeon according to the Fromme and Boezaart scale.⁸ There was no significant difference between the 2 groups at any point of time. From me and Boezaart score 2 - 3 is usually considered ideal.^{31,16}

In present study, the amount of actual blood loss was not measured because usually in FESS small quantity of blood is lost. Our focus was on the clarity of surgical field.

50 µg Fentanyl was given when there was > 20 % increase in heart rate and > 20 % increase in MAP. None of the patients from Group D required incremental fentanyl intraoperatively, whereas 6 out of 25 patients from group N required incremental dose of fentanyl. This might be due to the analgesic efficacy of dexmedetomidine.³²

Huncke TK et al³³ conducted a study evaluating the efficacy of dexmedetomidine for sedation and found that total dose of fentanyl was significantly less during the DEX infusions.

Emergence time, defined as the interval between stop page of the anaesthetic agents to eye opening on verbal command was also recorded. Similar to S.J.S. Bajwa et al²⁸ emergence time in Group D (7.32 ± 1.1 min) was statistically significantly higher as compared to Group N (4.12 ± 1.16 min). This might be due to its sedative property of dexmedetomidine which is mediated through its action in the locus ceruleus.³⁴

Post-operative vitals in both the groups, i.e. heart rate, MAP, SPO_2 and respiratory rates did not show any statistically significant difference. As the respiratory rates

were similar in both the groups post-operatively, we can accept that dexmedetomidine does not cause significant post-operative respiratory depression.

Post-operative sedation scores were recorded at 5, 30, 90 and 120 minutes after extubation. dexmedetomidine does not cause statistically significant sedation in post-operative period.

Side effects such as bradycardia, hypotension, allergic reactions were considered intraoperatively and nausea / vomiting, hypotension / hypertension, bradycardia / tachycardia, shivering, dry mouth, gastrointestinal system disorders, blurry vision, allergy, pruritus, headache were considered during the post-operative period.

There were no complications / side effects observed in either of the study groups at any time.

CONCLUSIONS

Both groups provided comparable clarity of surgical field with comparable haemodynamic parameters intraoperatively. Dexmedetomidine provided better haemodynamic stability than nitroglycerine and an additional benefit of reduced requirement of intraoperative supplemental analgesia. But emergence time was higher in dexmedetomidine group compared to nitroglycerine group.

Data sharing statement provided by the authors is available with the full text of this article at jebmh.com.

Financial or other competing interests: None.

Disclosure forms provided by the authors are available with the full text of this article at jebmh.com.

REFERENCES

- Slavin RG. Sinusitis in adults. J Allergy Clin Immunol 1988;81(5):1028-1032.
- [2] Stammberger H, Posawetz W. Functional endoscopic sinus surgery. Concept, indications and results of the Messerklinger technique. Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol 1990;247(2):63-76.
- [3] Stammberger H. Endoscopic endonasal surgery concepts in treatment of recurring rhinosinusitis. Part II. Surgical technique. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 1986;94(2):147-156.
- [4] Maniglia AJ. Fatal and other major complications of endoscopic sinus surgery. Laryngoscope 1991;101(4 Pt 1):349-354.
- [5] Stankiewicz JA. Complications of endoscopic intranasal ethmoidectomy. Laryngoscope 1987;97(11):1270-1273.
- [6] Boezaart AP, van der Merwe J, Coetzee A. Comparison of sodium nitroprusside- and Esmolol induced controlled hypotension for functional endoscopic sinus surgery. Can J Anaesth 1995;42(5 Pt 1):373-376.
- [7] Enderby GEH. Historical review of the practice of deliberate hypotension. In: Enderby GEH, edr. Hypotensive Anaesthesia. London: Churchill Livingstone 1985.

- [8] Fromme GA, MacKenzie RA, Gould AB Jr, et al. Controlled hypotension for orthognathic surgery. Anesth Analg 1986;65(6):683-686.
- [9] Degoute CS. Controlled hypotension: A guide to drug choice. Drugs 2007;67:1053-76.
- [10] Fahmy NR. Nitroglycerin as a hypotensive drug during general anesthesia. Anesthesiology 1978;49(1):17-20.
- [11] Srivastava U, Dupargude AB, Kumar D, et al. Controlled hypotension for functional endoscopic sinus surgery: comparison of esmolol and nitroglycerine. Indian J Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2013;65(Suppl 2):440-444.
- [12] Kaygusuz K, Yildirim A, Kol IO, et al. Hypotensive anesthesia with remifentanil combined with desflurane or isoflurane in tympanoplasty or endoscopic sinus surgery: a randomised, controlled trial. J Laryngol Otol 2008;122(7):691-695.
- [13] Mandal P. Isoflurane anaesthesia for functional endoscopis sinus surgery. Indian J Anaesth 2003;47(1):37-40.
- [14] Guney A, Kaya FN, Yavascaoglu B, et al. Comparison of esmolol to nitroglycerine in controlling hypotension during nasal surgery. Eurasian J Med 2012;44(2):99-105.
- [15] Richa F, Yazigi A, Sleilaty G, et al. Comparison between dexmedetomidine and remifentanil for controlled hypotension during tympanoplasty. Eur J Anaesthesiol 2008;25(5):369-374.
- [16] Shams T, El Bahnasawe NS, Abu-Samra M, et al. Induced hypotension for functional endoscopic sinus surgery: a comparative study of dexmedetomidine versus esmolol. Saudi J Anaesth 2013;7(2):175-180.
- [17] Turan G, Dincer E, Ozgultekin A, et al. Comparison of dexmedetomidine, remifentanyl and esmolol in controlled hypotensive anaesthesia. Eur J Anaesthesiol 2008;25:65-66.
- [18] Nasreen F, Bano S, Khan RM, et al. dexmedetomidine used to provide hypotensive anesthesia during middle ear surgery. Indian J Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2009;61(3):205-207.
- [19] Tobias JD. Controlled hypotension in children: a critical review of available agents. Paediatric Drugs 2002;4(7):439-453.
- [20] Baker AR, Baker AB. Anaesthesia for endoscopic sinus surgery. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand 2010;54(7):795-803.
- [21] Hall JE, Uhrich TD, Barney JA, et al. Sedative, amnestic, and analgesic properties of small-dose dexmedetomidine infusions. Anesth Analg 2000;90 (3):699-705.
- [22] Erbesler ZA, Bakan N, Karaoren GY, et al. A comparison of the effects of Esmolol and dexmedetomidine on the clinical course and cost for controlled hypotensive anaesthesia. Turk J Anaesth Reanim 2013;41(5):156-161.
- [23] Kol IO, Kaygusuz K, Yildirim A, et al. Controlled hypotension with desflurane combined with Esmolol or dexmedetomidine during tympanoplasty in adults: a double-blind, randomized, controlled trial. Curr Ther Res Clin Exp 2009;70(3):197-208.

Jebmh.com

- [24] Acelger MH, Demirbilek S, Koroglu A, et al. Controlled hypotension with dexmedetomidine for middle ear surgery. Ann Med Res 2004;11(4):237-241.
- [25] Guven DG, Demiraran Y, Sezen G, et al. Evaluation of outcomes in patients given dexmedetomidine in functional endoscopic sinus surgery. Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol 2011;120(9):586-592.
- [26] Cincikas D, Ivaskevicius J. Application of controlled arterial hypotension in endoscopic rhinosurgery. Medicina (Kaunas) 2003;39(9):852-859.
- [27] Cushing H. Tumors of the nervus acusticus. Philadelphia: WB Saunders 1917.
- [28] Bajwa SJS, Kaur J, Kulshrestha A, et al. nitroglycerine, esmolol and dexmedetomidine for induced hypotension during functional endoscopic sinus surgery: a comparative evaluation. J Anaesthesiol Clin Pharmacol 2016;32(2):192-197.
- [29] Stoelting RK, Hillier SC. Attenuation of haemodynamic response to laryngoscopy and tracheal intubation in adult patients with a single intravenous dose of 0.6ug/ Kg dexmedetomidine. 4th edn. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams and Wilkins 2006.

- [30] Sieskiewicz A, Drozdowski A, Rogowski M. The assessment of correlation between MAP and intraoperative bleeding during FESS in patients with low HR. Otolaryngol Pol 2010;64(4):225-228.
- [31] Scheinin B, Lindgren L, Randell T, et al. dexmedetomidine attenuates sympathoadrenal responses to tracheal intubation and reduces the need for thiopentone and preoperative fentanyl. Br J Anaesth 1992;68(2):126-131.
- [32] Bajwa SJS, Kaur J, Singh A, et al. Attenuation of pressor response and dose sparing of opioids and anaesthetics with pre-operative dexmedetomidine. Indian J Anaesth 2012;56(2):123-128.
- [33] Huncke TK, Adelman M, Jacobowitz G, et al. A prospective, randomized, placebo-controlled study evaluating the efficacy of dexmedetomidine for sedation during vascular procedures. Vasc Endovascular Surg 2010;44(4):257-261.
- [34] Guo TZ, Jiang JY, Buttermann AE, et al. dexmedetomidine injection into the locus ceruleus produces antinociception. Anesthesiology 1996;84(4):873-881.